

# CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY,  
PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY



## MINUTES

Joint REGULAR Meeting

Wednesday, June 14, 2023 \* 6:00 p.m.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California

- City Council meetings are video recorded and archived as a permanent record. The [video](#) recording captures the complete proceedings of the meeting and is available for viewing on the City's website.
- Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time prior to meetings for processing new submittals. Complete records containing meeting handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a [Records Request](#).

### CITY COUNCILMEMBERS

**Lesa Heebner**  
Mayor

**David A. Zito**  
Deputy Mayor / Councilmember District 1

**Kristi Becker**  
Councilmember District 2

**Jewel Edson**  
Councilmember District 3

**Jill MacDonald**  
Councilmember District 4

Gregory Wade  
City Manager

Johanna Canlas  
City Attorney

Angela Ivey  
City Clerk

### CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Mayor Heebner called the meeting to order at 6:15 p.m.

Present: Lesa Heebner, David A. Zito, Jewel Edson, Kristi Becker, Jill MacDonald  
Absent: None  
Also Greg Wade, City Manager  
Present: Johanna Canlas, City Attorney  
Angela Ivey, City Clerk  
Dan King, Assistant City Manager  
Mo Sammak, City Engineer/Public Works Dir.  
Rachel Jacobs, Finance Manager  
Joseph Lim, Community Development Dir.

CLOSED SESSION REPORT: None

FLAG SALUTE:

PROCLAMATIONS/CERTIFICATES: *Ceremonial*

- Santa Fe Irrigation District 100th Year Anniversary  
Mayor Heebner presented the proclamation.

Al Lau, Santa Fe Irrigation District, accepted the proclamation and announced a celebratory event on June 21<sup>st</sup>.

- Juneteenth  
Mayor Heebner read the proclamation.
- Pride Month  
Mayor Heebner read the proclamation.

**PRESENTATIONS:** Ceremonial items that do not contain in-depth discussion and no action/direction.

- Solana Beach School District Tree Removal/Renewal Project  
Jodie Brentlinger, Solana Beach School District Superintendent, and John Leland, Assistant Superintendent, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the unfortunate decision to remove Torrey Pines along N. Rios Rd. She said that they had residents and Staff express safety concerns during weather events as well as incidents of falling branches onto the school area presenting a hazard to the children and Staff, and that the District had decided to remove the trees based on the risk to property and life.

John Leland, Assistant Superintendent, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the background of past issues, the tree heights of 70-80 ft., the frequent trimming of the trees by SDG&E to safeguard the powerlines, falling branch incidents that were alarming to Staff, the proximity to buildings and play areas, and current conditions of co-dominant branches, significant leaning, and raised asphalt due to lifted roots. He said that they intended to replace most trees with new trees that were more appropriate in the vicinity of the utility lines and that the next step would be an avian study and then SDG&E would remove all branches and the District would then have the remained trunks removed. He said that they were looking into some community organizations that may be able to preserve the wood.

Joe Gabaldon, SDG&E, continued the PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the removal of the tree branches and collaborating with the District with the preferred types and sizes of trees for the spaces.

Council and Speakers discussed appreciation for explaining to the public the issues facing the school properties and safety issues and collaboration of the SDG&E utility line clearance and the property owners balancing the tree pruning to control these issues.

**APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Zito and second by Councilmember Becker to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:**

Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by submitting a

speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES. No donations of time are permitted (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

Jonathan Goodmacher spoke about the recent Grand Jury report and the City's pending response by August 9<sup>th</sup>, that the recommendations in the report included development of transit stations to include affordable housing, that cities should consider working with the school districts to identify buildable land for housing for workers at school districts, that cities work with religious organizations to find buildable land for affordable housing, that the City's Measure T allowed the public to be involved in land use decisions and negotiations, that the City is in negotiations with NCTD in closed sessions and that it would be ideal to involve the public.

Shawna McGarry spoke about the City's failure to meet state mandated housing goals in the fifth RHNA cycle, the State potentially coming down on the City for lack of compliance, considering proactively finding locations for housing, working with St. James as they have stated they want to build affordable housing, and focus on more transit oriented development housing, and to consider lease of NCTD land rather than purchase to enable housing to be built in the area.

#### **COUNCIL COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMENTARY:**

*An opportunity for City Council to make brief announcements or report on their activities. These items are not agendized for official City business with no action or substantive discussion.*

#### **A. CONSENT CALENDAR:** (Action Items) (A.1. - A.9.)

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern by submitting to the City Clerk a speaker slip (located on the back table) before the Consent Calendar is addressed. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the Council will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the public will be heard immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar to hear the public speaker.

*All speakers should refer to the public comment section at the beginning of the agenda for details. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.*

#### **A.1. Minutes of the City Council.**

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve the Minutes of the City Council meetings held on May 12, 2023 and May 24, 2023.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

#### **A.2. Register Of Demands.** (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for May 06, 2023 – May 26, 2023.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.3. General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023 Changes.** (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the report listing changes made to the Fiscal Year 2022/2023 General Fund Adopted Budget.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.4. Worker's Compensation Claims Third Party Administrator (TPA).** (File 0180-70)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2023-036:**
  - a. Authorizing the City Manager to execute the Agreement for a Third-Party Administrator for the City's Worker's Compensation Program for one year with an authorization of agreement extensions for up to four additional years at the City Manager's discretion.
  - b. Authorize the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2022/23 Adopted Budget to appropriate \$13,400 for implementation in the Workers Compensation fund for Professional Services.
  - c. Authorize a contract amount for CorVel in the not-to-exceed amount of \$125,000 per contract year.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.5. Annual Investment Policy.** (File 0350-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2023-072** approving the City's Investment Policy for Fiscal Year 2023/24.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.6. Fire Benefit Fee – Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24.** (File 0495-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2023-071**:
  - a. Setting the FY 2023/24 Fire Benefit Fee at \$10.00 per unit, and
  - b. Approving the Fee for levying on the tax roll.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.7. Municipal Improvement Districts Benefit (MID) Fees – Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24.**  
(File 0495-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve **Resolution 2023-067**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9C, Santa Fe Hills, at \$232.10 per unit for FY 2023/24.
2. Approve **Resolution 2023-068**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9E, Isla Verde, at \$68.74 per unit for FY 2023/24.
3. Approve **Resolution 2023-069**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 9H, San Elijo Hills # 2, at \$289.58 per unit for FY 2023/24.
4. Approve **Resolution 2023-070**, setting the Benefit Charges for MID No. 33, Highway 101/Railroad Right-of-Way, at \$3.12 per unit for FY 2023/24.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.8. Lomas Santa Fe Drive Bridge Repairs Project.** (File 0820-15)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2023-080**
  - a. Authorizing the City Council to accept, as complete, the Lomas Santa Fe Drive Bridge Repairs Project, Bid No. 2022-03, performed by Beador Construction Company.
  - b. Authorizing the City Clerk to file a Notice of Completion for the project.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**A.9. Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan – Retiree Medical Trust provided by The California Firefighters Benefit Trust, Effective June 2023.** (File 0500-00)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2023-083** to implement a Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan – Retiree Medical Trust for the Solana Beach Firefighters' Association members.

2. Adopt the Side letter between the City and the Solana Beach Firefighters' Association to modify and update Section 20 of the MOU to reflect the new Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan.
3. Adopt the Joinder Agreement between the City of Solana Beach Fire Association and California Fire Benefit Trust in order to implement a Medical Expense Reimbursement Plan – Retiree Medical Trust.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

## **B. PUBLIC HEARINGS:** (B.1. – B.4.)

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designee(s) for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. *All other speakers should refer to the public comment section at the beginning of the agenda for time allotment.* Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

### **B.1. Public Hearing: Solana Beach Lighting Maintenance District Annual Assessments.** (File 0495-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
2. Adopt **Resolution 2023-078** confirming the diagram and assessment and approving the City of Solana Beach Lighting Maintenance District Engineer's Report.
3. Adopt **Resolution 2023-079** ordering the levy and collection of annual assessments for FY 2023/24 and ordering the transmission of charges to the County Auditor for collection.

#### **Item B.1. Report (click here)**

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mayor Heebner opened the public hearing.

Council disclosures.

Motion: Moved by Councilmember Edson and second by Councilmember Becker to close the public hearing. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Zito and second by Councilmember Edson to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

**B.2. Public Hearing: Solana Beach Coastal Rail Trail (CRT) Maintenance District Annual Assessments.** (File 0495-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
2. Adopt **Resolution 2023-076**, approving the Engineer's Report regarding the Coastal Rail Trail Maintenance District.
3. Adopt **Resolution 2023-077**, ordering the levy and collection of the annual assessments regarding the Coastal Rail Trail Maintenance District for Fiscal Year 2023/24.

[Item B.2. Report \(click here\)](#)

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mayor Heebner opened the public hearing.

Council disclosures.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Becker and second by Deputy Mayor Zito to close the public hearing. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember MacDonald and second by Councilmember Zito to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

**B.3. Public Hearing: 141 Pacific Ave., Applicant: Maribel & Travis Bradberry, Case: DRP23-001.** (File 0600-40)

The proposed project meets the minimum objective requirements under the LUP, SBMC, is consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt **Resolution 2023-074** conditionally approving like for like replacement of the

existing siding, windows, exterior doors, waterproofing, non-structural roofing and exterior light fixtures at 141 Pacific Avenue.

[Item B.3. Report \(click here\)](#)

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Corey Andrews, Principal Planner, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Mayor Heebner opened the public hearing.

Council disclosures.

Maribel Bradberry, Applicant, said that they have been living at this property for the last 10 years and that they have needed to seal their home due to weather issues over the last several years.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Becker and second by Councilmember Zito to close the public hearing. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Zito and second by Councilmember Becker to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

**B.4. Public Hearing: 228 N. Helix Ave., Applicant: Ryan Bowers, Case No.: DRP22-013, SDP22-011.** (File 0600-40)

The proposed project meets the minimum zoning requirements under the SBMC, may be found to be consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt **Resolution 2023-064** conditionally approving a DRP and SDP for a new two-story, single-family residence with a fully subterranean basement and an attached two-car garage and perform associated site improvements at 228 North Helix Avenue, Solana Beach.

[Item B.4. Report \(click here\)](#)

[Item B.4. Supplemental Docs \(upd. 6-14-23 at 2:30pm\)](#)

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item and stated that this public hearing was already open having been continued from the May 24, 2023 City Council meeting.

Tiffany Wade, Assistant Planner, presented a PowerPoint (on file) of the revised project.

Council disclosures.

Mo Sammak, Engineering/Public Works Director, explained that the excavation and any other grading associated with this project will have no impact beyond the property lines. He said that the City Staff would evaluate details of the construction activities and inspect the project during construction during the grading permit process in case there were any methods or means that impact outside the property boundaries including the use of heavy equipment causing vibration or other impacts, and that the contractors usually conduct a photo survey of adjacent properties before they begin construction.

Council and Staff discussed that the finished grade would be about a foot above the current grade, a condition to clearly state the requirement of a photo survey, that the project would construct a detention basin that would offset the impact of additional impervious surface for runoff, that they have designed a velocity reducing system at the property boundary, that the combination of the detention basin as well as the velocity reducer at the property boundary will address the impact of runoff, that there were two sump pumps on either side of the yard in the front of the property that would take care of the drains and pump the entire site into the detention basin where the runoff will be reduced and then flow with gravity to the northeast property boundary where the velocity distribution system will percolate and dissipate over the property line.

Ryan Bowers, Applicant, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing their revised project.

Jill Martin, Claimant, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the View Assessment Commission (VAC) meeting, and said that five out of six VAC members agreed that her primary viewing area was a combination of her living room and kitchen and that the structure was not designed to minimize impairments, that there were limited changes from the prior project, that they did not obtain additional input from her in their redesign, and that the issues were that the project was claustrophobic, had an oppressive impairment, towered over and bearing down on her property, massive second story, that the view was completely blocked from her kitchen, and requested that conditions be added to reduce the buildable width to 50-60% at approximately 500-600 sq. ft., shift the second story eastward about 15 feet, and that she was already making concessions with these conditions.

Frances and Anthony Gatti, Claimant, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing their issues and said that the VAC toolkit's findings that the bulk of the second story should minimize impact to the existing views, that it would minimize impact of her view if the second story's new construction was equal to 50% of the buildable area, that their views are from their second story only, that it did not appear that the VAC recommendations were implemented which included lowering the ceiling heights to decrease the overall height, build a one-story, shift the garage and driveway from the north to the south, decrease the second floor to 50% of the first floor, shift the bulk of the second floor to the northeast of the property, that after two years working on the project the square footage

had been reduced only 15 sq. ft., that there was a loop hole when a basement is added and not included in the square footage, and that a resolution would be to decrease the bulk and scale of the second floor to 50% of the first floor.

Naomi Clum, Claimant, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the project and the issues affecting her property and said that this project was built to the maximum possible, that it did not meet the neighborhood characteristic in scale and style, that the new design stuck out further and closer to her property, that she suggested that they reduce the amount of the size, angle, or position of the windows, that the second floor should be allowed to pop out the additional two feet, that the top story be brought back 15 ft., that the bulk above ground be scaled down, that it be designed like other two-story homes on the block that appear more like a single story from the street, that the project had direct views into her dining area, kitchen, and bedroom not be allowed, that a bulk of the top story be moved southeast with smaller windows, and that the overall scale of the project be reduced to match the neighborhood character. She said that the VAC discussed the character of the neighborhood and said that this project did not fit the characteristics of the surrounding area.

Connie Major (time donated by Deb Hart and Betsy Walcott) said she is part owner of an adjacent property and that she drove from Santa Ana to speak tonight. She presented a PowerPoint (on file). She said that her property was to the east of the project, that there was no sound barrier or privacy mitigation in the case of backyard parties, that grading would create water runoff in her backyard especially if the sum pumps fail, that it should be built on the existing elevation or lower rather than raising it three feet, and asked that Council vote no on the proposed project but that if it was approved that the owners of 211 and 217 N. Sierra should be indemnified in the area of this project from any failures causing damage or loss.

Rory Bennet stated that there were two other issues to be addressed including the location of the second spa, which would be 5 ft. from her property, and the location of their office window looking into her master bedroom and backyard patio. She said that the second spa seemed to be intended for a future ADU, that the noise of the spa and its participants would disrupt the bedroom of the adjacent property, and asked that Council disallow this second spa location or relocate it next to the northwest side of the lot away from neighboring bedrooms requiring the applicants to install a timing devise to deactivate this second spa from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. She said that their proposed large office window on the east edge of the project would have a direct view into her master bedroom and backyard patio, invading her privacy, and asked Council to disallow this window or at least require the sill to be raised a few feet so that it would not have direct views into her home and backyard.

Ryan Bowers, Applicant, said that each accommodation he makes for one neighbor adversely affects another neighbor, that moving the house back 15 ft. back as requested by Ms. Martin adversely would affect 211 N. Sierra who asked that it not be built so far back to the backyard, that he had delivered plans and that the landscape plans have been available, that they addressed privacy issues in the back by moving windows above eyeline, that he had spoken with neighbor concerning the proposed office window about adding vegetation to that area so there would be no site line, that some pictures shown by the Gatti's and Martin's appeared to be older views since he showed views provided

from Staff, that the project was 3 ft. below the highest allowable point, it was not exceeding any zoning codes, and that he would ask that the project be assessed based on the required guidelines.

Council discussed the primary viewing areas of each claimant, the inability to make all findings, concerns about the rear yard elevation increase, the bulk and scale and massing, and lack of articulation, very little square footage reduction from the prior proposal, that a second story should be allowed but fit within the surrounding area's second story character.

Council discussed possible suggestions that might help the project including keeping floor heights as low as possible on the first and second story resulting in the story poles heights reduced by another foot or two, moving the westernmost wall of the second story so that the southwest corner of the first floor of the structure would be 15 1/2 or 16 ft., considering removing the parapet for height issues, minimizing the second story bulk to lessen impact to existing views, sharing landscape plans with neighbors so they could have an idea of what vegetation might be in view, stepping down the back patio at the rear of the house to bring it more in line with 60.16 or 60.60 elevation, shielding the first story with vegetation to lessen its imposing effect, stepping back the second story so that bulk would lay more to the north side, considering the alternatives to be compromising having the bulk in the front to accommodate property owners on N. Sierra, avoiding the southwest corner from a bulk and scale perspective, and reducing the second story's bulk to help the view claimants' privacy as well as address the overbearing stucco wall.

Council and Jennifer Bolyn, Architect, stated that movement of the building would require a new 30-day noticing, asked whether a waiver of 30-day noticing would be accepted if the change was only slightly outside of the envelope by obtaining signatures of adjacent properties to acknowledge the story poles, and agreed, on behalf of the Applicant, to a continuance to August 23<sup>rd</sup>.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Zito and second by Councilmember Edson to continue to August 23, 2023. **Approved 5/0.** Ayes: Heebner, Zito, Edson, Becker, MacDonald. Noes: None. Motion carried unanimously

### **C. STAFF REPORTS:** (C.1. – C.2.)

*Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk.*

*All speakers should refer to the public comment section at the beginning of the agenda for time allotments. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.*

#### **C.1. Community Grant Program Requests – Fiscal Year (FY) 2023/24.** (File 0330-25)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the Staff Report, Community Grant applications and consider the presentations from the grant applicants. This item will come back to the City Council at the June 24, 2023 City Council Meeting for Council's grant allocations.

[Item C.1. Report \(click here\)](#)

### [Item C.1. Updated Report #1 \(6-13-23 at 9am\)](#)

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Dan King, Assistant City Manager, introduced the item and the funding sources.

Presentations were made by the following applicants:

Assistant League of Rancho San Dieguito – Kathy O’Leary  
Bike Walk Solana – Kristin Brinner  
California Wester School of Law Community Law Project – Dana Sisitsky  
Community Resource Center – Rebecca Nussbaum  
Disconnect Collective – Monica Stapleton  
Jaliscience Folkloric Academy – Victor and Elba Montes  
La Colonia Foundation - Alex Cardenas and Haidee Banuet  
North Coastal Repertory Theatre – Steve Smith  
Pathways to Citizenship – Morgan Principi  
Rancho Santa Fe Youth Soccer – Marilee Pacelli  
Solana Beach Civic and Historical Society – Michele Stribling and Lisa Montes  
Solana Beach Community Connections – Peter Gourevitch

### **C.2. Review of the Draft Fiscal Years 2023/24 and 2024/25 Budget.** (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Review the FY 2021/22 and FY 2022/23 draft Budget and provide Staff with direction to formulate the budget for adoption on June 28, 2023.

### [Item C.2. Report \(click here\)](#)

*Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.*

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Rachel Jacobs, Finance Director, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed how the books are closed with the PARS Trust contribution and the road project that were allocated later, that other funds may be used if Measure S is maintained and would pay for fire trucks and modifying the asset replacement planning process.

### **ADJOURN:**

Mayor Heebner adjourned the meeting at 10:48 p.m.

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

Approved: August 23, 2023