CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY

JOINT REGULAR MEETING

MINUTES

6:00 P.M. Wednesday, January 11, 2012

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 635 S. HIGHWAY 101, SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA The City Council acts as the City of Solana Beach Redevelopment Agency and the Public Financing Authority.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Present: Kellejian, Roberts, Nichols, Campbell, and Heebner. Absent: None. Also Present: David Ott, City Manager Johanna Canlas, City Attorney Angela Ivey, City Clerk Wende Protzman, Deputy City Mgr/Community Development Dir. Mo Sammak, City Engineer/Public Works Dir. Marie Berkuti, Finance Manager Dan King, Sr. Management Analyst

Mayor Kellejian called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION REPORT: (when applicable)

No reportable action.

FLAG SALUTE:

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

MOTION: Moved by Nichols and seconded by Campbell to approve except Item C.1. which is pulled from the agenda. **Motion carried unanimously.**

PROCLAMATIONS/CERTIFICATES:

None at the posting of this agenda

PRESENTATIONS:

(Ceremonial items that do not contain in-depth discussion and no action/direction.)

1. Regional Beach Sand Project - Surf Monitoring Program

Julia Chunn, Surfrider, presented a powerpoint (on file) reviewing the beach sand program.

Tim Chandler said that his company was 7 year old and started in Australia, turn camera sin sensing systems, that they obtained funding from the Australia govvernment to tie their cameras to a network, they had the bility to run algorithms to track breaking water, the purpose was to look at shoreline the related environmental variables and study impact over time, that Surfrider had approached them, that they look for enough visibility and the right angles in order to place cameras, that he had been doing this type of work for 15 yrs, and 5-6 years with measurements across the world, and that they would like to gain information to begin to create a baseline.

Council and Mr. Chandler discussed the ideal placement location being the Fletcher Cove PA speaker in front of the Lifeguard station on the cliff, that there was no power at this time but they were looking into options, that their company was also registered in Delaware and they were a California business, that there were being compensated for their work, and that they had no other affiliations, they had peer review, published papers, and presented regularly at ocean conferences. buoys.

Council and Ms. Chunn discussed that the City would be involved in the placement and that Surfrider would provide the funding to stabilize the pole if needed to ensure it was safe.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by submitting a speaker slip (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

Mel Green stated that the issue with the low income housing development was the interference with the community's quality of life and ability to take part in activities, that the development was proposed to take 18 months and would affect parking, traffic, air space, that most of the community was against it, and that they had presented 400 signatures to the city.

He stated that no one would like a big construction project across from homes, that they would lose all parking spaces permanently, that he wasv speaking for everyone up and down the street, that they did not understand why theCity would give up a valuable asset, that they knew the City was required to do something but it seemed like wrong place to do it.

Councilmember Heebner said that the article she was quoted in was disturbing to her, that she mentioned other issues brought up by the community that were not in the article. She stated that sometimes you don't know how to you will be edited, and that we have heard everything you have said as objections and the article pointed on that one.

Bill Gifford stated that he was against the complex, and that he'd spent the last 4 days at post office to gather petitions, that 9 out of 10 people signed the petition against this project, that there were a lot of legitimate complaints about this project and they would be bringing those forth.

Bob Wang said that he hoped that the City could find an alternative way to address this project, that the prime real estate could generate more revenue for the City budget to serve the citizens of Solana Beach, including those needing affordable housing.

Andreas Loeffler said the affordable housing project was not a fit for the area considering the size of the building and where it would stand in relation those around it, that it would decrease the value of the surrounding properties, that he understood the need to build affordable housing over the next several years but wondered why the first one would be built in the best location of the city, that other areas within the city could be better options to start, that a smaller unit on the Sierra location in the future that better fit the character of the beach area.

Martin Schmidt said that the affordable housing project would be detrimental to everything council has done, that he read some comments heard in response to the article in the Solana Beach Sun, that although they were not his comments I agreed with all of them.

COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Council announced community activity.

A. <u>CONSENT CALENDAR: (Action Items) (A.1. - A.11.)</u>

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern <u>by submitting to the</u> <u>City Clerk a speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) before the Consent Calendar is addressed. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the <u>Council</u> will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the <u>public</u> will be discussed immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar.

A.1. Waive the reading of Ordinances.

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve waiving the text reading of ordinances on this agenda pursuant to Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 2.04.460.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.2. Minutes of the City Council.

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve the Minutes of the City Council Meetings held September 14, 2011.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.3. Register Of Demands. (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for November 19 - December 16, 2011.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.4. General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12 Changes.

(File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the report listing changes made to the Fiscal Year 2011-2012 General Fund Adopted Budget.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.5. Proposed 2012 City Council Meeting Cancellations and Rescheduling. (File 0410-05)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2012-002 authorizing the cancellation and/or rescheduling of Council meetings for the 2012 calendar year.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.6. Re-establish the North County Transit District (NCTD) / Train Station Site Ad Hoc Committees. (File 0410-48)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2012-005 re-establishing the NCTD/Train Station Site Ad Hoc Committee.
- 2. Re-appoint the two existing Councilmembers (Heebner, Nichols)

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.7. Vehicles for Fire, Marine Safety and Public Works Departments. (File 0370-26)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2012-001:
 - a. Approving the purchase of a new replacement Fire Department rescue Staff vehicle, necessary emergency response equipment and to retrofit the emergency response

vehicle with emergency lights, warning devices, and communication devices at a cost not to exceed \$67,000.

- b. Approving the appropriation of \$58,000 from the Asset Replacement fund for the purchase of a Fire Department Staff vehicle and appropriating \$16,000 into the Public Safety Special Revenue Fund, state grant revenue and vehicle expenditure accounts for the purchase of emergency radios.
- c. Approving the purchase of a Marine Safety vehicle and to retrofit the emergency response vehicle with emergency lights, warning devices and an equipment rack at a cost not to exceed \$34,000.
- d. Approving the purchase of a new replacement Public Works pick-up truck and additional optional equipment to include a trailer-towing package with receiver hitch, daytime running lights, vinyl seats, heavy duty suspension, e-locking rear axle and utility bed at a cost not to exceed \$30,000.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.9. Marine View Avenue and Lomas Santa Fe Drive Quitclaim Rightof-Way for Interchange Modification. (File 0490-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2012-003 authorizing the City Manager to sign a quitclaim deed, transferring City right-of-way to the State for the completed Interstate 5 at Lomas Santa Fe Drive interchange modification.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.10. Second Amended Enforceable Obligations Payment Schedule of the Solana Beach Redevelopment Agency. (File 0115-20)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution RDA 054 amending the enforceable obligation to the agency.
- MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried

unanimously.

A.11. Construction Management of Highway 101 Agreement - West Side Improvements Project. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2012-012 authorizing the City Manager a Professional Service Agreement with Infrastructure Engineering Corporation in the amount of \$404,000 for construction management services associated with the Highway 101 West Side Improvements.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. Motion carried unanimously.

A.8. Pavement Management Program Update. (File 0400-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2012-006:
 - a. Approving a Professional Services Agreement with Harris & Associates, in the amount of \$28,760, to conduct a pavement management program software update of all City streets.
 - b. Authorizing the City Manager to execute the agreement on behalf of the City and to extend the agreement expiration time as long as the total amount of the agreement does not exceed \$28,760.

This item was pulled from the public.

David Ott, City Manager, introduce the item.

Douglas Alden requested that Council direct Staff to add the Solana Beach Bicycle Advisory to notifications for all pavement projects.

David Ott, City Manager, stated that Staff could try to include them, but that he encourhaged them to sign up for the City's Agenda e-blast service.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Nichols. **Motion carried unanimously.**

NOTE: The City Council shall not begin a new agenda item after 10:30 p.m.

unless approved by a unanimous vote of all members present. (SBMC 2.04.070)

B. <u>PUBLIC HEARINGS: (B.1. - B.2.)</u>

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by <u>submitting a speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designees for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. All other speakers have three minutes each. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

B.1. <u>Development Review Permit at 347, 355, and 357 Pacific Avenue,</u> <u>Applicants: Mariani/Bloom/Scism, Case DRP 17-11-21. (File</u> 0600-40)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing; Report Council Disclosures; Receive Public Testimony; Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project categorically exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to 2011 State California CEQA Guidelines Section 15304, minor alteration of land.
- 3. Adopt Resolution 2012-007 approving DRP 17-11- 21 to reconstruct a mid and upper coastal bluff with geogrid, soil and landscaping at three properties at on the reconstructed bluff face at 347, 355 and 357 Pacific Avenue, Solana Beach.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Corey Johnson, Assistant Planner, presented a powerpoint (on file) reviewing the proposed project.

Council requested more explanation of the request and David Ott, City Manager, explaiend that the request was to bond all caisons that including exposed and unexposed caisons, within a five year period, so that they would not have to return to do the others as they were discovered.

Council and Staff discussion continued regarding temporary irrigation and consistency with the City's LCP, uncertainty on the wind screens, that over 400 proposed shrubs in various sizes requiring deep digging on the steep slope as well as a burm to hold in place.

Jim Nolton, 3rd party consultant, Geopacific, said that he had seen it done before, that it was an extremely steep slope and would require workers to use harnesses, that the example showed of an Encinitas job with a similar slope containing several 5 gallon and 1 gallon put in, which was a difficult process, that the lower area of the beach had to be roped off.

Council and Consultant discussed the concern of the sizes of the shrubs around 5 gallon, that the 1 gallon would be more preferable and manageable, that with a 5 gallon pot there would be possibility of excavating too deep, whether the elimination of 5 gallon containers would provide less less opportunity for erosion, whether the density was part of the Coastal mandate, that shrubs in a recent project with little spacing between the shrubs created a very dense growth, and that they would be removed from the containers.

Council disclosed their familiarity with the project.

Bob Trettin, applicant representative, said that the family had wanted to fix fo 10 years, they had a small bluff failurein 2002 and that another neighbor refused to do anything, that a condition under that permit was to do mid-bluff reconstruction, that in the preceding 5 years they had most of the bluff, that a neighbor who had a bluff failure had received a permit to repair theirs and built a lower seawall, that when they applied afterwards they were denied because they said these bluffs were too vertical no contour, that they now had a plan to bring the slope down and then back up, that Scism was approved in 2009, asked Council to provide a five year permit to bond for everything without having to return for the ongoing maintenance of exposed caisons, and that City Staff talked to Coastal and showed them 828 property.

David Ott, City Manager, stated that the City did not show 828 to Coastal but that Coastal showed the applicant the 828 property and said that it was what they liked.

Mr. Trettin continued stating that there were 5 gallon plantings next door, that it was difficult and very expensive, that Coastal loved container plantings because it looked good sooner, that Coastal liked to have the wall they would approve covered so that was why the five gallons were proposed but not sure if they would care about a 1 gallon instead, and that it was a complicated matter.

Council and Applicant Representative discussed the proposal for hydroseed, that the density of the plantings semed extremely dense, that the hydroseed would promote growth, that David Reeds Landscape Architects had a 1/3 less plants than the current proposal, that the intermittence of diversion scars made the composition of the bluffs attractive, that there had to be a progam in place to control the future growth, and that when they had proposed two years with the landscape architect but Coastal had tried to make it less.

Jim Jaffe (time donated by Julia Chunn) said that he was the Chair of the Beach Preservation Committee that focused on beach/surf monitoring, that they think that that the land lease recreation fee should be applied since this land was on the City's land and that is was not mentioned in the conditions, whether there was stability analysis of the 5 gallon planters, whether a bluff update triggered a notice to the assessor for update to assessment, to take a deposit on the three 3 properties, and place additional protection measures on the upper bluff.

Bob continued with his remaining time, and said that the Coastal Commission review would approve the applicant's proposal to pay the fee, that the City said that Coastal had to condition the fee and then the City could receive it, and that they would be back to Council in the future for the lower walls.

Council and Staff discussed the land use fee deposit, that there was not a fee at this time since it as the upper bluff and they were not building a wall, that the measuring of the face of the bluff of where the protection device will be in the future will apply later, that the Coastal Commission could propose their own fees and add additional conditions, that Coastal would impose the fee due to their permit, it was questionable whether this project had nothing to do with the lower wall, they the angle proposed was steepening the slope, that the fee was based on the linear fee of the wall constructed, that it did not seem like an assessment would change based on a landscape plan.

Mr. Trettin stated that the assessor had deteremined that a seawall restored the value of a home instead of improving the value.

Council and Mr. Nolton discussed the stability differences between 1 and 5 gallon planters, that it would not change the stability, that in some areas the necessary digging may have reach into the geogride reinforcement and cut into the wall, however it would not affect the overall slope.

MOTION: Moved by Heebner and seconded by Nichols to close the public hearing. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Council discussion ensued regarding the concern about the lack fo specificity of irrigation, that it seemed safer and easier to place one gallon plants and that this size would catch up quickly to spread out, it would save time and money, spacing

the plants would help it not turn into a forest, part of the conditions were to indemnify the City, to consider reopening the hearing and continue it to a date certain, to tighten up the bonding issue, that the issue with irrigation that had to be resolved, to ensure that it was consistent with the Local Coastal Plan, to consider having Staff review the final issues and have them addressed in order to proceed and delegate the final review by the City Manager, that the City Manager would not approve unless certain issues were met based on this evening's discussion regarding irrigation, plant density, wind screens, financing bonding, and investigation regarding the Local Coastal Plan.

MOTION: Moved by Campbell and seconded by Roberts with modifications. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Mayor Kellejian recessed the meeting at 8:00 p.m. for a break and reconvened at 8:05 p.m.

B.2. <u>Development Review Permit and Structure Development Permit</u> <u>Amendment for Solana Beach Corporate Centre Building 5,</u> <u>Applicant: American Assets, Case 17-11-16. (File 0600-40)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the public hearing, Report Council disclosures, Receive public testimony, Close the public hearing.
- 2. Find the application in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the existing certified Final EIR and subsequent Initial Study, Mitigated Negative Declaration, Addendum and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt Resolution 2012-004 conditionally approving a DRP Amendment and administrative SDP to construct a new 10,300 square foot retail/office building and two-level parking structure at the Solana Beach Corporate Centre.

Councilmember Nichols recused himself from the item due to a previous employment relationship with the candidate.

Councilmember Campbell was advised by the Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, to recuse himself due to a long term lease he holds within 500 feet of the project.

Councilmember Campbell stated that it is a rental, not ownership, and that he

therefore does not see a conflict, but he respects the advice from Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, and does not want to jeopardize a decision.

Mayor Kellejian opened the public hearing.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Greg Hueners, Assistant Planner, presented a powerpoint (on file) reviewing the proposed project.

Council inquired about the why the two lots were not connected by a street and that there was a potential road in the plans and clarified that the permit expired in two years.

Council disclosed their familiarity with the project.

Mark Swenson, Studio C Architects, showed the site plan and explained that they took advice from the tenants and made the building skinnier to make it more leasable, that they changed the driveway and deck areas to try and mitigate a tunnel affect, that it would be difficult to connect the road due to the grading of that area, and that architecturally the building was going to keep the same structure with the same character color scheme as the previous approval. He stated that the building would be a mixed-use building with retail, restaurant, and professional offices, and that if a tenant wanted a specific square footage, that more depth would make the space too skinny.

Council and Mr. Swenson discussed the buffer on the North end and that the elevation had not changed, the traffic and crosswalks around the project to make it more walkable, and that efforts were to create a mix of different types of businesses.

Douglas Alden (time donated by Jill Cooper and Carl Rudnick) stated that he was the Chair of the Solana Beach Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee, requested that sharrows be painted on the road, to look into the traffic signals at San Rodolfo and Stevens to trigger for cyclists, that the City consider including bike lane striping in the project, to consider moving the bike parking to the front of the parking lot, to adjust the cross signal at San Rodolfo and Stevens so that pedestrians get the go ahead before cars, consider installing a speed table to drop the speed of cars coming down the hill, that there was no pedestrian access from Academy Drive, adding pop-outs on curbs at Stevens and San Rodolfo for pedestrians, and eventually add bike lanes and traffic calming.

Douglas Alden stated that if a bicyclist wanted to turn left, the light would not turn unless there was a car behind them, and that the sharrow would be in a narrow lane, that it made others aware that a cyclist had the right to be in the lane, and that cyclists did not want to ride close to parked cars.

Council and Staff discussed the fact that San Rodolfo was a public road, that most issues would be at the expense of the City, that there had to be a nexus, that there would have to be an impact identified to a proposed property, the percentage of the impact would have to be determined, the sharrows would take a traffic study analysis, that the cameras were very expensive, the bike parking locatoin at the property, pedestrian entrance, and pop-outs at the curb.

Council, Staff, and the Applicant discussed the pedestrian access to and between the buildings, moving the bike rack location, whether or not bicycle stripping could be placed on San Rodolfo but that it would be up to the City, and that the Applicant was willing to add audible to the crosswalk.

MOTION: Moved by Roberts and seconded by Heebner to close the public hearing. **Motion carried 3/0/2** (Recuse: Campbell,) Nichols.)

Councilmember Heebner motioned to approve the project with a few things added, including moving the bike parking location or adding another one and adding an audible signal.

Councilmember Roberts seconded motion, and clarified that the pedestian enhancements would be done to the City Manager's satisfaction.

MOTION: Moved by Heebner and seconded by Roberts with modifications **Motion carried 3/0/2** (Recuse: Campbell,) Nichols.)

- C. <u>STAFF REPORTS: (C.1. C.4.)</u> Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk
 - C.1. <u>Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) Fiscal Year</u> 2009-10. (File 0310-22)

This item will be pulled from the agenda at this time and submitted at a future agenda.

Recommendation: That the City Council

C.2. <u>Community Grant Program Awards Fiscal Year 2011-12</u> -<u>Remaining Allocations. (File 0330-25)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Select the remaining Fiscal Year 2011-12 Community Grant Program recipients and identify an award amount to each recipient.
- 2. Adopt Resolution 2012-008 authorizing the funding for the selected community grants recipients for financial assistance under the FY 2011-12 Community Grant Program.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Danny King, Sr. Management Analyst, presented a powerpoint (on file).

Council discussed various allocation options and updates to submittals.

MOTION: Moved by Heebner and seconded by Roberts approved the following allocations: Clean and Green \$1,000; Community Resource Center \$5,000; Solana Beach Little League \$2,500; St. James & St. Leo's Medical/Dental \$2,000; Boys and Girls Club \$1,125; La Colonia de Eden Gardens \$2,250; Solana Beach PTA \$1,125 **Motion carried unanimously.**

C.3. <u>Election Regarding Successor Agency/Successor Housing</u> <u>Agency to Dissolving Redevelopment Agency. (File 0115-30)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt Resolution 2012-011 electing a Successor Agency.

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, presented a powerpoint (on file)

Council and Staff had general discussion.

MOTION: Moved by Heebner and seconded by Roberts. Motion carried unanimously.

Council and Staff discussion ensued regarding the fact that it would not ceate an obligation against the general fund, that the City's bond proceeds were subject to certain conditions and that it would be difficult to argue against it, any potential ability to adopt conditions, the concern about the City getting stuck with the obligation down the road, there would always be an opportunity to delegate it to another agency, that one large city had elected not to set up a Successor Agency but the vast majority of cities were setting up the agency to preserve rights, that legal advisors of the League were to set up a Successor Agency, that there was always the ability to opt out in the future.

C.4. <u>22nd District Agricultural Association Community Relations</u> <u>Committee Update on Discussions with the City of Del Mar</u> <u>Regarding the Sale of the Del Mar. (File 0150-85)</u>

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Discuss the item and provide direction to the 22nd District Agricultural Association Community Relations Ad Hoc Committee as necessary.

David Ott, City Manager, introduced the item.

Councilmember Heebner said she spoke with the Governor's Office and that they had received applications for their board and they asked for her suggestion among the applicants, and that she suggested Jack Hegenauer.

WORKPLAN COMMENTS:

(Adopted June 23, 2010)

COMPENSATION & REIMBURSEMENT DISCLOSURE:

GC: Article 2.3. Compensation: 53232.3. (a) Reimbursable expenses shall include, but not be limited to, meals, lodging, and travel. 53232.3 (d) Members of a legislative body shall provide brief reports on meetings attended at the expense of the local agency at the next regular meeting of the legislative body.

Deputy Mayor Roberts repoted that he attended the League of California Cities and that the City had paid for the year's luncheons.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Regional Committees: (outside agencies, appointed by this Council)

- a. City Selection Committee Roberts (meets twice a year).
- b. County Service Area 17 Campbell, Nichols (alternate).
- c. Escondido Creek Watershed Authority Nichols, Roberts (alternate).
- d. League of Ca. Cities' San Diego County Executive Committee -Roberts, Kellejian (alternate) and any subcommittees.
- e. League of Ca. Cities' Local Legislative Committee Roberts, Kellejian (alternate).
- f. League of Ca. Cities' Coastal Cities Issues Group (CCIG) Kellejian, Roberts (alternate).
- g. North County Dispatch JPA Nichols, Campbell (alternate).
- h. North County Transit District Roberts, Nichols (1st alternate), Heebner (2nd alternate)

- i. Regional Solid Waste Association (RSWA) Nichols, Kellejian (alternate).
- j. SANDAG Heebner (Primary), Nichols (1st alternate), Roberts (2nd alternate) and any subcommittees.
- k. SANDAG Shoreline Preservation Committee Kellejian, Roberts (alternate).
- I. San Dieguito River Valley JPA Heebner, Nichols (alternate).
- m. San Elijo JPA Campbell, Roberts (both primary members) (no alternates).
- n. 22nd Agricultural District Association Community Relations Committee -Heebner, Roberts.

Standing Committees: (All Primary Members) (Permanent Committees)

- a. Business Liaison Committee Roberts, Campbell.
- b. Highway 101 / Cedros Ave. Development Committee Nichols, Heebner.
- c. I-5 Construction Committee Heebner, Roberts.
- d. Parks and Recreation Committee Heebner, Nichols
- e. Public Arts Committee Roberts, Nichols.
- f. School Relations Committee Roberts, Nichols.

Ad Hoc Committees: (All Primary Members) (Temporary Committees)

- a. Army Corps of Engineers & Regional Beach Nourishment Kellejian, Campbell. Expires December 6, 2012.
- b. Development Review Nichols, Heebner. Expires November 15, 2012.
- c. Environmental Sustainability Roberts, Heebner. Expires December 6, 2012.
- d. Fire Department Management Governance-Kellejian, Roberts. Expires July 12, 2012.
- e. Fiscal Sustainability Campbell, Roberts. Expires June 28, 2012.
- f. Fletcher Cove Campbell, Heebner. Expires May 9, 2012.
- g. General Plan Campbell, Nichols. Expires July 12, 2012.
- h. La Colonia Park Nichols, Heebner. Expires May 10, 2012.
- i. Local Coastal Plan Ad-Hoc Committee Roberts, Campbell. Expires February 8, 2012 or at the California Coastal Commission adoption.
- j. NCTD / Train Station Site Project Ad Hoc Committee Heebner, Nichols. Expires January 11, 2012
- k. View Assessment Nichols, Heebner. Expires February 23, 2012

ADJOURN:

Mayor Kellejian adjourned the meeting at 10:08 p.m.

(Unl Angela Ivey, City Clerk

Approved: April 11, 2012