CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY

MINUTES

JOINT REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, July 9, 2014 06:00 P.M.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California * Teleconference

Location: 15 Grindell Ave., Dennis Port, MA 02639

Minutes contain a summary of the discussions and actions taken by the City Council during a meeting. City Council meetings are video recorded and archived as a permanent record. The video recordings capture the complete proceedings of the meeting and are available for viewing on the City's website.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Deputy Mayor Heebner called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Present: Nichols, Campbell, Heebner, Zito, and Zahn.

Absent: None.

Also Present: David Ott, City Manager

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

Wende Protzman, Community Development Dir. Mo Sammak, City Engineer/Public Works Dir.

Dan King, Sr. Management Analyst

CLOSED SESSION REPORT: (when applicable)

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, stated that there was no reportable action.

FLAG SALUTE:

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

MOTION: Moved by Zito and seconded by Zahn. Motion carried 4/0/1 (Absent: Campbell)

PRESENTATIONS:

(Ceremonial items that do not contain in-depth discussion and no action/direction.)

1. Highway 101 Project Westside Improvement Project Award

Roya Golchoobian, Vice President, San Diego Chapter American Public Works Federation, stated that the Chapter's Public Project of the Year Award for projects \$6-25 million was awarded to the City of Solana Beach Highway 101 Westside Improvement Project.

Deputy Mayor Heebner thanked the association for the award and acknowledged Staff, specifically Dan Goldberg who was an intricate contributor in managing the project.

2. Del Mar Shores Stair Project

Mo Sammak, Director of Public Works/Engineering, presented a powerpoint (on file) reviewing the status of the project.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

Kristina Houck said that the Highway 101 improvement project, specifically north of Ocean St. was beautiful and thank Council and Staff. She asked the Council consider a crosswalk by Ocean St.

COUNCIL COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMENTARY:

A. CONSENT CALENDAR: (Action Items) (A.1. - A.12.)

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern by submitting to the City Clerk a speaker slip (located on the back table) before the Consent Calendar is addressed. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the Council will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the public will be discussed immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar.

A.1. Minutes of the City Council.

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve the Minutes of the City Council Meetings held May 14, May 28, June 10, and June 11, 2014.

This item was pulled from Agenda.

A.2. Register Of Demands. (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for June 7, 2014 through June 20, 2014.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried unanimously.**

A.3. League of California Cities 2014 Annual Business Meeting Voting Delegates (File 0140-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- Appoint Councilmember Nichols, voting delegate, and Deputy Mayor Heebner, 1st alternate delegate, and City Manager, David Ott, as 2nd alternate, for the 2014 Annual Business Meeting of the League of California Cities Annual Conference being held September 3-5, or provide alternative appointments.
- 2. Direct the City Clerk to attest to the appointments and submit the Official Voting Form to the League of California Cities by August 15, 2014.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried unanimously.**

A.4. Re-establish Ad Hoc Committees. (File 0410-48)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2014-096 re-establishing the General Plan Update Ad Hoc Committee to expire on July 8, 2015.
- 2. Adopt Resolution 2014-097 re-establishing the Fire Department Management Governance and Organizational Evaluation Ad Hoc Committee to expire on July 8, 2015.
- 3. Re-appoint two existing Councilmembers to each of the respective Ad Hoc Committees. General Plan Update (Nichols, Zito) and Fire Department Management Governance and

Organizational Evaluation (Zito, Zahn), or provide alternative appointments.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.5. 2014 Street Maintenance & Repair Project, Bid No. 2014-04. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2014-094:
 - a. Awarding a construction contract for the 2014 Street Maintenance & Repair Project, Bid 2014-04, in the amount of \$413,277.70, to ATP General Engineering Contractors.
 - b. Approving an amount of \$103,000 for construction contingency.
 - c. Approving an amount of \$15,000 for construction inspection and management.
 - d. Authorizing the City Manager to execute the construction contract on behalf of the City.
 - e. Authorizing the City Manager to approve cumulative change orders up to the construction contingency amount.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.6. Lalley Construction - On-Call, As-Needed Repair Services. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-092 authorizing the City Manager to execute Amendment 4 to the Professional Services Agreement with Lalley Construction, in the amount of \$39,000, for on-call, as-needed repair services for Fiscal Year 2014/2015.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.7. City Hall Emergency Generator Installation Project, Bid No. 2013-10. (File0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-075:

- a. Authorizing the City Council to accept as complete the City Hall Emergency Generator Installation Project, Bid No. 2013-10, performed by California Building Evaluation & Construction, Inc.
- b. Authorizing the City Clerk to file a Notice of Completion.
- c. Authorizing the appropriation of \$47,600 into the General Fund Engineering Fee revenue accounts based on the deposit reconciliation done by Staff.
- d. Authorizing the transfer of \$47,600 from the General Fund
 Engineering Fee revenue accounts for appropriation to the City CIP project account for costs associated with the installation of the City Hall emergency generator.
- e. Authorizing the City Treasurer to amend the Fiscal Year 2013-14 Adopted Budget accordingly.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.8. Extend Reclaimed Waterline. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

 Adopt Resolution 2014-099 authorizing the City Manager to execute a Professional Services Agreement with Infrastructure Engineering Corporation, for an amount not to exceed \$40,000, for the preparation of design development plans for the extension of a reclaimed waterline to the western part of the City.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.9. Traffic Signal Maintenance Contract Fiscal Year 2014/15 (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-95 authorizing the City Manager to execute Amendment 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with Siemens Industry, Inc. for traffic signal maintenance services for Fiscal Year 2014/2015, to extend the terms of the contract for one year to June 30, 2015 and to increase the compensation to an amount not to exceed \$64,720.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

Recreational Beach Impact Mitigation Fund - Del Mar Shores Public BeachAccess Stairway Replacement Project. (File 0390-25)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- Find the request exempt from the CEQA as it is not a "project" as defined by CEQA; and
- Approve the request and adopt Resolution 2014-100 formally requesting disbursement of all remaining funds from the Public Recreational Beach Impact Mitigation Fund account held in trust for the City by SANDAG.
- 3. Forward the signed Resolution 2014-100 to SANDAG for formal processing.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.11. San Dieguito River Valley Joint Powers Authority (JPA) Agreement. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-101 approving and authorizing the City Manager to execute the six month extension agreement between the JPA and the City of San Diego.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

A.12. Employee Salary and Benefit Plan Fiscal Year 2014-15. (File 0520-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-102 adopting Fiscal Year 2014-15 Salary and Compensation Plan for represented employees, executive management, mid-management, confidential and temporary/part-time employees.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried** unanimously.

C. STAFF REPORTS: (C.2.)

Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk

C.2. <u>Landscape Maintenance Services</u>. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2014-089 authorizing the City Manager to execute Amendment 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with Nissho of California, Inc., in an amount not to exceed \$273,400, for Fiscal Year 2014-15, for yearly landscape maintenance services throughout the City and one-time plant replacement along the Coastal Rail Trail.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mo Sammak, City Engineer / Public Works Director, presented a powerpoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed whether pending conservation measures would affect the viability of the plants and whether there would be a risk of the plants dying due to less irrigation.

Nissho of California representative stated that the new plantings would not require more water, they were relatively drought tolerant, and that the current irrigation would not need to be altered.

Council discussed that the plants were either succulent or drought tolerant, there were about 10 different species of plants, approximately 2,000 plants total, and that it made sense for Nissho to do the work since they were the current landscape maintenance vendor.

MOTION: Moved by Nichols and seconded by Zahn. **Motion carried unanimously.**

NOTE: The City Council shall not begin a new agenda item after 10:30 p.m. unless approved by a unanimous vote of all members present. (SBMC 2.04.070)

B. PUBLIC HEARINGS: (B.1. - B.2.)

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designees for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. All other speakers

have three minutes each. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

- B.1. 305 S. Highway 101 Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a New Wireless Communications Facility, Applicant: AT&T Mobility, Case No: 17-13-30. (File 0610-60)

 Staff recommends that if the City Council can make the required findings and approve the project, that the City Council:
 - Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council disclosures, Receive public testimony, Close the Public Hearing.
 - 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15301 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
 - 3. Adopt Resolution 2014-019 conditionally approving a CUP for a new WCF and associated equipment located on the roof of an existing commercial structure at 305 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Corey Johnson, Associate Planner, presented a PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the project.

Deputy Mayor Heebner stated that the public hearing had remained open from the prior City Council meeting that the item was presented. Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, stated that Council disclosures were only required if there had been new information to report since the last Council meeting. Councilmember Zito stated that he had spoken to a community member at the Fiesta Del Sol event regarding the project.

Council and Trip May, City's 3rd party consultant, discussed that there had not been enough information provided by the applicant to determine whether there was a significant gap in the AT&T network requiring this tower, the coverage map provided by the applicant was not reliable, and that Mr. May did not have time to speak to the applicant regarding this issue since it was brought forth on the meeting day. Discussion continued regarding the aesthetic provisions of Council Policy #21 and whether the applicant had taken steps to mask the equipment, and that there were probably less intrusive means so that the look of the antenna would fit in better with the aesthetics of the surrounding buildings/area. Discussion continued that AT&T stated that this was the best design to meet their coverage objectives, that since the exact coverage needs could not be determined due to the reliability of the maps it would not be possible to determine what the least intrusive means would be to achieve the

applicant's goals, and that the design was stealth since the equipment was not visible.

Doug Munson, M&M Telecom, representing AT&T. Council and speaker discussed that the representative could not speak to the coverage needs of AT&T, that he understood that clutter could interfere with propagation, and that the coverage needs could be obtained from AT&T's 3rd party consultant.

Applicant Presentation

Doug Munson, M&M Telecom, representing AT&T, stated that they spent a lot of time locating a viable site for the antenna on Cedros Ave., the structure's size was due to the minimum distance required between the two antennas, and that fiber glass was the screening material used which had to be above, below, and on the sides of the antenna in order for them to propagate. Discussion continued regarding the design reasoning for the structure and that the design was the least intrusive with the smallest amount of bulk.

Council and speaker discussed that the design was meant for AT&T equipment, the applicant was not taking other carriers equipment into mind with this design, it could be a possible co-location site for other carriers, the North County Transit District site was too far north for the cell tower, and that this was the best location. Discussion continued regarding alternative design options considered, that the applicant had not taken into consideration whether the proposed building to the south of the cell site would impact coverage, and that the applicant would be willing to place the project on hold and return to a future Council meeting to provide information regarding coverage needs.

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, stated that there may be a need to extend the tolling agreement in order to move the project to another date. Mr. Munson stated that AT&T would be willing to extend the tolling agreement.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Nichols and seconded by Zito to continue the public hearing to the August 27, 2014 Council Meeting. **Motion carried unanimously.**

- B.2. 421 N. Granados Ave. Development Review Permit (DRP) and Structure Development Permit (SDP), Applicant: Hethcock, Case No. 17-13-24. (File 0600-40)
 - The proposed project meets the minimum objective requirements under the SBMC, is consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and administratively issue a SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:
 - 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.

- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt Resolution 2014-056 conditionally approving a DRP and an administrative SDP to allow for the construction of a new 4,520 square foot, single-family residence with an attached 878 square foot garage and a partially subterranean lower level at 421 North Granados Avenue

Councilmember Nichols recused himself due to the project being within 500 feet of his residence.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item. He stated that this item was continued from the May 28th City Council meeting to allow the applicant to modify the preliminary landscape plans.

Corey Johnson, Associate Planner, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed the street fill requirement, that the applicants had modified the type of trees they would be planting, Staff had not yet determined whether the water calculations were correct, and that Staff would verify the water calculation data.

Deputy Mayor Heebner opened the public hearing.

Council disclosed their familiarity with the project.

Applicant Presentation

Dan Hethcock reviewed the landscape plan and their tree choices and stated that their tree choices had been discussed with the neighbors, and that he distributed pictures of the magnolia tree that they wanted to plant. Mr. Hethcock stated that they moved the house further away from the neighbors, that they understood the importance of views and wanted low landscaping, and that their project was on a double lot which was 22,000 square feet. Sean Hethcock stated that they wanted to place some screening around the home and that, in efforts to conserve water, there was no lawn or pool.

Amy Kakimoto (time donated by Kristine Schindler) handed out a sheet titled Chapter 17.68. She stated that she lived across the street from the proposed project and that she was concerned about the landscape plan of the proposed project. She stated that there would be a dense wall of trees which would impact her ocean view, the landscape plan violated the requirements for the development review permit, it included 46 new large trees, that 20 trees would line the front of the property, that her view would be of the trees, and that there would be view impacts to many neighbors. She stated that the applicants disregarded the views of neighbors, the character of the neighborhood and loss

of views would be impacted by the plan, and that the landscape plan should be denied by the City Council.

Bill Kakimoto stated that he lived across the street from the proposed project, that he agreed with the previous speaker, the current vegetation at the property was fine, but that he was concerned about the proposed project, and distributed a petition signed by 52 surrounding neighbors opposing the landscape plan.

Gary Martin (time donated by Nancy Brown) submitted documents (on file) and stated that he handed out a chart of trees, the most recent landscape plan, and horticulture literature. He stated that he had appreciated the applicant's efforts to work with the neighbors, however, the magnolia tree selected by the applicants could grow up to 20 feet, that the 20 trees in the front could grow from 25-30 feet tall, and that the trees would impact the views of neighbors. He stated that the plan was not compatible with the area, pruning was not a remedy, and that it was a huge impact to the neighborhood.

Jack Hegenauer (time donated by Judy Hagenauer) stated that he was puzzled why southern plants would be planted in the desert, there was no detail to the landscape plan, and that it did not satisfy the requirements of the City's model ordinance which required the plan to be signed off by a landscape architect. He stated that it was not prepared in compliance with the City's landscape regulations, that certification of efficient use of water was not provided, there were no provisions for a defensible space for fire safety, that few drought tolerant or native species were included, and that no attempt had been made to make the landscape compatible with the surrounding area. He stated that the plantings would not be sensitive to view obstructions when they matured and that the City should try to enforce the City's landscape ordinance as well as have landscape plan redone.

Bert Nielsen, submitted documents (on file). He stated that his past experience working with the applicants was they had requested that he move his palm tree to not obstruct the Hethcock view and they he did so, and that he and his wife were then surprised to see the landscape plan which included so many trees that would impact the views of many neighbors. He stated that the second issue concerned sheet A.3.1 of the building plans, that were included in his handout, that showed the proposed design of the lower level of the house, his concern that the 4th bedroom and bathroom would become an accessory unit, and he requested City Staff to evaluate the design plan of the 4th bedroom and stated that he was opposed to the project and requested that Council denial of the project.

Janet Nielson submitted copies of the project building plans (on file). She stated that her home was west of the project, that the west building façade looked like a commercial building because it was a flat two-story wall, that she was opposed to the project and requested that Council deny the project. She stated that the project was not compatible to the neighborhood and that urged Council to deny the project in its present form.

Don Miller read a portion of a poem. He read out of "Western Garden" book about magnolia trees, and said that there were 65 different types of magnolias, that 13 of the 65 were less than 20 feet, and that they were all hybrids. He stated that magnolias were listed as normal water consuming trees and that the City should be concerned with the required water usage.

John Berry stated that he was the architect for the neighbors across from the project and they had requested for him to represent them and requested denial of the project. He stated that they had reviewed the landscape plan, there would be substantial view blockage to their property when the trees matured, that magnolias were not trees that should be pruned, that his clients asked him to voice concerns regarding view impairment to their home from this project, and requested denial of the plan.

Ms. Hethcock, stated that they would make different plant choices and that their intent was never to block others views. Mr. Hethcock stated they would resubmit a new landscape plan.

John Wilson, architect, stated that the 4th bedroom could be modified to give access through the house if needed.

Ms. Hethcock stated that the access to the 4th bedroom from the outside was for intended for guests.

Deputy Mayor Heebner summarized the discussion and stated that the applicants would resubmit a new landscape plan.

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, stated that the public hearing would remain open, that the City Council was strongly discouraged from discussing the project, and that any new discussions regarding the project should be disclosed at the next Council meeting the project is heard which is scheduled to be on September 24th.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Zahn to continue the public hearing to the September 24, 2014 Council Meeting. **Motion carried 4/0/1** (Recuse: Nichols.)

Deputy Mayor Heebner recessed the meeting at 8:00 p.m. for a break and reconvened at 8:10 p.m.

C. STAFF REPORTS: (C.1.,C.3.)

Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk

C.1. <u>Public Arts Advisory Commission (PAAC) Requested Name Change. (File 0120-80)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Discuss the PAAC's request for a name change and, if approved, direct Staff to bring the item back at a later date to introduce an Ordinance to officially change the name to the Public Arts Commission (PAC).

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Council discussed that all of the City's Citizen Commissions were advisory to the Council, other than the View Assessment Commission, and that the other Commissions did not include the word "advisory" in their name.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Nichols to proceed with preparing an ordinance for the name change. **Motion carried unanimously.**

C.3. <u>Sewer Transmission Agreement with City of Del Mar (File 0400-10)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

 Adopt Resolution 2014-098 authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement with the City of Del Mar for conveyance of wastewater through the City of Solana Beach to the San Elijo Water Reclamation Facility.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mo Sammak, Director of Public Works/Engineering presented a power point (on file).

Council and Staff discussed that an open trench approximately 5-6 feet deep and 24-30 inches wide would be dug on Cedros Avenue for the connection to the trunk line, that the design was mutually agreed on by both cities, and that there would be a review process to select the exact location of the trench. Discussion between Council and Staff continued that the City of Del Mar would be financing the construction of the forcemain and for returning the road to its initial condition, that the road would remain open during construction with no disruption to traffic, and that traffic calming measures could be reviewed for the area prior to completing the road.

Mike Thornton, General Manager of San Elijo Joint Powers Authority (JPA), stated that the outfall was originally constructed in the 1960s, that it was solely owned by the San Elijo JPA, in the 1970s the City of Escondido connected to the outfall and expanded it, the City of Escondido owned 79% of the outfall and San Elijo JPA 21%, and that the outfall went about 1 mile into the ocean and discharged at a depth of about 150 feet.

Council and Mr. Thornton discussed that the JPA owned the waste and that the intent was to recycle a large amount of the waste, that the JPA also owned and

operated a water reclamation facility and their intent was to recycle the water, that someone had already offered to purchase 50% of the water, and that the water would be sent back through to the communities. Discussion continued that approximately 500,000 acre feet of water would be produced by the project, the revenue that would be generated from the project for the JPA, that Escondido had approved 2 million dollars for recycled water projects in their city, water scarcity was significant in California, and that the JPA would have real time information collected at the pump station which would be transmitted to the City of Solana Beach, Del Mar, and to the JPA who would monitor the flow levels and speeds.

<u>MOTION:</u> Moved by Zito and seconded by Campbell. **Motion** carried unanimously.

COMPENSATION & REIMBURSEMENT DISCLOSURE:

GC: Article 2.3. Compensation: 53232.3. (a) Reimbursable expenses shall include, but not be limited to, meals, lodging, and travel. 53232.3 (d) Members of a legislative body shall provide brief reports on meetings attended at the expense of the local agency at the next regular meeting of the legislative body.

Councilmember Zahn reported that he received tickets to the San Diego County Fair from the 22nd District Agricultural Association.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Regional Committees: (outside agencies, appointed by this Council)

- a. City Selection Committee (meets twice a year) Nichols, Heebner (alternate).
- b. County Service Area 17 Zahn, Campbell (alternate).
- c. Escondido Creek Watershed Authority Zito.
- d. League of Ca. Cities' San Diego County Executive Committee Nichols, Heebner (alternate) and any subcommittees.
- e. League of Ca. Cities' Local Legislative Committee Nichols, Heebner (alternate).
- f. League of Ca. Cities' Coastal Cities Issues Group (CCIG) Nichols, Heebner (alternate).
- g. North County Dispatch JPA Zahn, Nichols (alternate).
- h. North County Transit District Nichols, Heebner (1st alternate)
- i. Regional Solid Waste Association (RSWA) Nichols, Zahn (alternate).
- j. SANDAG Heebner (Primary), Nichols (1st alternate), Zito (2nd alternate) and any subcommittees.
- k. SANDAG Shoreline Preservation Committee Nichols, Heebner (alternate).
- I. San Dieguito River Valley JPA Zito, Zahn (alternate).
- m. San Elijo JPA Campbell, Zito (both primary members) (no alternates).

n. 22nd Agricultural District Association Community Relations Committee - Heebner, Campbell.

Standing Committees: (All Primary Members) (Permanent Committees)

- a. Business Liaison Committee Campbell, Zahn.
- b. Highway 101 / Cedros Ave. Development Committee Nichols, Heebner.
- c. I-5 Construction Committee Heebner, Zito.
- d. Parks and Recreation Committee Nichols, Heebner.
- e. Public Arts Committee Nichols, Zito.
- f. School Relations Committee Zito, Zahn.

Ad Hoc Committees: (All Primary Members) (Temporary Committees)

- a. Army Corps of Engineers & Regional Beach Nourishment Campbell, Nichols. Expires December 10, 2014.
- b. Development Review Nichols, Heebner. Expires October 22, 2014.
- c. Environmental Sustainability Heebner, Zahn. Expires December 10, 2014.
- d. Fire Department Management Governance Zito, Zahn. Expires July 09, 2014.
- e. Fiscal Sustainability Campbell, Zito. Expires June 10, 2015.
- f. Gateway Property Campbell, Heebner. Expires April 8, 2015.
- g. General Plan Nichols, Zito. Expires July 09, 2014.
- h. La Colonia Park Nichols, Heebner. Expires June 10, 2015.
- i. Local Coastal Plan Ad-Hoc Committee Campbell, Nichols. Expires January 21, 2015 or at the California Coastal Commission adoption.
- j. NCTD / Train Station Site Project Ad Hoc Committee Nichols, Heebner. Expires January 21, 2015.
- k. View Assessment Heebner, Zito. Expires June 10, 2015.

ADJOURN:

Deputy Mayor Heebner adjourned the meeting at 8:37 p.m.

Angela lveý, Çity Clerk

Approved: September 10, 2014