## CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY



# AGENDA

Joint REGULAR /Meeting Wednesday, April 10, 2019 \* 6:00 p.m.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California

- City Council meetings are video recorded and archived as a permanent record. The <u>video</u> recording captures the complete proceedings of the meeting and is available for viewing on the City's website.
- Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time prior to meetings for processing new submittals. Complete records containing meeting handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a <u>Records</u> <u>Request</u>.

#### PUBLIC MEETING ACCESS

The Regular Meetings of the City Council are scheduled for the 2nd and 4th Wednesdays and are broadcast live on Cox Communications-Channel 19, Spectrum(Time Warner)-Channel 24, and AT&T U-verse Channel 99. The video taping of meetings are maintained as a permanent record and contain a detailed account of the proceedings. Council meeting tapings are archived and available for viewing on the City's <u>Public Meetings</u> webpage.

#### **AGENDA MATERIALS**

A full City Council agenda packet including relative supporting documentation is available at City Hall, the Solana Beach Branch Library (157 Stevens Ave.), La Colonia Community Ctr., and online www.cityofsolanabeach.org. Agendas are posted at least 72 hours prior to regular meetings and at least 24 hours prior to special meetings. Writings and documents regarding an agenda of an open session meeting, received after the official posting, and distributed to the Council for consideration, will be made available for public viewing at the same time. In addition, items received at least 1 hour 30 minutes prior to the meeting time will be uploaded online with the courtesy agenda posting. Materials submitted for consideration should be forwarded to the City Clerk's department 858-720-2400. The designated location for viewing of hard copies is the City Clerk's office at City Hall during normal business hours.

#### **SPEAKERS**

Please submit a speaker slip to the City Clerk prior to the meeting, or the announcement of the Section/Item, to provide public comment. Allotted times for speaking are outlined on the speaker's slip for each agenda section: Oral Communications, Consent, Public Hearings and Staff Reports.

#### SPECIAL ASSISTANCE NEEDED

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, persons with a disability may request an agenda in appropriate alternative formats as required by Section 202. Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in a meeting should direct such request to the <u>City Clerk's office</u> (858) 720-2400 at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.

As a courtesy to all meeting attendees, <u>please set cellular phones and pagers to silent mode</u> and engage in conversations outside the Council Chambers.

	CITY COUNCILMEMBERS							
	David A. Zito, Mayor							
Jewel Edson, Deputy N	Jewel Edson, Deputy Mayor Judy Hegenauer, Councilmember							
Kristi Becker, Councilm	ember	Kelly Harless, Councilmember						
Gregory Wade City Manager	Johanna Canlas City Attorney	Angela Ivey City Clerk						

April 10, 2019

#### SPEAKERS:

Please submit your speaker slip to the City Clerk prior to the meeting or the announcement of the Item. Allotted times for speaking are outlined on the speaker's slip for Oral Communications, Consent, Public Hearings and Staff Reports.

#### READING OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS:

Pursuant to <u>Solana Beach Municipal Code</u> Section 2.04.460, at the time of introduction or adoption of an ordinance or adoption of a resolution, the same shall not be read in full unless after the reading of the title, further reading is requested by a member of the Council. If any Councilmember so requests, the ordinance or resolution shall be read in full. In the absence of such a request, this section shall constitute a waiver by the council of such reading.

## CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

#### **CLOSED SESSION REPORT**: (when applicable)

## FLAG SALUTE:

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

#### **PROCLAMATIONS/CERTIFICATES:** Ceremonial

None at the posting of this agenda

**PRESENTATIONS:** Ceremonial items that do not contain in-depth discussion and no action/direction.

1. 2020 U.S. Census

## ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by <u>submitting a</u> <u>speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) <u>to the City Clerk</u>. Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

## COUNCIL COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMENTARY:

An opportunity for City Council to make brief announcements or report on their activities. These items are not agendized for official City business with no action or substantive discussion.

April 10, 2019

## A. CONSENT CALENDAR: (Action Items) (A.1. - A.10.)

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern by submitting to the City Clerk a speaker slip (located on the back table) before the <u>Consent Calendar is addressed</u>. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the <u>Council</u> will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the <u>public</u> will be discussed immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar.

## A.1. Register Of Demands. (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for March 9 – March 22, 2019.

#### Item A.1. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

## A.2. General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Changes. (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the report listing changes made to the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 General Fund Adopted Budget.

#### Item A.2. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

#### A.3. List of Projects to Receive Road Maintenance Rehabilitation Account Funding as Required by Senate Bill 1 for Fiscal Year 2019/20. (File 0820-35)

Recommendation: That the City Council

#### 1. Adopt Resolution 2019-035:

- a. Authorizing the City Engineer to establish a project list for the Fiscal Year 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds in-part from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account designating the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project, as identified in the City's FY 2019/20 Capital Improvement Program list, to receive the SB 1 funding. It is anticipated that the designated project will rehabilitate the pavement on portions of South Cedros Avenue, Lomas Santa Fe Drive and various residential streets. It is also anticipated that the designated project will be constructed in fall of 2019 and will have an estimated useful life of approximately 15 years.
- b. Authorizing the City Engineer to submit the project list to the California Transportation Commission for the 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account.

#### Item A.3. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

# A.4. 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project Construction Bid Advertisement. (File 0820-35)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2019-034:
  - a. Approving the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repairs as part of the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.
  - b. Authorizing the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids for the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.

#### Item A.4. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

## A.5. Environmental Consulting Services Request for Proposals. (File 0600-05)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2019-039** authorizing the City Manager to issue a Request for Proposals for environmental consulting services.

#### Item A.5. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

# A.6. Bayshore Consulting Group Inc. for Solana Energy Alliance Administrative Support Agreement Amendment. (File 1010-45)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2019-040** authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc., for administrative services not to exceed \$44,999 in support of SEA.

Item A.6. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

# A.7. Emergency Storm Drainpipe Repairs Agreement Ratification for City Easement in Solana Beach Towne Centre. (File 0840-50)

#### 1. Adopt Resolution 2019-038:

- a. Declaring an emergency under Public Contract Code sections 20168 and 22050 regarding the storm drain;
- b. Authorizing the City Manager to take any directly related and immediate action required by the emergency, directing Staff to report back to City Council as required by Public Contract Code section 22050 and Resolution No. 2008-091; and
- c. Ratifying the City Manager's decision to:
  - i. Execute a Public Works Agreement with Crest Equipment, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$750,000, for construction and repairs associated with the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
  - ii. Execute a PSA with Structural & Engineering Design Company, for a not-to-exceed amount of \$6,500, for structural engineering support services for the repair of an 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
  - iii. Execute a PSA with Geopacifica, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$28,000, for geotechnical services in the form of inspection, testing and surveying for the repair of an 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.

#### Item A.7. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

## A.8. Minutes of the City Council.

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Approve the Minutes of the City Council Meetings held February 13, 2019.

#### Item A.8. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

## A.9. Solana Beach School District Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU) Amendment and All City Management Services, Inc. Agreement Amendment for Crossing Guard Services. (File 0860-35)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Adopt Resolution 2019-041:
  - a. Approving the Amended MOU with the Solana Beach School District for crossing guard services.
  - b. Approving the Amended PSA with ACMS to provide crossing guard services for one year with the option to extend the agreement for up to four additional one year terms.
  - c. Authorize the City Manager to execute the MOU and PSA pending approval of these items by the Solana Beach School District.
  - d. Appropriating \$7,241 to the Professional Services expenditure account in the Traffic Safety budget unit and \$4,707 to the Reimbursed Cost revenue account, both in the General Fund.
  - e. Authorizing the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2018/19 Adopted Budget accordingly.

#### Item A.9. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

# A.10. Council Policy 21 related to Small Wireless Facilities and Pole License Agreement

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2019-043** authorizing the City Manager to adopt revisions to Council Policy 21 for the purpose of regulating the permitting, design and location of small wireless facilities and approving use of a Pole License Agreement.

#### Item A.10. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

## NOTE: The City Council shall not begin a new agenda item after 10:30 p.m. unless approved by a unanimous vote of all members present. (SBMC 2.04.070)

## **B. PUBLIC HEARINGS:** (B.1. – B.2.)

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by <u>submitting a speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designee(s) for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. All other speakers have three minutes each. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

# B.1. Public Hearing: 346 Glenmont Drive, Applicant: Jonathan and Suzy Weiser, Case# 17-18-06. (File 0600-40)

The proposed project meets the minimum zoning requirements under the SBMC, may be found to be consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1. Conduct the continued Public Hearing: Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt **Resolution 2019-031** conditionally approving a DRP and SDP to demolish a single-family residence, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with a partially subterranean three-car garage and basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive, Solana Beach.

Item B.1. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

# B.2. Public Hearing: 729 Barbara Avenue, Applicant: Mark and Karen Snyder, Case# 17-17-20. (File 0600-40)

The proposed project meets the requirements under the SBMC, is consistent with the General Plan, and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and administratively issue a SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt **Resolution 2019-037** conditionally approving a DRP and an administrative SDP to demolish a single-family residence with attached two-car garage and construct a replacement single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage at 729 Barbara Avenue.

#### Item B.2. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

#### WORK PLAN COMMENTS:

Adopted June 13, 2018

## **COMPENSATION & REIMBURSEMENT DISCLOSURE:**

GC: Article 2.3. Compensation: 53232.3. (a) Reimbursable expenses shall include, but not be limited to, meals, lodging, and travel. 53232.3 (d) Members of a legislative body shall provide brief reports on meetings attended at the expense of the local agency at the next regular meeting of the legislative body.

## COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS: Council Committees

#### **REGIONAL COMMITTEES: (outside agencies, appointed by this Council)**

- a. City Selection Committee (meets twice a year) Primary-Edson, Alternate-Zito
- b. County Service Area 17: Primary- Harless, Alternate-Edson
- c. Escondido Creek Watershed Authority: Becker /Staff (no alternate).
- d. League of Ca. Cities' San Diego County Executive Committee: Primary-Becker, Alternate-Harless and any subcommittees.
- e. League of Ca. Cities' Local Legislative Committee: Primary-Harless, Alternate-Becker
- f. League of Ca. Cities' Coastal Cities Issues Group (CCIG): Primary-Becker, Alternate-Harless
- g. North County Dispatch JPA: Primary-Harless, Alternate-Becker
- h. North County Transit District: Primary-Edson, Alternate-Becker
- i. Regional Solid Waste Association (RSWA): Primary-Hegenauer, Alternate-Becker
- j. SANDAG: Primary-Zito, 1<sup>st</sup> Alternate-Edson, 2<sup>nd</sup> Alternate-Becker, and any subcommittees.
- k. SANDAG Shoreline Preservation Committee: Primary-Hegenauer, Alternate-Zito
- I. San Dieguito River Valley JPA: Primary-Hegenauer, Alternate-Zito
- m. San Elijo JPA: Primary-Zito, Primary-Becker, Alternate-City Manager
- n. 22<sup>nd</sup> Agricultural District Association Community Relations Committee: Primary-Edson, Primary-Harless

## STANDING COMMITTEES: (All Primary Members) (Permanent Committees)

- a. Business Liaison Committee Zito, Edson.
- b. Fire Dept. Management Governance & Organizational Evaluation Harless, Hegenauer
- c. Highway 101 / Cedros Ave. Development Committee Edson, Becker
- d. Parks and Recreation Committee Zito, Harless
- e. Public Arts Committee Edson, Hegenauer
- f. School Relations Committee Hegenauer, Harless
- g. Solana Beach-Del Mar Relations Committee Zito, Edson

## ADJOURN:

## Next Regularly Scheduled Meeting is April 24, 2019

Always refer the City's website Event Calendar for updated schedule or contact City Hall. <u>www.cityofsolanabeach.org</u> 858-720-2400

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

I, Angela Ivey, City Clerk of the City of Solana Beach, do hereby certify that this Agenda for the April 10, 2019 Council Meeting was called by City Council, Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency, Public Financing Authority, and the Housing Authority of the City of Solana Beach, California, was provided and posted on April 3, 2019 at 5:30 p.m. on the City Bulletin Board at the entrance to the City Council Chambers. Said meeting is held at 6:00 p.m., April 10, 2019, in the Council Chambers, at City Hall, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California.

Angela Ivey, City Clerk City of Solana Beach, CA

#### **UPCOMING CITIZEN CITY COMMISSION AND COMMITTEE MEETINGS:**

Regularly Scheduled, or Special Meetings that have been announced, as of this Agenda Posting. Dates, times, locations are all subject to change. See the <u>Citizen Commission's Agenda webpages</u> or the City's Events <u>Calendar</u> for updates.

- Budget & Finance Commission Thursday, April 18, 2019, 5:30 p.m. (City Hall)
- Climate Action Commission
   Wednesday, April 17, 2019, 5:30 p.m. (City Hall)
- Parks & Recreation Commission Thursday, April 11, 2019, 4:00 p.m. (Fletcher Cove Community Center)
- Public Arts Commission Tuesday, May 21, 2019, 5:30 p.m. (City Hall)
- View Assessment Commission Tuesday, April 19, 2019, 6:00 p.m. (Council Chambers)



## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT: Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 Finance **Register of Demands** 

## BACKGROUND:

Section 3.04.020 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code requires that the City Council ratify a register of demands which represents all financial demands made upon the City for the applicable period.

Register of Demands- 03/09/19	) through 03/22/19		
Check Register-Disbursement	Fund (Attachment 1)	\$	509,808.39
Council Payroll	March 14, 2019		4,032.44
Federal & State Taxes	March 14, 2019		384.16
PERS Retirement (EFT)	March 14, 2019		487.43
Net Payroll	March 22, 2019		197,923.08
Federal & State Taxes	March 22, 2019		50,864.26
PERS Retirement (EFT)	March 22, 2019	-	45,561.63
TOTAL		\$	809,061.39

## **DISCUSSION:**

Staff certifies that the register of demands has been reviewed for accuracy, that funds are available to pay the above demands, and that the demands comply with the adopted budget.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

Not a project as defined by CEQA.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

The register of demands for March 9, 2019 through March 21, 2019 reflects total expenditures of \$809,061.39 from various City funding sources.

## WORK PLAN:

#### N/A

CITY COUNCIL ACTION: \_\_\_\_\_

## **OPTIONS:**

- Ratify the register of demands.
- Do not ratify and provide direction.

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council ratify the above register of demands.

## CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

1. Check Register – Disbursement Fund

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

	CASH ACCT C	HECK NO	ISSUE DT VENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
	1011	94479	03/14/19 5137	ABLE PATROL & GUARD, INC	00170007110	FCCC SECURITY-FEB	0.00	125.00
	1011	94480	03/14/19 2906	ACE UNIFORMS & ACCESSORI	00160006120	BOOTS/UNIFORM-BASIN	0.00	743.92
	1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 101	94481 94481 94481 94481 94481 94481 94481 94481 94481	03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832 03/14/19 4832	AT&T CALNET 3 AT&T CALNET 3	00160006120 00160006120 00160006170 00160006170 00150005450 00150005450 00150005450 00150005450	9391012280 12/24-1/23 9391012280 1/24-2/23 9391053651 1/25-2/24 9391012281 1/25-2/24 9391012278 1/24-2/23 9391012282 1/24-2/23 9391053641 1/24-2/23 9391062899 1/24-2/23	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	383.77 423.60 219.57 63.83 2,339.92 19.51 164.68 164.68 3,779.56
	1011	94482	03/14/19 5587	ZACHARY BASIN	00150005400	Z. BASIN-LIVESCAN	0.00	25.00
	1011	94483	03/14/19 5571	BLODGETT BAYLOSIS ENVIRO	21355005550	1716.01/KELLY ENV RVW	0.00	2,250.00
	1011	94484	03/14/19 3935	BMI GENERAL LICENSING IN	25055005570	CONCERT-LICENSE 2019	0.00	358.00
	1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHECK	94485 94485 94485	03/14/19 5441 03/14/19 5441 03/14/19 5441	CALIFORNIA SKATEPARKS CALIFORNIA SKATEPARKS CALIFORNIA SKATEPARKS	459 45994386510 45994386510	9438.10 SKTPRKRTN-FEB 9438.10-SKATE PRK-FEB 9438.10 SKTPRKRTN-FEB	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00 \end{array} $	-2,463.77 46,811.69 2,463.77 46,811.69
	1011	94486	03/14/19 5584	CARLA HAYES	00150005400	C. HAYES-LIVESCAN	0.00	20.00
	1011	94487	03/14/19 1295	CITY OF DEL MAR	00150005450	IT MAINT SUPPORT-JAN	0.00	1,500.00
	1011	94488	03/14/19 2631	CLEAN STREET	00165006550	STREET SWP-FEB	0.00	3,299.92
	1011	94489	03/14/19 127	COX COMMUNICATIONS INC	00150005450	CTYINTRNT 02/19-03/18	0.00	579.69
	1011	94490	03/14/19 2629	D & D DISPOSAL INC	00160006130	ANIMAL DISPOSAL-FEB	0.00	135.00
	1011 1011 TOTAL CHECK	94491 94491	03/14/19 4516 03/14/19 4516	DELL, INC DELL, INC	13550005450 13550005450	4 LAPTOPS-LATUDE 5960 4 DOCKING STNS	0.00 0.00 0.00	5,198.85 856.58 6,055.43
ATT,	1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHECK	94492 94492 94492 94492 94492 94492	03/14/19 134 03/14/19 134 03/14/19 134 03/14/19 134 03/14/19 134	DIXIELINE LUMBER CO INC	00165006530 00165006570 00165006570 00165006570 00165006570	BLACK TOP PATCH SCREWS MR CLEAN ERASERS ADHESV/BROADFIX ADHESV/SEALER	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	86.09 2.50 8.70 9.97 15.44 122.70
AC	1011	94493	03/14/19 4808	DONNOE & ASSOCIATES, INC	00150005400	FIRE ENGNR-EXAM	0.00	363.00
ACHMENT 1	1011 1011 1011 1011	94494 94494 94494 94494 94494	03/14/19 269 03/14/19 269 03/14/19 269 03/14/19 269	DUDEK & ASSOCIATES INC. DUDEK & ASSOCIATES INC. DUDEK & ASSOCIATES INC. DUDEK & ASSOCIATES INC.	50998336510 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550	9833 PUMP STN-SEPT 1714.29/661-781 NARDO 1714.29/661-781 NARDO 1714.29/661-781 NARDO	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00 \end{array}$	2,940.00 1,072.05 6,335.00 500.00

PENTAMATION DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

CASH ACCT CHECK NO ISSUE D	T VENDOR NAME	4	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
TOTAL CHECK					0.00	10,847.05
1011 94495 03/14/1	9 5585 ELYS	IAN CONTRACTORS INC	001	RFND EP4084/143 S CED	0.00	611.00
1011         94496         03/14/1           1011         94496         03/14/1           1011         94496         03/14/1           1011         94496         03/14/1           1011         94496         03/14/1           TOTAL         CHECK         03/14/1	9 94 ESGII 9 94 ESGII	L CORPORATION L CORPORATION L CORPORATION L CORPORATION	00155005560 00155005560 00160006120 00160006120	BLDG PRMT 02/18-02/22 BLDG PRMT 02/04-02/08 FIRE PRMT 02/18-02/22 FIRE PRMT 02/04-02/08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00 \end{array}$	7,989.65 9,035.54 903.75 1,320.93 19,249.87
1011 94497 03/14/1	9 1792 HARRI	IS & ASSOC. INC.	21355005550	1715.15 PROF SVC-FEB	0.00	1,838.75
1011 94498 03/14/1	9 11 ICMA	RETIREMENT TRUST-45	001	ICMA PD 03/14/19	0.00	6,007.20
1011 94499 03/14/1	9 5399 INBOU	UND DESIGN INC.	55000007750	SEA WEBSITE MANT-MAR	0.00	49.00
1011 94500 03/14/1	9 5508 MERCH	HANTS BUILDING MAINT	00165006570	JANITORIAL SVC-FEB	0.00	4,581.35
1011 94501 03/14/1	9 5407 PJ CA	ASTORENA, INC.	55000007750	CCA MAILER-2/19&2/25	0.00	91.66
1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           1011         94502         03/14/1           TOTAL         CHECK         03/14/1	9 111 MISSI 9 111 MISSI 9 111 MISSI 9 111 MISSI	ION LINEN & UNIFORM ION LINEN & UNIFORM ION LINEN & UNIFORM	50900007700 00165006520 00165006560	LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	2.17 8.70 9.79 9.79 17.40 47.85
1011 94503 03/14/1 1011 94503 03/14/1 TOTAL CHECK				REDSTRCT PROF SVC-JAN REDSTRCT PROF SVC-JAN	0.00 0.00 0.00	258.74 956.26 1,215.00
1011 94504 03/14/1	9 4522 NISSE	HO OF CALIFORNIA	00165006560	SPRINKLER REPAIR-FC	0.00	817.39
1011 94505 03/14/1 1011 94505 03/14/1 TOTAL CHECK		NERSHIPS WITH INDUST NERSHIPS WITH INDUST		TRASH ABIMNT PE 02/15 TRASH ABIMNT PE 02/15	0.00 0.00 0.00	377.30 377.30 754.60
1011 94506 03/14/1	9 1008 PSC,	LLC	00165006520	HHW-FEB	0.00	1,145.20
1011 94507 03/14/1 1011 94507 03/14/1 TOTAL CHECK		HO SANTA FE SECURITY HO SANTA FE SECURITY		RESTRM LCK/UNLOCK-FEB ALARM MONITORING-FEB	0.00 0.00 0.00	529.42 205.09 734.51
1011 94508 03/14/1	94080 JENNI	IFER REED	00150005350	ADMIN SVC-FEB	0.00	200.75
1011 94509 03/14/1	9 416 REGIO	ONAL COMMS SYS, MS 0	00160006120	CAP CODE-FEB	0.00	32.50
1011 94510 03/14/1	9 5502 SAN D	DIEGO HUMANE SOCIETY	00160006130	FY19 ANIMAL SVC-MAR	0.00	6,920.58
1011         94511         03/14/1           1011         94511         03/14/1           1011         94511         03/14/1	9 4281 SIEME	ENS INDUSTRY, INC	00165006540	ST LIGHT REPAIR-JAN TRAFFIC CALL OUT-JAN 9326-TRFC SGNL RPR	0.00 0.00 0.00	509.05 1,087.00 4,100.00

PENTAMATION DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

CASH ACCT CHECK NO	ISSUE DT VENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
1011 94511 TOTAL CHECK	03/14/19 4281	SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC	00165006540	TRAFFIC SGNL MNT-JAN	0.00 0.00	993.92 6,689.97
1011 94512 1011 94512 TOTAL CHECK	03/14/19 4840 03/14/19 4840	STC TRAFFIC, INC STC TRAFFIC, INC	45993826510 22893826510	9382.01 LSF CORR-II 9382.01 LSF CORR-II	0.00 0.00 0.00	19,855.00 2,500.00 22,355.00
1011 94513 1011 94513 TOTAL CHECK	03/14/19 3066 03/14/19 3066	SUMMIT ENVIRONMENTAL GRO SUMMIT ENVIRONMENTAL GRO		1718.28/325 PACIFIC 1718.28/325 PACIFIC	0.00 0.00 0.00	500.00 625.00 1,125.00
1011 94514	03/14/19 3980	TURNOUT MAINTENANCE COMP	00160006120	TRNOUT CLN/RPLC-TOTH	0.00	260.07
1011 94515	03/14/19 40	UNDERGROUND SVC ALERT OF	00165006510	DIG ALERT-FEB	0.00	64.45
1011 94516	03/14/19 5509	VALLEY CONSTRUCTON MANAG	50998336510	9833PMP STN MNGMT-FEB	0.00	16,180.00
1011 94517 1011 94517 TOTAL CHECK	03/14/19 30 03/14/19 30	VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD	00160006140 00150005450	CODES CELL 01/24-2/23 IT CELL 1/24-2/23	0.00 0.00 0.00	$107.14 \\ 114.03 \\ 221.17$
1011 94518	03/14/19 4844	WARWICK GROUP CONSULTANT	45099266190	9926.19 PROF SVC-FEB	0.00	5,375.00
1011 94519	03/14/19 4763	WESTERN AUDIO VISUAL	00150005450	CHAMBERS TECH-FEB	0.00	499.00
1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520         1011       94520	03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37 03/14/19 37	XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION XEROX CORPORATION	00150005350 00150005350 00150005350 00150005350 00150005350 00150005350 00150005350 00150005350	W7830PT UPSTRS-FEB EXCESS BLK-01/21-2/21 EXCESS CLR-01/21-2/21 D95CP PLNG LEASE-FEB EXCSS COPYS-1/21-2/21 W7830PT CLRKS-FEB EXCESS BLK-01/21-2/21 EXCESS CLR-01/21-2/21	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \end{array}$	$199.60 \\ 61.16 \\ 260.96 \\ 555.18 \\ 84.10 \\ 218.99 \\ 71.32 \\ 334.26 \\ 1,785.57$
1011 94521 1011 94521 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 4706 03/21/19 4706	24 HOUR ELEVATOR, INC 24 HOUR ELEVATOR, INC	00165006570 00165006570	ELEVATOR SERVICE-2/15 ELVTR MAINT-MAR	0.00 0.00 0.00	420.00 163.78 583.78
1011 94522 1011 94522 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 1135 03/21/19 1135	AFFORDABLE PIPELINE SERV AFFORDABLE PIPELINE SERV		E-SEWER CLEAN-11,222 C-SEWER CLEAN-29,457	0.00 0.00 0.00	5,611.00 14,728.50 20,339.50
1011 94523	03/21/19 174	AMERICAN PUBLIC WORKS AS	00165006510	2019 NPWW POSTER	0.00	35.19
1.011     94524       1011     94524       1011     94524       1011     94524       1011     94524       1011     94524       TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 4990 03/21/19 4990 03/21/19 4990 03/21/19 4990 03/21/19 4990 03/21/19 4990	KRISTI BECKER KRISTI BECKER KRISTI BECKER KRISTI BECKER KRISTI BECKER	001 001 00150005100 001 00150005100	ROV ASSESSED COST 2018 CANDIDATE STMNT BECKER-NEW CNCL ACDMY BECKER-NEW CNCL ACDMY BECKER-LCC ENV QUALTY	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	-588.00 825.00 789.45 -789.45 231.96 468.96

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

CASH ACCT CHECK NO	ISSUE DT VENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
1011 94525	03/21/19 4602	SAM CASTELLANO	00170007110	SOUND SK8 PRK-4/27/19	0.00	400.00
1011 94526	03/21/19 5051	CINTAS CORPORATION NO. 2	00165006570	FIRST AID SUPPLIES-PW	0.00	117.82
1011 94527	03/21/19 1295	CITY OF DEL MAR	001	SP18-FIRE 98C#31187	0.00	1,792.00
1011 94528	03/21/19 1491	COASTAL FRONTIERS INC	45099266190	9926 SHLN MNT TSK#2	0.00	3,026.00
1011 94529	03/21/19 5171	CORELOGIC SOLUTIONS, LLC	00155005550	PROPERTY PRO DATA	0.00	96.83
1011 94530 1011 94530 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 1022 03/21/19 1022	COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTE COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTE		CL-18-004 SIGNTR VRFY 2018 GEN ELECTION	0.00 0.00 0.00	5,379.00 4,569.00 9,948.00
1011 94531	03/21/19 1832	CPS HUMAN RESOURCE SERVI	12050005460	INS ADMIN RISK TRN	0.00	199.00
1011 94532 1011 94532 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 2165 03/21/19 2165	CULLIGAN OF SAN DIEGO CULLIGAN OF SAN DIEGO	00160006170 00160006170	DRNKNG WTR SVC-MAR DRNKNG WTR SVC-FEB	0.00 0.00 0.00	45.56 45.56 91.12
1011 94533 1011 94533 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 739 03/21/19 739	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	00160006150 00150005400	FINRPRNT APP-FEB/CERT FINGRPRNT APP-FEB/HR	0.00 0.00 0.00	$192.00 \\ 64.00 \\ 256.00$
1011 94534	03/21/19 5210	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	00160006140	PRKNG CITE ADMIN-FEB	0.00	3,177.25
1011 94535 1011 94535 1011 94535 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 134 03/21/19 134 03/21/19 134	DIXIELINE LUMBER CO INC DIXIELINE LUMBER CO INC DIXIELINE LUMBER CO INC	00165006520 00165006570 00165006570	WOOD EPOXY SPRAY SAND PPR/RUST SPRY	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	40.02 12.59 32.69 85.30
1011 94536	03/21/19 1071	DON HUBBARD CONTRACTING	00165006560	LOADER-DOCK REMOVAL	0.00	700.00
1011 94537	03/21/19 5480	FISHER INTEGRATED, INC.	00150005450	COUNCIL WEB STRM-FEB	0.00	800.00
1011 94538	03/21/19 5593	GLAMOUR NAILS	001	RFND-BC OVRPYMN #2529	0.00	55.00
1011 94539	03/21/19 5124	JAMES HANCOCK	00160006120	HANCOCK-INT FIRE BHVR	0.00	275.00
1011 94540 1011 94540 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 3742 03/21/19 3742	KELLY HARLESS KELLY HARLESS	001 001	ROV ASSESSED COST 2018 CANDIDATE STMNT	0.00 0.00 0.00	-588.00 825.00 237.00
1011 94541	03/21/19 11	ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST-45	001	ICMA PD 03/22/19	0.00	10,404.89
1011 94542	03/21/19 3859	ICMA RETIREMENT TRUST-RH	001	ICMA PD 03/22/19	0.00	2,095.91
1011 94543	03/21/19 1075	IRON MOUNTAIN	00150005150	RECORDS STRG-MAR	0.00	288.63
1011 94544	03/21/19 5230	KRISTEN PRUETT	001	RFND:EP4127/960 AVOCA	0.00	530.00
1011 94545	03/21/19 2887	LANCE, SOLL & LUNGHARD, L	55000007750	FY18 AUDIT SVC	0.00	31.00

PENTAMATION DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

CASH ACCT CHECK NO	ISSUE DT VENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
1011 94545 1011 94545 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 2887 03/21/19 2887	LANCE,SOLL & LUNGHARD, L LANCE,SOLL & LUNGHARD, L		FY18 AUDIT SVC FY18 AUDIT SVC	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ . \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ . \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ . \ 0 \ 0 \end{array}$	1,372.00 2,147.00 3,550.00
1011 94546	03/21/19 3955	ARNOLD A LEWIN	27060006150	CERT CONSULT-CY2018	0.00	1,505.00
1011 94547	03/21/19 2711	TODD & MONIQUE MAYO	001	RFND 1717.20/729-VIEW	0.00	600.00
$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1011 & 94548 \\ 1011 & 94$	03/21/19 1130 03/21/19 1130	MCDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS SMIT MCDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS SMIT	00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250 00150005250	PROF SERV PE 01/31/19 PROF SERV PE 01/31/19	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$105.00 \\ 116.26 \\ 140.00 \\ 175.00 \\ 192.50 \\ 210.00 \\ 297.50 \\ 367.50 \\ 365.00 \\ 1,081.70 \\ 1,085.00 \\ 2,502.50 \\ 5,500.00 \\ 8,284.82 \\ 8,882.50 \\ 9,302.50 \\ 805.00 \\ 39,432.78 \\ \end{cases}$
1011 94549 1011 94549 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 5508 03/21/19 5508	MERCHANTS BUILDING MAINT MERCHANTS BUILDING MAINT		JANTRL SVC-12/23/18FC JANTRL SVC-12/08/18FC	0.00 0.00 0.00	$75.00 \\ 75.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 150.00 \\ 100$
1011     94550       1011     94550       1011     94550       1011     94550       1011     94550       1011     94550       TOTAL     CHECK	03/21/19 111 03/21/19 111 03/21/19 111 03/21/19 111 03/21/19 111 03/21/19 111	MISSION LINEN & UNIFORM MISSION LINEN & UNIFORM MISSION LINEN & UNIFORM MISSION LINEN & UNIFORM MISSION LINEN & UNIFORM	21100007600 50900007700 00165006560 00165006520 00165006530	LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS LAUNDRY-PUB WORKS	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	2.27 9.08 10.21 10.22 18.16 49.94
1011 94551	03/21/19 4708	MUNICIPAL EMERGENCY SERV	00160006120	SCBA FLOW TEST	0.00	1,357.19
1011 94552 1011 94552 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 3166 03/21/19 3166	CRAIG NELSON CRAIG NELSON	001 001	ROV ASSESSED COST 2018 CANDIDATE STMNT	0.00 0.00 0.00	-588.00 825.00 237.00
1011 94553	03/21/19 5252	NOSSAMAN LLP	00150005250	PROF SVC-FEB	0.00	637.50
1011 94554 1011 94554 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 57 03/21/19 57	OFFICE TEAM INC. OFFICE TEAM INC.	00150005150 00150005150	TEMP HELP PE 03/08 TEMP HELP PE 03/01	0.00 0.00 0.00	1,165.60 1,165.60 2,331.20
1011 94555 1011 94555	03/21/19 4797 03/21/19 4797	PAMELA ELLIOTT LANDSCAPE PAMELA ELLIOTT LANDSCAPE		1716.34/426 N GRANADO 1716.24/228 OCEAN ST	0.00 0.00	250.00 250.00

PENTAMATION DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

FUND - 001 - GENERAL F
------------------------

CASH ACCT CHECK NO	ISSUE DT VENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
1011       94555         1011       94555	03/21/19 4797 03/21/19 4797	PAMELA ELLIOTT LANDSCAPE PAMELA ELLIOTT LANDSCAPE	21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550 21355005550	1718.06/346 GLENMONT 1718.01/236 PATTY HIL 1717.15/201 LOMAS SAN 1714.14/636 VALLEY 1718.06/346 GLENMONT 1717.42/456 S NARDO 1715.04/980 AVOCADO 1719.07/336 S NARDO 1719.06/625 S CEDROS 1719.05/412 N ACACIA 1717.10/301 WEST CLIF 1715.04/980 AVOCADO	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 250.00\\ 250.00\\ 250.00\\ 250.00\\ 250.00\\ 250.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 375.00\\ 4,125.00\end{array}$
1011 94556	03/21/19 5590	PETE AND TRACY FILLER	001	RFND 1717.20/729-VIEW	0.00	600.00
1011 94557	03/21/19 129	RANCHO ENVIRONMENTAL SVC	00165006570	STUMP REMOVAL-LC	0.00	325.00
1011 94558	03/21/19 5586	ROBERT SCHLIFF	001	RFND SBGR-363/326 S N	0.00	16,790.00
1011 94559 1011 94559 1011 94559 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 4681 03/21/19 4681 03/21/19 4681	RYAN PESTER RYAN PESTER RYAN PESTER	00160006120 00160006120 00160006120	PESTER-CO OFFCR 2E PESTER-INSTRUCTOR 1 PESTER-CO OFFCR 2D	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	350.00 350.00 350.00 1,050.00
1011 94560	03/21/19 141	SANTA FE IRRIGATION DIST	00160006120	005512000 01/03-03/01	0.00	527.17
1011 94561	03/21/19 5592	SD COASTAL ENDOCRINOLOGY	001	RFND-BC OVRPYMN #6973	0.00	75.00
1011 94562 1011 94562 1011 94562 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 1073 03/21/19 1073 03/21/19 1073	SEASIDE HEATING & AIR CO SEASIDE HEATING & AIR CO SEASIDE HEATING & AIR CO	00165006570	SVC-UNIT LCKD/REST-FC SRV-NO COOL/RESET-LC SRV-WATER LEAK-FS	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	105.00 105.00 120.00 330.00
1011 94563 1011 94563 TOTAL CHECK	03/21/19 3909 03/21/19 3909	SECTRAN SECURITY INC SECTRAN SECURITY INC	12050005460 12050005460	COURIER SVC-MAR COURIER SVC FUEL-MAR	0.00 0.00 0.00	113.37 13.60 126.97
1011 94564	03/21/19 13	SOLANA BEACH FIREFIGHTER	001	FD DUES PD 03/22/19	0.00	913.50
1011 94565	03/21/19 280	SPARKLETTS INC	00165006570	DRINK WATER-MAR PW	0.00	40.00
1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566         1011       94566	03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465 03/21/19 4465	SUN LIFE FINANCIAL SUN LIFE FINANCIAL	001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001	JAN 19 SUPP LIFE FEB 19 SUPP LIFE INS FEB 19 LIFE & ADD INS JANUARY 19 LTD FEBRUARY 19 LTD JAN 19 LIFE & ADD INS MARCH 19 SUPP LIFE MAR 19 LIFE & ADD INS MARCH 19 LTD	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 350.20\\ 350.20\\ 1,094.46\\ 1,394.05\\ 1,479.40\\ 1,058.17\\ 656.20\\ 1,095.93\\ 1,479.40\\ 8,958.01 \end{array}$

DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11

SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl\_cash='1011' and transact.ck\_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '20190322 00:00:00.000' ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19

CASH ACCT	CHECK NO	ISSUE DT VH	ENDOR	NAME	BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
1011	94567	03/21/19 38	810	SUPERIOR PRESS	00150005300	DEPOSIT SLIPS	0.00	99.67
1011	94568	03/21/19 46	638	THE EPOCH TIMES	00150005150	ELECTION NOTICE 2/23	0.00	180.00
1011	94569	03/21/19 55	591	TONY'S JACAL INC.	001	RFND-BC OVRPYMN #1193	0.00	170.00
1011	94570	03/21/19 54	427	TOSDAL LAW FIRM	55000007750	SEA PROF SVC-FEB	0.00	4,500.00
1011 1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHE	94571 94571 94571 94571 94571 CK	03/21/19 20 03/21/19 20 03/21/19 20 03/21/19 20	097 097	UT SAN DIEGO - NRTH COUN UT SAN DIEGO - NRTH COUN UT SAN DIEGO - NRTH COUN UT SAN DIEGO - NRTH COUN	00155005550 00155005550	SEA RATE SETTING AD PUB HRNG-1718.06 DRP PUB NTC-HSNG ELMNT RP ORD 501	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	3,210.17 350.26 241.57 259.66 4,061.66
1011 1011 TOTAL CHE	94572 94572 CK	03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55		VALERI PAUL VALERI PAUL	001 001	2018 CANDIDATE STMNT ROV ASSESSED COST	0.00 0.00 0.00	825.00 -588.00 237.00
1011	94573	03/21/19 55	589	VALLEY AVENUE PROPERTIES	001	RFND-SBGR315/735 VALL	0.00	4,612.00
1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011 101	94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574 94574	03/21/19 30 03/21/19 30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD VERIZON WIRELESS-SD	$\begin{array}{c} 27060006120\\ 00160006120\\ 00160006120\\ 00165006520\\ 21100007600\\ 5090007700\\ 00165006510\\ 00165006540\\ 00165006560\\ 00165006530\\ \end{array}$	FIRE IPAD 01/29-02/28 BC CELL 01/29-02/28 FIRE CELL 01/29-02/28 BC CELL 01/29-02/28 PW CELL 02/02-03/01 PW CELL 02/02-03/01 PW CELL 02/02-03/01 PW CELL 02/02-03/01 PW CELL 02/02-03/01 PW CELL 02/02-03/01	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\$	$114.03 \\ 55.44 \\ 201.62 \\ 9.24 \\ 4.79 \\ 4.79 \\ 4.79 \\ 9.58 \\ 9.59 \\ 9.59 \\ 14.38 \\ 437.84$
1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHE	94575 94575 94575 CK	03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55	594	WEX BANK WEX BANK WEX BANK	001 00160006120 00160006120	BALANCE FORWARD CR EXEMPT TAX-FEB AUTO FUEL-FEB	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	2,000.00 -30.11 470.69 2,440.58
1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHE	V900020 V900020 V900020 CK	03/14/19 59 03/14/19 59 03/14/19 59	527	PCL CONSTRUCTION INC. PCL CONSTRUCTION INC. PCL CONSTRUCTION INC.	509 50998336510 50998336510	9833 PMP STN RTN-FEB 9833 SB PMP STN-FEB 9833 PMP STN RTN-FEB	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & . & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	-9,050.00 171,950.00 9,050.00 171,950.00
1011 1011 1011 1011 TOTAL CHE	V900021 V900021 V900021 V900021 CK	03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55 03/21/19 55	504 504	ALL CITY MANAGEMENT SERV ALL CITY MANAGEMENT SERV ALL CITY MANAGEMENT SERV ALL CITY MANAGEMENT SERV	00165006540 001	CRSSNG GRD01/27-02/09 CRSSNG GRD01/27-02/09 CRSSNG GRD01/27-02/09 CRSSNG GRD01/27-02/09	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	1,727.88 3,208.92 -3,208.92 3,208.92 4,936.80
1011	V900022	03/21/19 46	697	CATHERINE WONG	00150005400	ACCT ETHICS-WONG	0.00	600.00

PENTAMATION DATE: 03/25/2019 TIME: 08:15:11	CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CA CHECK REGISTER - DISBURSEMENT FUND	PAGE NUM ACCTPA21	
SELECTION CRITERIA: transact.gl_cash='1011' a ACCOUNTING PERIOD: 9/19	and transact.ck_date between '20190309 00:00:00.000' and '2	0190322 00:00:00.000	, <i>,</i>
FUND - 001 - GENERAL FUND			
CASH ACCT CHECK NO ISSUE DT VENDOR NAME	BUDGET UNITDESCRIPTION	SALES TAX	AMOUNT
TOTAL CASH ACCOUNT		0.00 50	9,808.39
TOTAL FUND		0.00 50	9,808.39
TOTAL REPORT		0.00 50	9,808.39



TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 Finance Report on Changes Made to the General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2018-2019

#### **BACKGROUND:**

Staff provides a report at each Council meeting that lists changes made to the current Fiscal Year (FY) General Fund Adopted Budget.

The information provided in this Staff Report lists the changes made through March 27, 2019.

## **DISCUSSION:**

The following table reports the revenue, expenditures, and transfers for 1) the Adopted General Fund Budget approved by Council on June 14, 2017 (Resolution 2017-095) and 2) any resolutions passed by Council that amended the Adopted General Fund Budget.

GENERAL FUND - ADOPTED BUDGET PLUS CHANGES As of March 27, 2019									
Action	Description	Revenues	Expenditures	Transfers from GF	Net Surplus				
Reso 2017-095	Adopted Budget	17,916,600	(17,098,600)	(401,600) (1)	\$ 416,400				
Reso 2018-070	Fiscal Year 2018/19 Appropriation Revisions	76,100	(229,900)	-	262,600				
Reso 2018-089	Crossing Guards	38,507	(59,242)	-	241,865				
Reso 2018-101	SBFA MOU	-	(185,425)	-	56,440				
Reso 2018-093	City-Wide Janitorial Services	-	(8,620)	-	47,820				
Reso 2018-117	Crossing Guards	19,253	(29,620)	-	37,453				
Reso 2018-128	Pers Side Fund	-	155,700	-	193,153				
Reso 2019-019	Mid-Year Budget Adjustments	569,000	(265,100)	(109,336) (2)	387,717				
(1)	Transfers to:								
	Debt Service for Public Facilities		151,100						
	City CIP Fund		250,500	401,600					
(2)	Transfer to:								
	TEA21/ISTEA			109,336					



## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

Not a project as defined by CEQA

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

#### WORK PLAN:

N/A

## **OPTIONS:**

- Receive the report.
- Do not accept the report

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council receive the report listing changes made to the FY 2018-2019 General Fund Adopted Budget.

## **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation

Gregory Wade, City Manager



TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers
Gregory Wade, City Manager
April 10, 2019
Engineering Department
Consideration of Resolution No. 2019-035 List of Projects
for Fiscal Year 2019/20 to Receive Road Maintenance
Rehabilitation Account Funding as Required by Senate
Bill 1

## BACKGROUND:

On April 28, 2017, Governor Brown signed Senate Bill (SB) 1 (Beall, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017), which is known as the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017. To address basic road maintenance, rehabilitation and critical safety needs on both the state highway and local streets and road system, SB 1 increases per gallon fuel excise taxes; increases diesel fuel sales taxes and vehicle registration fees and provides for inflationary adjustments to tax rates in future years.

Beginning November 1, 2017, the State Controller (Controller) started depositing various portions of this new funding into the newly created Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA). A percentage of this new RMRA funding will be apportioned by formula to eligible cities and counties pursuant to Streets and Highways Code (SHC) Section 2032(h) for basic road maintenance, rehabilitation, and critical safety projects on the local streets and roads system.

SB 1 emphasizes the importance of accountability and transparency in the delivery of California's transportation programs. Therefore, in order to be eligible for RMRA funding, statute requires cities and counties to provide basic annual RMRA project reporting to the California Transportation Commission (Commission). One of the reporting requirements is that all projects proposed to receive funding must be included in a city/county budget that is adopted by the applicable city council or county board of supervisors at a regular public meeting.

This item is before the City Council for the consideration of Resolution No. 2019-035 (Attachment 1) authorizing Staff to establish a project list for the 2019 Local Streets and

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

Roads Funding Program using funds from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account, designating the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project to receive the funding from this new program and to submit to the Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded with an apportionment of RMRA funds. The project list will include only the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.

#### DISCUSSION:

Pursuant to SHC Section 2030(a), the objective of the Local Streets and Roads Program is to address deferred maintenance on local streets and roads through the prioritization and delivery of basic road maintenance and rehabilitation projects as well as critical safety projects.

Cities and counties receiving RMRA funds must comply with all relevant federal and state laws, regulations, policies, and procedures. The main requirements for the program are codified in SHC Sections 2034, 2036, 2037, and 2038 and include the following:

- Prior to receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds from the Controller in a fiscal year, a city or county must submit to the Commission a list of projects proposed to be funded with these funds. All projects proposed to receive funding must be included in a city or county budget that is adopted by the applicable city council or county board of supervisors at a regular public meeting [SHC 2034(a)(1)].
- The list of projects must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for the project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement [SHC 2034(a)(1)].
- The project list does not limit the flexibility of an eligible city or county to fund projects in accordance with local needs and priorities so long as the projects are consistent with RMRA priorities as outlined in SHC 2030(b) [SHC 2034(a)(1)].
- The initial project list must be submitted to the Commission no later than Tuesday, May 1, 2019 for the 2019 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program.
- The Commission will report to the Controller the cities and counties that have submitted a list of projects as described in SHC 2034(a)(1) and that are therefore eligible to receive an apportionment of RMRA funds for the applicable fiscal year [SHC 2034(a)(2)].
- The Controller, upon receipt of the report from the Commission, shall apportion RMRA funds to eligible cities and counties pursuant to SHC 2032(h) [SHC 2034(a)(2)].

- For each fiscal year in which RMRA funds are received and expended, cities and counties must submit documentation to the Commission that includes a description and location of each completed project, the amount of funds expended on the project, the completion date, and the estimated useful life of the improvement [SHC 2034(b)].
- A city or county receiving an apportionment of RMRA funds is required to sustain a maintenance of effort (MOE) by spending at least the annual average of its general fund expenditures during the 2009–10, 2010–11, and 2011–12 fiscal years for street, road, and highway purposes from the city's or county's general fund [SHC 2036]. Monitoring and enforcement of the maintenance of effort requirement for RMRA funds will be carried out by the Controller.
- A city or county may spend its apportionment of RMRA funds on transportation priorities other than priorities outlined in SHC 2030(b) if the city or county's average Pavement Condition Index (PCI) meets or exceeds 80 [SHC 2037].
- By July 1, 2023, cities and counties receiving RMRA funds must follow guidelines developed by the California Workforce Development Board (Board) that address participation and investment in, or partnership with, new or existing pre-apprenticeship training programs [SHC 2038].
- Project types that are eligible to receive and use RMRA funding include road maintenance/rehabilitation, safety project, complete streets components and traffic control devices.

In order to comply with the requirements of SB 1, Staff is proposing to include the RMRA funding for the annual street repair project that repairs and resurfaces streets throughout the City. Since the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project is expected to be included in the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019/20 Budget, a new project does not need to be established at this time. In order to be completely transparent and as required by the SB 1 program, Staff is recommending that Council consider Resolution 2019-035 to provide clear documentation as to how the specific project received funding.

## CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:

The annual street repair project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15301(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

The FY 2019/20 Budget is expected to include \$445,000 for the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project to repair and resurface City streets. The funding would be divided among Gas Tax (\$100,000), TransNet (\$100,000) and SB-1 funding

(245,000). This project will be constructed in calendar year 2019 as part of the FY 2019/20 Budget. Tentatively, the City is projected to receive \$236,733 in FY 2018/19 as part of the RMRA program and \$246,415 in FY 2019/20.

## WORK PLAN:

This item is consistent with Unprioritized Community Character Issues identified in the 2018-2019 Work Plan.

## **OPTIONS:**

- Approve Staff recommendation.
- Approve Staff recommendation with alternative amendments or modifications.
- Deny Staff recommendation and provide direction.

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Resolution 2019-035:

- 1. Authorizing the City Engineer to establish a project list for the Fiscal Year 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds in-part from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account designating the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project, as identified in the City's FY 2019/20 Capital Improvement Program list, to receive the SB 1 funding. It is anticipated that the designated project will rehabilitate the pavement on portions of South Cedros Avenue, Lomas Santa Fe Drive and various residential streets. It is also anticipated that the designated project will be constructed in fall of 2019 and will have an estimated useful life of approximately 15 years.
- 2. Authorizing the City Engineer to submit the project list to the California Transportation Commission for the 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account.

## **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

1. Resolution No. 2019-035

#### **RESOLUTION 2019-035**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING A PROJECT LIST FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019/20 LOCAL STREETS AND ROADS FUNDING PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO SUBMIT THE LIST TO THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

WHEREAS, Senate Bill 1 (SB 1), the Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2017) was passed by the Legislature and Signed into law by the Governor in April 2017 to address the significant multi-modal transportation funding shortfalls statewide; and

WHEREAS, SB 1 includes accountability and transparency provisions that will ensure the residents of the City of Solana Beach are aware of the projects proposed for funding in our community and which projects have been completed each fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the City of Solana Beach must adopt by resolution a list of projects proposed to receive Fiscal Year (FY) funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by SB 1, which must include a description and the location of each proposed project, a proposed schedule for the project's completion, and the estimated useful life of the improvement; and

WHEREAS, the City of Solana Beach, will receive an estimated \$246,000 in RMRA funding in FY 2019/20 from SB 1; and

WHEREAS, this is the third year in which the City is receiving SB 1 funding and will enable Solana Beach to continue essential road maintenance and rehabilitation projects, safety improvements, repairing and replacing aging bridges, and increasing access and mobility options for the traveling public that would not have otherwise been possible without SB 1; and

**WHEREAS,** the City has undergone a robust public process to ensure public input into our community's transportation priorities/the project list; and

WHEREAS, the City used a Pavement Management System to develop the SB 1 project list to ensure revenues are being used on the most high-priority and cost-effective projects that also meet the communities priorities for transportation investment; and

**WHEREAS,** the funding from SB 1 will help the City maintain and rehabilitate, through overlays, slurry seals and digouts, portions of at least 10 streets, throughout the City this year and many similar projects into the future; and

WHEREAS, the 2018 California Statewide Local Streets and Roads Needs

Assessment found that the City's streets and roads are in an good condition and this revenue will help the City increase the overall quality of the road system and over the next decade will help upgrade the conditions of City streets and roads

WHEREAS, the SB 1 project list and overall investment in our local streets and roads infrastructure with a focus on basic maintenance and safety, investing in complete streets infrastructure, and using cutting-edge technology, materials and practices, will have significant positive benefits within the City and throughout the state.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

- 1. That the above recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That the City Council authorizes the City Engineer to establish a project list for the FY 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds in-part from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account designating the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project, as identified in the City's FY 2019/20 Capital Improvement Program list, to receive the SB 1 funding. It is anticipated that the designated project will rehabilitate the pavement on portions of South Cedros Avenue, Lomas Santa Fe Drive and various residential streets. It is also anticipated that the designated project will have an estimated useful life of approximately 15 years.
- 3. That the City Council authorizes the City Engineer to submit the project list to the California Transportation Commission for the 2019/20 Local Streets and Roads Funding Program using funds from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

AYES:	Councilmembers –
NOES:	Councilmembers –
ABSTAIN:	Councilmembers –
ABSENT:	Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk



TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 Engineering Department **Consideration of Resolution 2019-034 to Authorize the City Engineer to Advertise for Construction Bids for the 2019 Street Maintenance** 

## **BACKGROUND:**

In 2016, a City-wide pavement condition assessment was performed to determine the most effective way to budget, repair, replace and preserve City streets. Based upon this survey, the City's consultant prepared a priority list for the City's pavement repairs and maintenance in the City. This list was used to select street segments for this year's street maintenance and repair program.

This item is before the City Council for the consideration of Resolution No. 2019-034 approving the list of streets for the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project, and authorizing the City Engineer to advertise the project for construction bids.

## **DISCUSSION:**

The proposed pavement maintenance program for this coming fiscal year are pavement overlays of the street segments as shown on Attachment 2 and Table 1 below:

Street	From	То
South Cedros Avenue	Rosa Street	Marsolan Avenue
Barbara Avenue	Patty Hill Drive	North Granados Avenue
Santa Elena	entire street	
Santa Estella	entire street	

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

The project would also include localized pavement dig-out replacements on Lomas Santa Fe Drive and on other streets throughout the City. Pavement striping and markings would also be performed.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

Advertising for construction bids is not a project under CEQA. Street repairs are exempt pursuant to Section 15301(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

Project funding will be from the Fiscal Year's (FY) 2019/20 Annual Pavement Management Program account. The project would be presented to Council for award after July 1, 2019. Staff anticipates that the FY 2019/20 budget will include \$100,000 in Gas Tax Funds, \$100,000 in TransNet Funds and a \$245,000 in Road Repair and Accountability Act (SB1) funding, for a total project budget of \$445,000.

## WORKPLAN:

This project is listed in the FY 2018/19 Work Plan under the Unprioritized Community Character Issues.

## OPTIONS:

- Approve the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repairs as part of the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project and authorize the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids.
- Revise the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repairs as part of the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project and authorize the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids.
- Direct Staff to prepare a new list for City Council approval at a later Council meeting.

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Resolution No. 2019-034:

- 1. Approving the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repairs as part of the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.
- 2. Authorizing the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids for the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repairs Project.

## **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution No. 2019-034
- 2. Overlay Location Map

#### **RESOLUTION 2019-034**

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE 2019 STREET MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR PROJECT AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO ADVERTISE FOR CONSTRUCTION BIDS

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvement Program for Fiscal Year 2019/20 is anticipated to appropriate funding for annual pavement maintenance and repairs; and

WHEREAS, the Engineering Department utilizes a pavement condition assessment program, field reviews and a review of previous street rehabilitation projects to identify the list of streets to be repaired as part of this project.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

- 1. That the above recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That the City Council approves the list of streets scheduled for maintenance and repair as part of the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repair Project.
- 3. That the City Council authorizes the City Engineer to advertise for construction bids for the 2019 Street Maintenance and Repair Project.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

> AYES: Councilmembers -Councilmembers -NOFS ABSTAIN: Councilmembers -ABSENT: Councilmembers -

> > DAVID A. ZITO, Deputy Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk





TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 Community Development Department **Consideration of Resolution 2019-039 Authorizing the City Manager to Issue a Request for Proposals for Environmental Consulting Services** 

## BACKGROUND:

The City receives numerous project applications throughout the year that require more extensive environmental review and documentation preparation. These costs are borne by developers/applicants of the project through pass-through agreements with selected environmental consultants. The pre-qualification of environmental consultants for pass-through agreements for environmental consulting services would continue to assist staff complete environmental review and documentation in a timely and efficient manner. The City has done this in past and was last done approximately six years ago.

This item is before the City Council for the consideration of Resolution 2019-039 (Attachment 1), authorizing the City Manager to issue a Request for Proposals (RFP) for environmental consulting services.

## DISCUSSION:

The City of Solana Beach Community Development Department is seeking proposals from qualified consulting firm(s) for environmental consulting services to augment existing staff for one or more proposed projects including, but not limited to, general plan amendments, code amendments, rezones, tentative subdivision maps, conditional use permits, development reviews and specific plans. The City anticipates selecting three to five firms to provide consulting services on an on-call basis, and that each firm may subsequently be asked to prepare specific proposals/budget for more than one project. The RFP requires respondents to provide information regarding their qualifications and past experience, understanding of work scope, cost of services, and other supportive information.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

Once the responses are received and reviewed, the City's anticipated process is to compile a short-list of consultants, conduct interviews, identify and maintain a list of qualified consultants for on-call services (project based), and award contracts based on environmental proposals for each project. The qualified list of consultants is anticipated to be announced in August 2019. Award of the professional services contracts would be completed on a case-by-case basis.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

Consideration of the release of a RFP is not a project as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

## FISCAL IMPACT:

Issuance of an RFP does not have a fiscal impact. The RFP requires each respondent to submit a proposal that includes the costs to complete the work. Costs associated with awarding a contract for the environmental services would be dependent on each project and the cost for future pass-through contracts would be borne by project applicants.

## OPTIONS:

- Approve Staff recommendation.
- Approve Staff recommendation with modifications.
- Do not approve Staff recommendation and provide direction.

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Resolution 2019-039 authorizing the City Manager to issue a Request for Proposals for environmental consulting services.

## **CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-039
- 2. RFP On-Call Environmental Consulting Services

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2019-039

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ISSUE A REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR ON-CALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING SERVICES

WHEREAS, the City is seeking proposals from qualified consulting firm(s) to provide on-call environmental consulting services for one or more projects including, but not limited to, general plan amendments, code amendments, rezones, tentative subdivision maps, conditional use permits, development reviews and specific plans; and

**WHEREAS**, the City is seeking three to five qualified firms to provide consulting services on an on-call basis; and

**WHEREAS**, identifying qualified consulting firms will expedite processing and preparation of contracts for future projects.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

- 1. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.
- That the City Council authorizes the City Manager to issue a Request for Proposals to identify qualified environmental consulting firms to assist the preparation of Environmental Impact Reports (EIR), Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, technical studies and other California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation for proposed projects.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April, 2019, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers – NOES: Councilmembers – ABSENT: Councilmembers – ABSTAIN: Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

**ATTACHMENT 1** 



## **CITY OF SOLANA BEACH**

635 SOUTH HIGHWAY 101 • SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075 • (858) 720-2400 • FAX (858) 792-6513 www.ci.solana-beach.ca.us

## CITY OF SOLANA BEACH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

## REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS/PROPOSALS: ON-CALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING SERVICES

**DUE DATE:** 5:00pm, June \_\_\_, 2019

DATE OF RELEASE: April \_\_\_\_, 2019

## I. OVERVIEW

The City of Solana Beach Community Development Department is seeking proposals from qualified consulting firm(s) for environmental consulting services for one or more proposed projects including, but not limited to, general plan amendments, code amendments, rezones, tentative subdivision maps, conditional use permits, development reviews and specific plans. The City anticipates selecting three to five firms to provide consulting services on an on-call basis, and that each firm may subsequently be asked to prepare specific proposals/budget for more than one project.

The Environmental Consultant will be responsible for the preparation of Environmental Impact Reports (EIR), Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, technical studies and other California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation for proposed projects. Environmental Consultants must be willing to work collaboratively with, and manage the work of, other members of the environmental analysis team. The City will, based on qualifications presented in response to this RFP, select the firm(s) best able to provide environmental consulting services for upcoming private and City-initiated projects.

## II. PROPOSAL DUE DATE, TIME AND LOCATION

Proposals shall be printed double-sided, submitted on 8-1/2" x 11" recycled paper, with easy to read font size and style. Pages shall be numbered, tabbed, and presented in a three (3) ring binder or spiral bound.

One (1) signed original, five (5) hard copies and one (1) electronic PDF version (on a flash drive) of the proposal shall be submitted to the Office of the City Clerk by no later than 5:00 PM on Monday, June \_\_\_, 2019. Proposal shall be submitted in a sealed envelope clearly marked "Proposal for On-Call Environmental Consulting Services" and addressed to:

JOSEPH LIM, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH 635 S. HIGHWAY 101 SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075
Questions regarding this RFP are to be directed by e-mail to: Joseph Lim, Community Development Director at <u>jlim@cosb.org</u>. Such contact shall be for clarification purposes only. The City must receive all questions no later than 5:00 PM on Friday, May 30<sup>th</sup>. Material changes, if any, to the scope of services or proposal procedures will only be transmitted by written addendum and posted to the City website. Addendums and answers to submitted questions will be available via the City of Solana Beach website under "Notice" for the RFP announcement.

Proposals will <u>not</u> be accepted by fax or email. Proposals arriving after the specified date and time will not be considered, nor will late proposals be opened. Each consultant assumes responsibility for timely submission of its proposal. Any proposal may be withdrawn or modified by a written request signed by the Consultant and received by the City prior to the final time and date for the receipt of proposals. Once the deadline is past, Consultants are obligated to fulfill the terms of their proposal. The City reserves the right to accept any proposal, to reject any and all proposals, and to call for new proposals, or dispense with the proposal process.

## III. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND CONTRACT AWARD

All timely received responsive proposals will be reviewed and evaluated by the City in order to determine which Consultants best meet the City's needs by demonstrating the competence and qualifications necessary for the satisfactory performance of the required services, and will be based on a determination of which services offered serve the best interest of the City, except as otherwise provided by law.

For each evaluation criteria listed below, all proposals will be reviewed and evaluated based on their relative strengths, deficiencies, and weaknesses:

- 1. Firm Experience
- 2. Qualifications and Experience of Key Personnel and/or Sub-Consultants
- 3. Understanding of Work to be Performed Work Plan
- 4. Pricing/Cost of Services (efficient use of resources and subs, if any)
- 5. Supportive Information/References

If necessary, interviews may be scheduled with the top-ranked firms to help the City determine the most-qualified Consultants. Negotiations will be made with the selected Consultants based on internal rankings, with the intent to award on-call contracts. The City intends to award multiple contracts. Nothing herein shall obligate the City to award a contract to any responding Consultant.

## IV. PROPOSAL PROCESS SCHEDULE

The City intends to follow, but will not be bound by, the following schedule:

Public Notification of RFP:	April, 2019
Proposals Due:	5:00 p.m. on June, 2019
Consultant(s) Selection/Negotiation:	August – September 2019

## V. GUIDELINES FOR PROPOSAL

The following guidelines are provided for standardizing the preparation and submission of

proposals. The intent is to assist respondents in the preparation of their submissions and to assist the City by simplifying the review process providing standards for comparison of submissions.

Statements submitted in response to this RFP shall include a complete response to the requirements in this section in the order presented. Statements should be a straightforward delineation of the respondent's capability to satisfy the intent and requirements of this RFP, and should not contain redundancies and conflicting statements.

Proposals shall contain the following information in the order listed:

## A. Introductory Letter

The introductory letter should be addressed to:

Joseph Lim Community Development Director City of Solana Beach 635 S. Highway 101 Solana Beach, CA 92075

The letter should state the Prime Consultant and include the Consultant's name submitting the proposal, their mailing address, telephone number, and contact name. The letter shall address the Consultant's understanding of the project based on this RFP and any other information the Consultant has gathered. The letter should include a statement discussing the Consultant's interest and qualifications for this type of work. A principal of the firm authorized to legally bind the firm shall sign the letter.

## B. Table of Contents

Consultants shall insert a comprehensive table of contents within their proposals denoting Sections C through I, as indicated below:

## C. Firm Experience

Demonstrate experience relative to the work provided in the scope below; capacity to balance multiple projects/priorities; ability to provide a full range of services; and/or work on similar projects.

## D. Qualifications and Experience of Key Personnel

Describe the Consultant's capability for actually undertaking and performing the work as described in this RFP. Include qualifications of each key team member and their Consultant affiliation (prime or sub-consultant). List types and locations of similar work performed by the Consultant in the last five (5) years that best characterizes the quality and past performance. Include names and current phone numbers of references. References may be contacted as part of the selection process.

## E. Work Plan

The work plan should indicate the Consultant's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP as outlined in the Scope of Work (see Exhibit A). The plan should be simple, easy to read and follow, and should address the objectives and specifications as listed in the Scope of Work. Particular attention shall be paid to how the Consultant proposes to

address matters of quality control/quality assurance and deliver work product within identified timelines.

## F. Pricing

This section shall include the cost for requested services outlined in the Scope of Work (see Exhibit A). Consultant shall provide a summary of all staff by position classifications, responsibilities and hourly rates dedicated to each major professional service task. The City requests that all administrative services necessary to support the scope of services in this proposal be reflected in your overhead/indirect cost rate. Administrative services include travel, training, billing/invoicing, equipment/supplies, rent/utilities, and similar, and shall not be billed directly. The pricing proposal shall also identify any sub-consultants included in the proposal, the cost for the sub-consultant work and any markup by the prime for the sub-consultant work. No cost increases during the selection process shall be passed onto the City after the proposal has been submitted, except as otherwise provided for in the contract.

## G. Supportive Information/References

Consultants are encouraged to include graphs, charts, photos, resumes, references, and similar, in support of their qualifications.

## H. Changes to the Standard On-Call Contract

Attached to the RFP (Exhibit B) is a copy of the City's standard Professional Services Agreement (Contract). The City's standard Contract may be modified, in the City's sole discretion, to address the specific provisions of this RFP and Consultants should note that any specifications or other requirements specific to this RFP shall be included in the Contract and Contract's exhibits following an award of the Contract. Please review the Contract carefully and note in your proposal any exceptions or alterations to the Contract. Alterations or changes to the Contract that are not in the Consultant's response shall not be allowed after the selection of the Consultant. This includes alterations, exceptions, or changes to the insurance and indemnity provisions. By requiring these requests up front, the City can compare all respondents on an equal basis. However, the City reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to accept or reject any and all proposed changes to the City's standard Contract.

Exhibits:

- A Scope of Work
- **B** Professional Services Agreement

## EXHIBIT "A"

## SCOPE OF WORK ON-CALL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING SERVICES

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the City of Solana Beach has adopted the CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations – Title 14, Chapter 3) as its procedures for the review of development projects and other activities to ensure that the environment of the State is protected and enhanced. Pursuant to these procedures, the City anticipates that the preparation of environmental document(s) may be required for potential future projects. Due to the potential for project schedules to overlap, the City may ask several firms to provide consulting services and the firm(s) may subsequently be asked to provide written proposals for more than one project.

The selected Consultant(s) may be asked to provide a variety of professional services, as assigned, and prepare environmental documents (such as Environmental Impact Reports, Mitigated Negative Declarations, Negative Declarations, Initial Studies, and/or technical studies) in compliance with the requirements of CEQA. Typical environmental impacts which will need to be assessed include but are not limited to:

- Aesthetics
- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Climate Change/Global Warming (Greenhouse Gases)
- Cultural Resources
- Energy Conservation
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials
- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise
- Population and Housing
- Public Services
- Recreation
- Transportation/Traffic (including implementation of SB 743, which the City is including in its pending General Plan update)
- Tribal Cultural Resources
- Utilities and Service Systems

In addition, the selected Consultant(s) may be asked to provide general biological services, including but not limited to peer review, field analysis, etc., as it relates to private development and City-initiated projects.

The selected Consulting firm(s) must be prepared to effectively address the following:

- Assist the City's staff planners in managing the environmental processing for private development projects and City-initiated projects, including preparation of environmental documents, attendance at public meetings, regular interface with City staff and other City consultants, AB 52 consultation, and attendance at regularly-scheduled coordination meetings as necessary when work is in progress.
- 2. Communicate, cooperate and team with specialized environmental consultants and City staff and other City consultants as necessary.
- Demonstrate the depth and breadth of the firm to provide a full range of environmental consulting services capable of meeting the needs of any given private development or City-initiated project.
- 4. Provide strong emphasis on the management of multiple projects and competing priorities while maintaining quality, meeting schedules and staying within budget.

Sub-consultants may be utilized for various technical reports. The City reserves the right to require the use of approved sub-consultants, particularly with respect to traffic reports, and not permit the use of sub-consultants that have not been approved.



TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 City Manager's Department Consideration of Resolution 2019-040 Authorizing an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Bayshore Consulting Group Inc. for Solana Energy Alliance Administrative Support

## BACKGROUND:

Community Choice Aggregation ("CCA"), authorized by Assembly Bill 117, is a state law that allows cities, counties and other authorized entities to aggregate electricity demand within their jurisdictions in order to purchase and/or generate alternative energy supplies for residents and businesses within their jurisdiction while maintaining the existing electricity provider for transmission and distribution services. The goal of a CCA is to provide a higher percentage of renewable energy electricity at competitive and potentially cheaper rates than existing Investor Owned Utilities ("IOU"s), while giving consumers local choices and promoting the development of renewable power sources and programs and local job growth.

The City of Solana Beach's ("City") CCA, Solana Energy Alliance ("SEA"), was established by the City Council through adoption of Ordinance 483 on December 13, 2017 and began serving customers in June 2018. SEA is the first CCA to launch in San Diego Gas & Electric territory and, because of this, has secured the assistance of expert consultants to assist Staff in successfully launching and operating the program.

This item is before Council to consider Resolution 2019-040 (Attachment 1) authorizing an amendment (Attachment 2) to the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with Bayshore Consulting Group Inc. (Bayshore) for SEA administrative services.

## DISCUSSION:

Bayshore has been providing day-to-day administrative assistance to SEA since prior to launch back in June 2018. These services include regulatory compliance, coordination with San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E), customer service/community outreach,

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

AGENDA ITEM A.6.

technical assistance, policy development and financial analysis. As the only current operating CCA in SDG&E territory, many of these responsibilities rest solely on SEA's shoulders. With the help of Bayshore, City Staff has had to navigate the complexities of being the first jurisdiction in San Diego to develop and launch a CCA in SDG&E territory and remain in compliance with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) regulations and requirements. To this end, SEA retained the services of Bayshore, who has extensive administration experience in CPUC and CCA regulatory procedures, policies and regulations. Given the importance of successfully operating the first CCA in SDG&E territory, Staff is seeking Council authorization of an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) not to exceed \$44,999 for continuing administration services necessary to serve the interests of SEA and its customers. These costs will be paid by SEA revenues through the SEA Enterprise Fund's lockbox.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

The action being considered by the City Council is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because it is not a "project" under Section 15378(b)(5) of CEQA Guidelines.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

If the Council approves Resolution 2019-040, Bayshore's contract amount will increase by \$20,000 to a not to exceed total amount of \$44,999 to retain Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc., to support SEA for the remainder of Fiscal Year (FY) 2018/19. These funds will be paid directly from the SEA lockbox and will not impact the City's General Fund.

#### WORK PLAN:

Environmental Sustainability - Policy Development - Implement Solana Energy Alliance

## **OPTIONS:**

- Approve Resolution 2019-040 approving the amendment to the PSA for Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc.
- Do not approve Resolution 2019-040
- Provide alternative direction

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the City Council consider adoption of Resolution 2019-040 authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc., for administrative services not to exceed \$44,999 in support of SEA.

## **CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-040
- 2. Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc. PSA Amendment

#### **RESOLUTION NO 2019-040**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH BAYSHORE CONSULTING GROUP, INC., FOR SPECIAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE SOLANA ENERGY ALLIANCE

**WHEREAS**, the City Council approved the launch of the Solana Energy Alliance (SEA) to provide customers a choice in energy service providers; and

WHEREAS, the implementation of SEA requires specialized administration and compliance assistance in connection with managing the day-to-day operations of SEA including monitoring and compliance with energy and regulatory matters before the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and education and outreach to the community; and

WHEREAS, because SEA is the first and only operating Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) program in San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) territory, special and constant attention to matters before the CPUC and the community is of utmost importance; and

**WHEREAS,** the City Council, in their role as the Board of Directors of SEA, desires to authorize an amendment to Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with Bayshore Consulting Group, Inc., to increase the contract amount to a not to exceed amount of \$44,999 to perform these services.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the City Council of the City of Solana Beach does resolve as follows:

- 1. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That the City Council authorizes the City Manager to execute an amendment to the professional service agreement with Bayshore Consulting Group Inc., in an amount not to exceed \$44,999 for FY 2018/2019.
- 3. That the City Council authorizes the City Manager to extend the agreement for four additional one-year terms, at the City's option.

Resolution 2019-040 Bayshore Consulting Group Inc PSA Page 2 of 2

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April, 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers – NOES: Councilmembers – ABSTAIN: Councilmembers – ABSENT: Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Deputy Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

## AMENDMENT TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH BAYSHORE CONSULTING GROUP, INC. FOR COMMUNITY CHOICE AGGREGATION CONSULTING

This Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement entered into and effective as of the 1st day of February, 2019, by and between the City of Solana Beach, a municipal corporation, ("City"), and **Bayshore Consulting Group**, **Inc.** ("Consultant") (collectively, the "Parties") for Community Choice Aggregation Consulting.

## RECITALS

A. City and Consultant entered into an Agreement for consulting services ("Agreement") on July 1, 2018; and

B. The Parties now desire to amend the Agreement to document an increase in the Scope of Services and Fees sections of the agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, City and Consultant agree as follows:

1. The Scope of Services and Fees for the period of July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 is indicated in Exhibit "A" attached to this amendment.

2. City will pay Consultant for all work associated with the terms of the agreement which is amended to increase compensation by twenty thousand (\$20,000) at a price not to exceed forty-four thousand, nine hundred and ninety-nine dollars (\$44,999) at rates detailed in Exhibit "A" (attached).

3. All other provisions of the Agreement, as may have been amended from time to time, shall remain in full force and effect.

4. All requisite insurance policies to be maintained by the Consultant pursuant to the Agreement, as may have been amended from time to time, shall include coverage for this Amendment.

5. The individuals executing this Amendment and the instruments referenced on behalf of Consultant each represent and warrant that they have the legal power, right and actual authority to bind Consultant to the terms and conditions hereof of this Amendment.

BAYSHORE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

**CITY OF SOLANA BEACH**, a municipal corporation of the State of California

By:

(Date)

Barbara Boswell, President

Gregory Wade, City Manager

(Date)

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

Dan King, Assistant City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney

ATTEST:

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

## EXHIBIT "A"

## SCOPE OF SERVICES AND FEE

Consultant will provide technical support and analysis of Community Choice Aggregation proposals as well as provide information and education to City Staff and the community, as needed, which may include attendance at community workshops and/or City Council meetings. The work will be performed at a not to exceed amount of \$44,999.00 at an hourly rate of \$150.



TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers
Gregory Wade, City Manager
April 10, 2019
Engineering Department
Council Consideration of Resolution 2019-038 Declaring
the Necessity of Emergency Storm Drainpipe Repairs,
Ratifying the City Manager's Decision to Execute
Agreements Associated with the Emergency Repairs in
the Solana Beach Towne Centre and Directing Reporting
Regarding the Emergency

## BACKGROUND:

The City of Solana Beach owns an 84-inch Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP) located just west of Interstate 5 Freeway in the Solana Beach Towne Centre along San Rodolfo Drive. The City is the holder of a drainage easement recorded with the San Diego County Recorder on or about May 12, 1976, as document no. 76-143635 (Easement) wherein the storm drainpipe is located. In the fall, the City was notified of a potential sinkhole developing in the Solana Beach Towne Centre parking lot in the vicinity of the storm drainpipe directly under a covered walkway promenade near the Discount Tire store. Immediately after being notified, the City began an investigation including visual inspections, performing Closed Circuit Televising (CCTV), consulting with experts and researching the available record drawings associated with the construction of the subject pipeline.

More recent visual inspections of the section of the storm drainpipe located directly under the covered walkway promenade in March 2019, show a dramatic increase in the deflection/offset of the pipeline and underscored that the pipeline needed to be repaired in an emergency manner. Staff retained the professional services of a structural engineer, a geotechnical engineer and a licensed contractor for this work. Due to the risk to public safety, there was not sufficient time to send the project out for competitive bidding or seek prior approval from City Council.

COUNCIL ACTION:

This item is before the City Council for the consideration of Resolution 2019-038 (Attachment 1) to declare an emergency pursuant to Public Contract Code section 20168, ratify the City Manager's decision to execute the contracts necessary to perform the repairs, and direct Staff to report back to City Council regarding the emergency as required by Public Contract Code section 22050.

## DISCUSSION:

The subject storm drain was originally constructed in 1967 using CMP. While the inside of the pipe was covered with a relatively thick layer of asphalt lining, storm drain flows damaged the invert (inside/bottom) of the pipe. A typical repair to such pipe damage is relatively simple and is usually performed from inside of the pipe. However, the City's investigation revealed that one 25-foot long pipe segment directly below the sinkhole area and under the tower of the covered walkway promenade is displaced (deflected/offset) at both ends. The soffit (inside/top) of that pipe segment is out of alignment with the adjacent sections of pipe. This matter was discussed and evaluated by several experts, all of whom agreed that the joint displacement cannot be repaired from inside of the pipe and the damaged pipe segment must be removed and replaced, requiring a more intensive project.

The storm drain pipe is approximately 22 feet deep and is located directly under a tower façade of a covered walkway promenade. The removal and replacement would require a relatively complicated shoring system to ensure the safety of the workers and to protect the adjacent structure. With the engagement of professional consultants, and a licensed contractor with expertise in repairs to these types of storm drain systems, Staff has developed the most efficient and cost effective plan to repair this pipeline. Staff is working cooperatively with representatives of the owners of the Solana Beach Towne Centre to develop a plan for certain aspects of this repair.

Because the pipeline is located underneath a covered promenade where pedestrians walk, there is a public safety risk. Emergency repairs are required to eliminate this risk. There was not sufficient time to send this project out to bid as any delay would jeopardize public health, safety and property.

California Public Contract Code section 20168 allows immediate expenditures to safeguard life, health and property without competitive bidding when there is an emergency. Public Contract Code section 22050 provides the procedures for emergency contracting and allows City Council to delegate emergency authority.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 2008-091 (Attachment 2), the City Council has authorized the City Manager, after consultation with the City Attorney, to take corrective action during an emergency, including entering into contracts for the purchase of supplies, materials, equipment and other necessary items directly related to an imminent threat to City owned, operated or maintained infrastructure, property and facilities. SBMC Section 3.08.060, Emergency Purchases, states, in part: "Emergency purchases that

exceed \$25,000...are required to be ratified by the city council at the soonest available regular city council meeting."

Resolution No. 2008-091 requires the City Manager to report to the City Council on any action undertaken pursuant to his emergency contracting authority. Public Contract Code section 22050(c) also requires the regular review and reporting of actions during the emergency.

The work associated with these repairs is still underway. Staff will report back to the City Council regarding the repairs as required by Public Contract Code section 22050.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15302(c) of the State CEQA Guidelines.

## FISCAL IMPACT:

The initial construction cost associated with this repair is estimated at \$750,000. Additionally, there are costs associated with structural engineering, geotechnical engineering, field and laboratory testing and field surveying. Staff has negotiated a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with a structural engineer for a not-to-exceed amount of \$6,500. Staff has also negotiated a PSA with a geotechnical engineer for surveying, lab testing and geotechnical services for a not-to-exceed amount of \$28,000

Since the work associated with these repairs is still underway, Staff will report back to the City Council with a full financial accounting once the repairs are completed.

## WORKPLAN:

This project is not identified in the Fiscal Year 2018/2019 Work Plan.

## OPTIONS:

- Approve Staff recommendation.
- Approve Staff recommendation with alternative amendments / modifications.
- Provide direction / feedback.

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt Resolution 2019-038:

1. Declaring an emergency under Public Contract Code sections 20168 and 22050 regarding the storm drain;

- 2. Authorizing the City Manager to take any directly related and immediate action required by the emergency, directing Staff to report back to City Council as required by Public Contract Code section 22050 and Resolution No. 2008-091; and
- 3. Ratifying the City Manager's decision to:
  - a. Execute a Public Works Agreement with Crest Equipment, Inc., for a notto-exceed amount of \$750,000, for construction and repairs associated with the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
  - b. Execute a PSA with Structural & Engineering Design Company, for a notto-exceed amount of \$6,500, for structural engineering support services for the repair of an 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
  - c. Execute a PSA with Geopacifica, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$28,000, for geotechnical services in the form of inspection, testing and surveying for the repair of an 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.

## **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-038
- 2. Resolution 2008-091

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2019-038**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, DECLARING THE NECESSITY OF EMERGENCY STORM DRAINPIPE REPAIRS, RATIFYING THE CITY MANAGER'S DECISION TO EXECUTE AGREEMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE EMERGENCY REPAIRS IN THE SOLANA BEACH TOWNE CENTRE AND DIRECTING REPORTING REGARDING THE EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, the City of Solana Beach owns an 84-inch Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP) located just west of Interstate 5 Freeway in the Solana Beach Towne Centre along San Rodolfo Drive; and

WHEREAS, the City is the holder of a drainage easement recorded with the San Diego County Recorder on or about May 12, 1976, as document no. 76-143635 (Easement) wherein the CMP is located; and

WHEREAS, in the fall 2018, the City was notified of a potential sinkhole developing in the Solana Beach Towne Centre parking lot in the vicinity of the storm drainpipe directly under a covered walkway promenade ; and

WHEREAS, immediately after being notified, the City began an investigation including visual inspections, performing Closed Circuit Televising, consulting with experts and researching the available record drawings associated with the construction of the subject pipeline; and

WHEREAS, more recent visual inspections of the section of the storm drainpipe located directly under the covered walkway promenade in March 2019, showed a dramatic increase in the deflection/offset of the pipeline; and

WHEREAS, the pipeline needs to be repaired in an emergency manner; and

WHEREAS, California Public Contract Code section 20168 allows immediate expenditures to safeguard life, health and property without competitive bidding under certain emergency circumstances; and

**WHEREAS,** Public Contract Code section 22050(b) allows City Council to delegate emergency authority to the City Manager; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has delegated certain emergency authority to the City Manager under Resolution No. 2008-091 and Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 3.08.060; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 2008-091 and Solana Beach Municipal Code section 3.08.060, the City Manager authorized Professional Services Agreements (PSA) with a structural engineer, a geotechnical engineer and a licensed contractor for the emergency work to protect the public's safety, prevent further imminent damage to public infrastructure, and avoid harm to private properties; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 2008-091 and Public Contract Code section 22050 contain certain requirements regarding the reporting and review of actions taken during an emergency.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve and declare as follows:

- 1. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That an emergency exists such that immediate repairs to the City's 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre are required to eliminate a safety hazard and imminent threat to City owned infrastructure and private property.
- **3.** That public interest and necessity demand the immediate expenditure of public money to safeguard life, health, and property.
- 4. That the emergency requires the repair to the City's storm drainpipe located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre and action directly related to such repairs, including procuring the necessary equipment, services and supplies for the repair project.
- 5. That entry into agreements with a structural engineer, a geotechnical engineer and a licensed contractor for the emergency work related to the repairs is necessary to respond to the emergency.
- **6.** That the emergency will not permit a delay resulting from a competitive solicitation for bids for such Professional Services Agreement (PSA).
- 7. That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a Public Works Agreement with Crest Equipment, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$750,000, for construction and repairs associated with the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- 8. That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a PSA with Structural & Engineering Design Company, for a not-to-exceed amount of

\$6,500, for structural engineering support services for the repair of the 84inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.

- **9.** That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a PSA with Geopacifica, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$28,000, for geotechnical services in the form of inspection, testing and surveying for the repair of the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- **10.** That the City Manager is authorized to take any directly related and immediate action required by the emergency related to the repair of the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- **11.** That staff is directed to report back to City Council regarding the emergency as required by Resolution No. 2008-091 and Public Contract Code section 22050.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April, 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following:

AYES: Councilmembers – NOES: Councilmembers – ABSENT: Councilmembers – ABSTAIN: Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 2008-091 and Solana Beach Municipal Code section 3.08.060, the City Manager authorized Professional Services Agreements (PSA) with a structural engineer, a geotechnical engineer and a licensed contractor for the emergency work to protect the public's safety, prevent further imminent damage to public infrastructure, and avoid harm to private properties; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 2008-091 and Public Contract Code section 22050 contain certain requirements regarding the reporting and review of actions taken during an emergency.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve and declare as follows:

- 1. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That an emergency exists such that immediate repairs to the City's 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre are required to eliminate a safety hazard and imminent threat to City owned infrastructure and private property.
- **3.** That public interest and necessity demand the immediate expenditure of public money to safeguard life, health, and property.
- 4. That the emergency requires the repair to the City's storm drainpipe located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre and action directly related to such repairs, including procuring the necessary equipment, services and supplies for the repair project.
- **5.** That entry into Professional Services Agreements (PSA) with a structural engineer, a geotechnical engineer and a licensed contractor for the emergency work related to the repairs is necessary to respond to the emergency.
- **6.** That the emergency will not permit a delay resulting from a competitive solicitation for bids for such PSAs.
- 7. That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a PSA with Crest Equipment, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$750,000, for construction and repairs associated with the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- 8. That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a PSA with Structural & Engineering Design Company, for a not-to-exceed amount of

\$6,500, for structural engineering support services for the repair of the 84inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.

- **9.** That the City Council ratifies the City Manager's decision to execute a PSA with Geopacifica, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of \$28,000, for geotechnical services in the form of inspection, testing and surveying for the repair of the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- **10.** That the City Manager is authorized to take any directly related and immediate action required by the emergency related to the repair of the 84-inch CMP located within the City's Easement in the Solana Beach Towne Centre.
- **11.** That staff is directed to report back to City Council regarding the emergency as required by Resolution No. 2008-091 and Public Contract Code section 22050.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April, 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following:

AYES: Councilmembers – NOES: Councilmembers – ABSENT: Councilmembers – ABSTAIN: Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

#### RESOLUTION NO. 2008-091

#### A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE NECESSARY AGREEMENTS FOR EMERGENCY REPAIRS TO CITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND FACILITIES

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of City of Solana Beach Municipal Code section 3.08.095, the City Council approves all agreements for services in an estimated amount of \$15,000 or more; and,

WHEREAS, the Public Contract Code section 220050 (b)(1) provides that the City Council may delegate to the City Manager the authority to enter into agreements for the purchase of services and supplies and take other action directly related to an emergency; and,

WHEREAS, such delegation of authority to the City Manager allows the City to respond to imminent threats to public and private property and mitigate potential damages to said property; and,

WHEREAS, the City Manager shall exercise the authority granted herein only after consultation with the City Attorney; and,

WHEREAS, all purchases of supplies, services and materials shall be by a written contract, approved as to form by the City Attorney, and shall reference this Resolution; and,

**WHEREAS,** the term of any agreement entered into pursuant to this Resolution shall not exceed thirty (30) days; and,

WHEREAS, no agreement entered into pursuant to this Resolution shall be extended or amended unless the agreement and any extension or amendment is formally approved by the City Council; and,

WHEREAS, any action taken by the City Manager pursuant to the authority conferred in this Resolution shall be reviewed by the City Council in accordance with Public Contract Code section 22050 *et seq*.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct.

2. The City Council authorizes the City Manager, subject to prior consultation with the City Attorney, to take corrective action, including entering into contracts for the purchase of supplies, materials, equipment and other necessary items directly related to an imminent threat to City owned, operated or maintained infrastructure, property and facilities.

Resolution 2008-091 Emergency Contracting Authority Page 2 of 2

3. The Council authorizes the City Manager to execute any and all agreements directly related to corrective action necessitated by the imminent threat to City owned infrastructure and facilities. Such agreements shall be approved as to form by the City Attorney prior to execution and shall not be extended or amended except as provided for in this Resolution.

4. In accordance with and as provided for in Public Contract Code section 22050 *et seq.* the City Manager shall report to the City Council on any action undertaken pursuant to the authority granted herein.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, held on the 9th day of July, 2008 by the following vote.

AYES: Councilmembers – Roberts, Nichols, Campbell, Heebner, Kellejian
 NOES: Councilmembers – None
 ABSENT: Councilmembers – None
 ABSTAIN: Councilmembers – None

and me alat

DAVID W. ROBERTS, Mayor

**APPROVED AS TO FORM:** 

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ATTEST: ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk



## **CERTIFICATION**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS. COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO) CITY OF SOLANA BEACH)

I, ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk of the City of Solana Beach, California, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of Resolution 2008-091 authorizing the City Manager to execute necessary agreements for \* Emergency Repairs to City Infrastructure and Facilities as duly passed and adopted at a Regular Solana Beach City Council meeting held on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of July 2008 and the original is on file in the City Clerk's Office.

ANGELA IVEY, CITY CLERK

Date of this Certification: 7-14-2005

## CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY



# **MINUTES**

Joint Meeting - Closed Session

Wednesday, February 13, 2019 \* 5:00 p.m.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California

## CITY COUNCILMEMBERS

David A. Zito, Mayor

Jewel Edson, Deputy Mayor

Judy Hegenauer, Councilmember

Kristi Becker, Councilmember

Kelly Harless, Councilmember

Gregory Wade City Manager

Johanna Canlas City Attorney

Angela Ivey City Clerk

## CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Mayor Zito called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

Present: David A. Zito, Jewel Edson, Judy Hegenauer, Kristi Becker, Kelly Harless Absent: None Also Present: Gregory Wade, City Manager Johanna Canlas, City Attorney

## PUBLIC COMMENT ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS (ONLY): None

Report to Council Chambers and submit speaker slips to the City Clerk before the meeting recesses to closed session.

## **CLOSED SESSION:**

- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) Two (2) Potential case(s).
- 2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1)
  - Beach & Bluff Conservancy v. City of Solana Beach, California Coastal Commission, Surfrider (Case No. 37-2019-0002080-CU-WM-NC)
- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION Added 2-12-19 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) One (1) Potential case(s).
- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL INITIATION OF LITIGATION Added 2-12-19 Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(4) One (1) Potential case(s).

## ACTION: No reportable action.

## **ADJOURN:**

Mayor Zito adjourned the meeting at 5:55 p.m.

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_ AGENDA ITEM A.8.

## CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL, SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, & HOUSING AUTHORITY



# MINUTES

Joint REGULAR Meeting

Wednesday, February 13, 2019 \* 6:00 p.m.

City Hall / Council Chambers, 635 S. Highway 101, Solana Beach, California

- City Council meetings are video recorded and archived as a permanent record. The <u>video</u> recording captures the complete proceedings of the meeting and is available for viewing on the City's website.
- Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time prior to meetings for processing new submittals. Complete records containing meeting handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a <u>Records</u> <u>Request</u>.

<u>(</u>	CITY COUNCILMEN	IBERS
David A. Zito, Mayor		
Jewel Edson, Deputy Mayor		Judy Hegenauer, Councilmember
Kristi Becker, Councilmember	WM109-54	Kelly Harless, Councilmember
Gregory Wade	Johanna Canlas	Angela Ivey
City Manager	City Attorney	City Clerk

## CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:

Mayor Zito called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Present: David A. Zito, Jewel Edson, Judy Hegenauer, Kristi Becker, Kelly Harless

Absent: None

Also Present: Greg Wade, City Manager Johanna Canlas, City Attorney Angela Ivey, City Clerk, Dan King, Assistant City Manager Mo Sammak, City Engineer/Public Works Dir. Marie Berkuti, Finance Manager Joseph Lim, Community Development Dir.

**CLOSED SESSION REPORT:** No reportable action.

## FLAG SALUTE:

## **APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Harless to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## ORAL COMMUNICATIONS: None

This portion of the agenda provides an opportunity for members of the public to address the City Council on items relating to City business and not appearing on today's agenda by <u>submitting a</u> <u>speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) <u>to the City Clerk</u>. Comments relating to items on this evening's agenda are taken at the time the items are heard. Pursuant to the Brown Act, no action

shall be taken by the City Council on public comment items. Council may refer items to the City Manager for placement on a future agenda. The maximum time allotted for each presentation is THREE MINUTES (SBMC 2.04.190). Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

## COUNCIL COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS / COMMENTARY:

An opportunity for City Council to make brief announcements or report on their activities. These items are not agendized for official City business with no action or substantive discussion.

## A. CONSENT CALENDAR: (Action Items) (A.1. - A.6)

Items listed on the Consent Calendar are to be acted in a single action of the City Council unless pulled for discussion. Any member of the public may address the City Council on an item of concern <u>by submitting to the City Clerk a speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) <u>before the Consent Calendar is addressed</u>. Those items removed from the Consent Calendar by a member of the <u>Council</u> will be trailed to the end of the agenda, while Consent Calendar items removed by the <u>public</u> will be discussed immediately after approval of the Consent Calendar.

A.1. SKIPPED NUMBER

#### A.2. Register Of Demands. (File 0300-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Ratify the list of demands for January 5 - 25, 2019.

#### Item A.2. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

#### A.3. General Fund Adopted Budget for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Changes. (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Receive the report listing changes made to the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 General Fund Adopted Budget.

Item A.3. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## A.4. Fletcher Cove Park Trash Enclosure Removal and Parking Pavement Project, Bid No. 2018-06. (File 0730-40)

Recommendation: That the City Council

## 1. Adopt Resolution 2019-016:

- a. Awarding the construction contract to BellaKai Construction in the amount of \$22,200 for the Fletcher Cove Park Trash Enclosure Removal & Parking Pavement, Bid 2018-06.
- b. Approving an amount of \$3,330 for construction contingency.
- 2. Authorizing the City Manager to execute the construction contract on behalf of the City.

#### Item A.4. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

#### A.5. Stormwater Program Management Services Agreement. (File 0850-40)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2019-012** authorizing the City Manager to sign the amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Mikhail Ogawa Engineering for Stormwater Program Management Services to increase the total cost of the agreement by \$45,000 for an amount not exceed \$130,000.

Item A.5. Report (click here)

Item A.5. Updated Report #1 (2-13-19 at 5pm)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## A.6. Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18 Community Grant Expenditure Report. (File 0330-25)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Accept the Solana Beach Civic & Historical Society request for an extension to expend the remaining \$942.40 from the \$5,000 awarded to them in the 2017-18 Community Grant period.
- 2. Accept and file this report.

#### Item A.6. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## **B. PUBLIC HEARINGS:** (B.1.)

This portion of the agenda provides citizens an opportunity to express their views on a specific issue as required by law after proper noticing by <u>submitting a speaker slip</u> (located on the back table) to the City Clerk. After considering all of the evidence, including written materials and oral

testimony, the City Council must make a decision supported by findings and the findings must be supported by substantial evidence in the record. An applicant or designee(s) for a private development/business project, for which the public hearing is being held, is allotted a total of fifteen minutes to speak, as per SBMC 2.04.210. A portion of the fifteen minutes may be saved to respond to those who speak in opposition. All other speakers have three minutes each. Please be aware of the timer light on the Council Dais.

# B.1. Public Hearing: Solana Energy Alliance (SEA) Rate Schedule, Quarterly Update, and Collections Policy (File 1010-40)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Adopt **Resolution 2019-017** amending the rate schedule for Solana Energy Alliance.
- 3. Receive and file report on Solana Energy Alliance. (SEA) Activities and Operations and provide comment and/or direction
- 4. Adopt **Resolution 2019-020** Approving SEA-04 Collections Policy

Item B.1. Report (click here)

Item B.1. Updated Report #1 (2-13 at 5pm)

Item B.1. Supplemental Docs (2-12 at 12pm)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item and presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Barb Boswell, consultant, continued the PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the quarterly update, first rate update, and collection policy cosideration.

Jeff Fuller, consultant, continued the PowerPoint (on file) regarding the financial outlook.

Ty Tosdal, Special Counsel for SEA, continued PowerPoint (on file) reviewing regulatory proceeding updates.

Dan King, Assistant City Manager, continued the PowerPoint (on file) reviewing regional JPA discussions.

Greg Wade, City Manager, continued the PowerPoint (on file) reviewing the summary of goals, rate savings, budget adjustments to align the lock box fund with the enterprise fund, Climate Action Plan goals, resources to meet the reserve requirements, and summarizing the purpose of the actions requested at this time.

Mayor Zito opened the public hearing.

Council disclosures.

Council, Consultants, and Staff discussed the central buyer concept that would centralize the

buying of the resource adequacy for all other entities and charging a fee, that resource adequacy would be intended to ensure all providers had adequate power during peak demand time, the current and revised bond requirement increase, that rates would be decreasing for the majority of users and some would be affected by an increase,

Alan Barnebey spoke about the problem with the statements being sent to net energy metering customers, that last quarterly update reported that SDG&E would resolve the issue in February, and that nothing had changed with the billing statements.

Greg Wade, City Manager, spoke about SDG&E's communication to the City that the February deadline for sending statements to net metering customers would not be met and the new target date would be March 17th, that a mailer was sent by SEA to all net energy metering customers to show account balances and credits and that they would be sent out again.

Al Evans spoke about his comparison of his bill, while not participating in the SEA program, with his neighbor's bill, who was in the SEA program, both stating a cost of 27 cents per kilowatt hour (kWh), and that he could not see the 3% savings for SEA participants.

Council, Consultants, and Staff discussed past due bill payment options that were available to SEA customers the same as SDG&E customers, a customer would be sent to collections only if the account was closed and a balance was never paid, the current net position of approximately \$1.1 million, the projected position at mid fiscal year could be lower, the plan for SEA paying back the City in full, the projected balances showing either enterprise fund balance on an accrual basis and one is showing SEAs positon on a cash basis, making a conscious decision to build cash reserves, future years could present different scenarios, having the choice to make efforts towards more renewables, and defining surplus funds.

Council discussed organizing a subcommittee of Councilmembers to act as point persons to speak to CCA and JPA issues with other elected officials and Mayor Zito and Councilmember Hegenauer would represent the Council.

Motion: Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Becker to close the public hearing. Approved 5/0. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion: Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. Approved 5/0. Motion carried unanimously.

## **C. STAFF REPORTS**: (C.1. - C.6.)

Submit speaker slips to the City Clerk.

## C.1. Fiscal Year 2018-19 Mid-Year Budget Amendments. (File 0330-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Resolution 2019-019** revising appropriations in the Fiscal Year 2018/19 Budget.

Item C.1. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Marie Berkuti, Finance Manager, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed the Fire Department's Staff overtime, that shifts contained a 6person crew at all times and backfilled with other Staff working overtime, the Fire Department vehicle maintenance increase from the past, costs for payments to the North County Dispatch JPA (NCDJPA) for pension costs, the Santa Fe Hills municipal improvement district funds, and that uncollected funds were published once efforts to reach the payees had failed.

**Motion:** Moved by Councilmember Harless and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## C.2. La Colonia Skate Park – Construction Update No. 2. (File 0720-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Receive this update on the La Colonia Skate Park;
- 2. Provide feedback on:
  - a. The area between the Skate Park and basketball court that would allow for a walking path to the recently purchased lot on the north end of the existing park; and
  - b. Proposed water filling station; and
  - c. Proposed fence around the basketball court; and
  - d. Proposed date for ribbon cutting ceremony for the La Colonia Skate Park.

#### Item C.2. Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mo Sammak, Public Works/Engineering Dir., presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Mitch Phillippe, Van Dyke Landscape, spoke about the north side of the basketball court and suggesting maintaining the fence at 8 ft. to prevent basketballs from going over the fence, and on the east side the fence could be lowered.

Council and Staff discussed moving a water filing station.

Council, Staff, and Consultant discussed ideas for benches, removing the concrete wall and adding landscaping instead to leave the area between the skate park and the basketball court more open and using it was a walkway path, that the skate park could be opened officially on Memorial Day, to open it earlier than the anticipated ribbon cutting ceremony, that skateboarding was currently restricted until the skate boarding ordinance was effective 30 days following the ordinance adoption, and minimal maintenance costs of the water system.

Cindi Clemons spoke about concerns of flyaway basketballs hitting the museum building.

Council and Staff discussed that there was a good distance between the new basketball court and the existing museum, considering whether to lower the fences or keeping them high, changing the material of the chain link fence, the two bench design options which would face the basketball court, and the side fence on the outer side along the basketball court was standard safety.

Council consensus was reached to select the water fling station to accommodate dogs as well, fence around the basketball court would be 8 ft. on north side and 4 ft. on the east side, use the Solana Beach bench, and the ribbon cutting ceremony date options.

## C.3. San Onofre Nuclear Waste Storage Solutions. (File 0220-40)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Provide input on the draft letter and authorize the distribution of the letter to Senators Feinstein and Harris and to Congressman Levin in support of immediate federal action to find both an interim and a permanent nuclear waste storage solution for the waste currently stored at SONGS and advocating for the safe storage and removal of waste from the coastline as quickly as possible.

Item C.3. Report (click here)

Item C.3. Updated Report #1 (2-13 at 5pm)

Item C.3. Supplemental Docs (2-13 at 5pm)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Dan King, Assistant City Manager, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Jim Jaffe, co-chair of Beach Preservation Committee of the San Diego Chapter of the Surfrider Foundation, and Mandy Sackett, California Policy Coordinator with the National Surfrider Office, presented a PowerPoint (on file), and spoke about focusing on a long term solution removing the waste off of the coast, working with the federal government takes much more time than expected, to get that process started now, that the waste had to cool until 2030 before it could be moved, that Sufrider opposed permanent storage of the radioactive waste at the SONGS location, that they advocated for the safe and quick removal from the coast, the area was subject to coastal hazards due to climate change and sea-level rise, a federal repository did not yet exist, the short term storage of southern California Edison's canister design was the industry's norm, that the long term repository was at the federal level for Congress to address and the canister issue would be at the NRC (National Regulatory Commission) level, requested that two letters be sent regarding the two different issues to the relative parties, and that they provided proposed correspondence.

Council and Ms. Sackett discussed focus on a permanent repository, the urgency of incorporating an earlier short-term solution for safety purposes, relying on NRC to ensure the type of canister for safety, handling or moving fuel increases risk factors, and a clearing pool onsite to address a potential leaking canister.

Torgen Johnson (time donated by Dave Clemens, Cindi Clemons) spoke about the problem created by internal failures of engineering, practices, calculations, and management, ending the loading of this defective system that was prone to accidents and would damage the canisters making it impossible to move them to another location, potential loss of containment on a system that was the only one of its kind in the entire country sitting in a salty environment, cracks that begin on these canisters as early as 17 yrs. old and these canisters were already 15 yrs. old, and not postponing a long term position.

Council and Mr. Johnson discussed the industry's blind spot due to its self-regulation, that Edison would like to push the canisters into the ground, many high level experts input and concerns, support for a letter stating the facts and expert's recommendations for this national security threat, the slightest move of the canisters can activate the radioactive material, the two near miss drops without any emergency plan, having Mike Levin reach out to public groups, to get the material off of the coast immediately, the recommended handing was being ignored by Edison, and advocating for a temporary and permanent solution in one or two different letters.

Council reached consensus to appoint Mayor Zito as the lead to draft a letter with Staff and the public to send to the relative elected officials to express the City's concerns and recommend ways to address them.

## C.4. Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvement Project - Phase III Agreement. (File 0820-15)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Consider adoption of Resolution 2019-011:
  - a. Authorizing the City Manager to sign a Professional Services Agreement with Michael Baker International, in an amount not to exceed of \$684,500, for the Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvement Project – Phase III.
  - b. Appropriating \$616,050 to the SANDAG Grants revenue account and Professional Services expenditure account for the Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Improvement Project – Phase III in the Capital Improvement Program for FY 2018/19 (9382.03) in the Miscellaneous Grants fund.
  - c. Authorizing the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2018/19 Adopted Budget accordingly.

Item C.4. Report (click here)

Item C.4. Updated Report #1 (2-11 at 530pm)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Greg Wade, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mo Sammak, Public Works/Engineering Dir., presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed conducting some public workshops, adding an update to the website once 30% construction design was reached, that the scope was based on no

roundabouts with 4 lanes of traffic as a starting point; there would be no taking of property by the City, and the 18 mos. Grant.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Hegenauer to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

# C.5. Adopt (2nd Reading) Ordinance 498 adopting Official City Insignia for the Solana Energy Alliance (SEA) (File 0160-30)

Recommendation: That the City Council

1. Adopt **Ordinance 498**, amending the Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC) Section 1.08.010, to include the official insignia for the Solana Energy Alliance.

Item C.5 Report (click here)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Becker to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## C.6. City Council Monthly Compensation Consideration – Ordinance 485 Introduction (1<sup>st</sup> Reading). (File 0520-10)

Recommendation: That the City Council

- 1. Discuss and consider changes, if any, to Councilmember compensation.
- 2. If desired, introduce **Ordinance 485** amending Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC) section 2.04.020 to reflect the compensation adjustment to take effect when a new term of office commences in December 2020.

Item C.6. Report (click here)

Item C.6. Updated Report #1 (2-13 at 5pm)

Posted Reports & Supplemental Docs contain records up to the cut off time, prior to the start of the meeting, for processing new submittals. The final official record containing handouts, PowerPoints, etc. can be obtained through a Records Request to the City Clerk's Office.

Johanna Canlas, City Attorney, presented a PowerPoint (on file).

Council and Staff discussed ensuring a salary increase was in line with other small cities and that the Mayor position in some cities receive approximately \$75-100 more a month.

**Motion:** Moved by Deputy Mayor Edson and second by Councilmember Harless to approve. **Approved 5/0.** Motion carried unanimously.

## COMPENSATION & REIMBURSEMENT DISCLOSURE:

GC: Article 2.3. Compensation: 53232.3. (a) Reimbursable expenses shall include, but not be limited to, meals, lodging, and travel. 53232.3 (d) Members of a legislative body shall provide brief reports on meetings attended at the expense of the local agency at the next regular meeting of the legislative body.

Councilmembers disclosed reimbursements.

## **COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:** Council Committees

## REGIONAL COMMITTEES: (outside agencies, appointed by this Council) STANDING COMMITTEES: (All Primary Members) (*Permanent Committees*)

## **ADJOURN:**

Mayor Zito adjourned the meeting at 9:36 p.m.

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_


TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

# STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers
Gregory Wade, City Manager
April 10, 2019
City Manager's Office
Council Consideration of Resolution No. 2019-041
Approving an Amendment to the Memorandum Of
Understanding with the Solana Beach School District and
an Amendment to the Professional Services Agreement
with All City Management Services, Inc. for Crossing
Guard Services, Appropriating Corresponding Funds and
Approving FY 2018/19 Budget Amendments

# BACKGROUND:

On July 10, 2018, the City Council (Council) approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Solana Beach School District (District) for crossing guard services. On August 22, 2018, Council approved an amended agreement adding the Santa Helena and Lomas Santa Fe Drive crosswalk to the program. Now, additional crossing guard services are requested at the intersection of Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens Avenue after reviewing the pedestrian crossing patterns this school year. This request is just for the remainder of this school year and the entire program will be reassessed after this school year for future years.

This item is before Council to consider Resolution 2019-041 (Attachment 1) ratifying the Amended Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (Attachment 2) with the Solana Beach School District for crossing guard services. In addition, if Council approves the ratification of the MOU, Staff requests that the Council also approve the Amended Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with All City Management Services, Inc. (Attachment 3) to include the Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens Avenue intersection. Lastly, if the Council approves the amended agreements, Staff requests corresponding appropriations of funds and approval of Fiscal Year (FY) 2018/19 budget amendments.

COUNCIL ACTION:

AGENDA ITEM A.9.

# **DISCUSSION**:

The inclusion of the Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens intersection requires the addition of two crossing guards to the six crossing guards employed under the current contract, for a total of eight crossing guards. The additional cost of the two new guards would be \$7,241. The MOU still includes a cost-share provision outlined in Sections 3 and 4 whereby the School District will be responsible for sixty-five percent (65%) of the actual costs of providing crossing guard services as outlined in the agreement. The City will be responsible for the remaining thirty-five percent (35%) of the costs as well as conducting administration and contract management services. With the addition of the new intersection at Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens Avenue, the contract costs will increase from \$88,862 to \$96,103. With the 65%/35% split, the City's costs will increase \$2,534 from \$31,102 to \$33,636.

The Amended MOU (Exhibit 2) and Amended PSA (Exhibit 3) have been updated to reflect the additional intersection and number of guards. Staff recommends that City Council consider approving the Amended MOU with the Solana Beach School District (District) and Amended PSA with All City Management Services Inc. (ACMS).

#### CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:

Not a project as defined by CEQA.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

The total cost for the first year of the amended agreements is not to exceed \$96,103. The District will reimburse the City for sixty-five percent of this cost, or \$62,467, with the remaining thirty five percent, or \$33,636, being paid by the City.

Should the Council approve the Amended MOU and PSA, Staff is recommending that an appropriation be made to the FY 2018/19 Adopted Budget for the increased cost of the crossing guard contract and for the reimbursement amount that will be received from the Solana Beach School District.

#### WORK PLAN:

In the FY 2018/19 Work Plan, this item is Community Character – Land Use and Planning – Priority Item 9

#### OPTIONS:

- Approve Staff recommendation.
- Approve Staff recommendation with alternative amendments / modifications.
- Deny Staff recommendation and provide direction.

# **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the City Council consider adoption of Resolution 2019-041:

- 1. Approving the Amended MOU with the Solana Beach School District for crossing guard services.
- Approving the Amended PSA with ACMS to provide crossing guard services for one year with the option to extend the agreement for up to four additional one year terms.
- 3. Authorize the City Manager to execute the MOU and PSA pending approval of these items by the Solana Beach School District.
- 4. Appropriating \$7,241 to the Professional Services expenditure account in the Traffic Safety budget unit and \$4,707 to the Reimbursed Cost revenue account, both in the General Fund.
- 5. Authorizing the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2018/19 Adopted Budget accordingly.

## **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-041
- 2. Amended Solana Beach School District Memorandum of Understanding
- 3. Amended Professional Services Agreement with ACMS

#### **RESOLUTION 2019-041**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH. CALIFORNIA. APPROVING AND RATIFYING THE AMENDED MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE SOLANA BEACH SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THE AMENDED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH ALL CITY MANAGEMENT SERVICES. **INC. FOR CROSSING GUARD SERVICES, APPROPRIATING** CORRESPONDING FUNDS AND APPROVING FY 2018/19 **BUDGET AMENDMENTS** 

**WHEREAS,** the City of Solana Beach Fiscal Year (FY) 2018/19 Work Plan directed Staff to explore a partnership with the Solana Beach School District (District) to provide crossing guards on Lomas Santa Fe Drive at the I-5 interchange; and

**WHEREAS**, the City promotes walking and biking throughout the community to promote a healthier lifestyle and reduce vehicular traffic; and

**WHEREAS,** the safety of Solana Beach students walking to and from school is paramount; and

**WHEREAS**, both the City and the District agree that providing crossing guard services will be beneficial to school children and the public as a whole; and

WHEREAS, the District and the City have agreed to share the costs to provide crossing guard services and on July 10, 2018, the City Council approved a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the District (District) for crossing guard services and a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with ACMS Inc. to provide those services; and

WHEREAS, on August 22, 2018, the City Council approved an amended MOU with the District and an amended PSA with ACMS for crossing guard services to include the intersection at Santa Helena and Lomas Santa Fe; and

**WHEREAS,** since that approval, the intersection at Lomas Santa Fe Drive and Stevens Avenue was also identified as a possible location for crossing guards to facilitate the safe crossing of school children and it was requested that the City and the District consider adding that crosswalk to the program; and

**WHEREAS**, the addition of the Lomas Santa Fe Drive and Stevens Avenue intersection to the MOU also necessitates a corresponding addition to the PSA.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

1. The above recitations are true and correct.

2. The City Council hereby approves the Amended Memorandum of Understanding between the City and Solana Beach School District.

3. The City Council hereby approves the Amended Professional Services Agreement with ACMS to provide crossing guard services for one year with the option to extend the agreement for up to four additional one year terms.

4. The City Council authorizes the City Manager to execute the MOU and PSA pending approval of these items by the Solana Beach School District.

5. The City Council hereby appropriates \$7,241 to the Professional Services expenditure account in the Traffic Safety budget unit and \$4,707 to the Reimbursed Cost revenue account, both in the General Fund.

6. The City Council hereby authorizes the City Treasurer to amend the FY 2018/19 Adopted Budget accordingly.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April 2019, at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

AYES:Councilmembers –NOES:Councilmembers –ABSTAIN:Councilmembers –ABSENT:Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

#### AMENDMENT NO.1 TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH AND SOLANA BEACH SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR CROSSING GUARD SERVICES

This Amendment No. 1 to the memorandum of understanding (MOU) entered into and effective as of the 30<sup>th</sup> of July 2018 by and between the City of Solana Beach, a municipal corporation, ("City"), and **the Solana Beach School District**., ("District") (collectively, the "Parties") for crossing guard services.

#### RECITALS

A. City and District entered into an MOU to share the costs of crossing guard services on July 30, 2018.

B. Pursuant to Resolution 2019-041, under the City Manager's authorization, the Parties desire to add the intersection of Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens Avenue to the scope of services of the Professional Services Agreement with ACMS, Inc, the provider of the crossing guard services at an additional cost of \$7,241, and;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, City and Contractor agree as follows:

1. The Parties have agreed to a revised Exhibit A to the MOU to include the additional crossing guard services at the intersection of Lomas Santa Fe and Stevens Avenue, which is attached to and incorporated by this reference as Exhibit "A".

2. All other provisions of the MOU, as may have been amended from time to time, shall remain in full force and effect.

3. The individuals executing this MOU and the instruments referenced on behalf of Disctrict each represent and warrant that they have the legal power, right and actual authority to bind the District to the terms and conditions hereof of this Amendment.

DISTRICT

CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, a municipal corporation of the State of California

By:

Greg Wade, City Manager

Lisa Davis Assistant Superintendent, Business Services Solana Beach School District

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

Dan King Assistant City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Johanna N. Canlas, City Attorney

ATTEST:

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

#### EXHIBIT "A" SCOPE OF SERVICES AND FEE

During the term of this Agreement, eight guards will be stationed near the following school sites:

- Two guards will be positioned on Lomas Santa Fe Drive where it intersects the Interstate 5 southbound ramp;
- One guard where it intersects the Interstate 5 northbound ramp;
- Two guards at the intersection of Santa Helena;
- One guard at the Santa Helena and Santa Victoria intersection; and
- Two guards at the intersection of Stevens Avenue.

The locations are indicated in the photo below.

The actual configuration of guard numbers and position locations are subject to change pending review and evaluation of the crossing guard program at these sites to ensure student safety.



#### AMENDMENT NO.1 TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH ACMS, INC. FOR CROSSING GUARD SERVICES

This Amendment No. 1 to the professional services agreement dated July 23, 2018 entered into and effective as of the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July 2018 by and between the City of Solana Beach, a municipal corporation, ("City"), and **ACMS, Inc**., ("Contractor") (collectively, the "Parties") for crossing guard services.

#### RECITALS

A. City and Contractor entered into an agreement for crossing guard services ("Agreement") on July 23, 2018.

B. Pursuant to Resolution 2019-041, under the City Manager's authorization, the Parties desire to add an additional intersection to the Agreement increasing the cost of the Agreement by \$7,240.64;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these recitals and the mutual covenants contained herein, City and Contractor agree as follows:

1. The Parties have agreed to a revised scope of work and fee schedule, which is attached to and incorporated by this reference as Exhibit "A", Scope of Services and Fee.

2. All other provisions of the Agreement, as may have been amended from time to time, shall remain in full force and effect.

4. All requisite insurance policies to be maintained by the Contractor pursuant to the Agreement, as may have been amended from time to time, shall include coverage for this Amendment.

Page 1 of 4



5. The individuals executing this Amendment and the instruments referenced on behalf of Contractor each represent and warrant that they have the legal power, right and actual authority to bind Contractor to the terms and conditions hereof of this Amendment.

#### CONTRACTOR

CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, a municipal corporation of the State of California

By:

Demetra Farwell, Administrative Services Director All City Management Services, Inc. 10440 Pioneer Blvd, Suite 5 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Greg Wade, City Manager

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

Dan King, Assistant City Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Johanna N. Canlas, City Attorney

ATTEST:

Angela Ivey, City Clerk

## EXHIBIT "A" SCOPE OF SERVICES AND FEE

During the term of this Agreement, eight guards will be stationed near the following school sites:

- Two guards will be positioned on Lomas Santa Fe Drive where it intersects the Interstate 5 southbound ramp;
- One guard where it intersects the Interstate 5 northbound ramp;
- Two guards at the intersection of Santa Helena;
- One guard at the Santa Helena and Santa Victoria intersection; and
- Two guards at the intersection of Stevens Avenue (New if Amendment approved. Costs for this item on the following page).

The locations are indicated in the photo below.

The actual configuration of guard numbers and position locations are subject to change pending review and evaluation of the crossing guard program at these sites to ensure student safety.





TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

# STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 City Manager's Department Consideration of Resolution 2019-043 Delegating Authority to the City Manager to Adopt a Revised Council Policy 21 Regarding Small Wireless Facilities and Approving the Use of a Pole License Agreement

## BACKGROUND:

Last fall, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules that further limit local authority to regulate "small wireless facilities" as that term is defined in 47 C.F.R. § 1.6002(1). The FCC rules, part of which became effective on January 14, 2019, would require the City to review small cell applications faster and consistent with the FCC's national standard for permissible local regulations. These rules are part of a larger rulemaking that aims to reinterpret the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and prohibit actual and effective local moratoria on infrastructure deployments.

Small wireless facilities generally include the smaller scale antennas and associated facilities (as compared to first generation macro facilities) that are part of the next generation of wireless technology, known as 5G. 5G operates at significantly faster speeds than the existing 4th Generation (4G) technology, using higher frequency, directional radio waves over shorter distances. 5G technology calls for smaller, more frequently-placed antennas than 4G, which lend themselves to placement on light-poles and similar existing infrastructure. Wireless carriers have begun 5G installation in a number of major cities areas across the country, including Sacramento and Los Angeles, and are working towards nationwide deployment by the end of 2020.

Under the new rules, the FCC defines the presumptively reasonable time for review as 60 days for small cell attachments to existing structures and 90 days for attachments to new structures. Any administrative appeal must also occur within the applicable review times or "shot clock". If the City fails to issue a timely final decision, the City's failure to act presumptively constitutes an effective prohibition of service and the applicant may file a claim in federal court for an injunction to issue "shovel-ready" permits.

## CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

AGENDA ITEM A.10.

In addition, the FCC provides that a local small cell regulation causes an effective prohibition in violation of federal law unless the regulation is 1) reasonable; 2) no more burdensome than regulations imposed on similar infrastructure deployments; and 3) objective and published in advance. Reasonable regulations are those that are technically feasible and reasonably directed to avoiding or remedying the "intangible public harm" (as it is described by the FCC) of unsightly or out-of-character deployments. Although the FCC declared that minimum spacing or undergrounding requirements could potentially violate the new test, the FCC provided little guidance as to the scope of specific local regulations that would likely be considered to be preempted. The regulations mean that the City may not prohibit placement of small cells within the public right-of-way or on publicly-owned and operated utility poles but can prescribe reasonable standards for their placement and design.

On December 10, 2018, the FCC clarified the effective dates for the rule, stating that the shot clock and fee regulations go into effect on January 14, 2019, and the aesthetic regulations go into effect on April 15, 2019. In order to meet the April 15 deadline regarding aesthetic regulations, and provide the public with notice of the policy change, Staff recommends that the Council delegate authority to the City Manager to adopt a revised Council Policy 21 to conform to FCC rulings regarding Small Wireless Facilities and post the new policy immediately.

This item is before Council to consider Resolution 2019-043 (Attachment 1) delegating authority to the City Manager to adopt ta revised Council Policy 21 to conform to FCC rulings regarding Small Wireless Facilities for the purpose of regulating the permitting, design and location of such facilities citywide and approving the use of a pole license agreement.

# DISCUSSION:

The purpose of the proposed revised policy (Policy) is to establish reasonable and comprehensive standards and procedures for the permitting of small wireless facilities within the City including, but not limited to, the siting of facilities, aesthetics, construction, operation, modification, and removal. The Policy is intended to promote the community interest of protecting the City's visual character from potential adverse impacts from facilities while providing access to high quality advanced wireless technology for the City's residents, businesses, and visitors. The Council should note that, as a policy rather than an Ordinance, the City may amend or revoke the provisions more quickly. This approach is recommended by Staff due to the rapid and sometimes unpredictable changes in the federal law.

#### Key provisions of the Proposed Policy on Small Wireless Facilities

1. Application Requirements

Staff proposes that applicants be required to submit a variety of items including, but not limited to, a project narrative, construction drawings, a site survey, a radio frequency ("RF") report certifying compliance with federal standards, photo simulations, an executed Pole License Agreement (see Attachment 2), and review/permitting fees.

2. Community Development Director Shall Review All Applications

Staff proposes that the Community Development Director, or his/her designee, shall be responsible for reviewing all applications and approving, conditionally approving or denying the application.

3. Noticing

Staff proposes that the Community Development Director shall ensure that notice of the project application is mailed to all properties and record owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site. The notice will contain a description of the project, the applicant's contact information, the Community Development Director's contact information, a statement that the Director will act on the application without a public hearing but that any interested person or entity may appeal the Director's decision directly to the City Manager, and a statement explaining that the FCC requires the City to take final action of small cell applications within 60 days or 90 days depending on the nature of the proposed facility.

4. Decisions and Appeals

Staff proposes that the findings for approval reflect the new objectivity requirement in the FCC rules. Due to the extremely short shot clocks, the decision to approve or deny will be made by the Community Development Director. Interested persons will have the opportunity to appeal the decision to the City Manager. The entire process will be fast-tracked to ensure adequate time for public participation in the appeal process.

5. Location Preferences and Design Standards

The Policy sets forth ranked preferences for locations and support structures within those locations. Residential areas and new freestanding poles or similar structures are the least preferred and require the applicant to demonstrate why no more preferred location within a reasonable distance from the site is technically feasible. Staff has also worked with outside counsel to develop detailed and objective design criteria and standards that preserve local authority and comply with the FCC's restrictions.

6. Automatic Conditions of Approval

The Policy contains a substantial number of "standard conditions" applicable to all deployments. These ensure that the maintenance and operational requirements for all facilities are evenly applied, and also ensure that all facilities meet minimum standards in the event that an approval is mandated by law.

7. Pole License Agreement

In order to utilize the City's vertical infrastructure (e.g., City light poles or traffic poles), staff proposes that small wireless providers will be required to enter into a Pole License Agreement with the City. The Agreement addresses a variety of issues including, but not limited to, fees payable to the City, an acknowledgment that the City may need to change a street width or make other changes requiring the licensee to remove or relocate equipment, indemnification and insurance requirements, etc.

8. Annual License Fee

Pursuant to the proposed Pole License Agreement, licensees are required to pay the City an annual fee of \$270 per site. That fee is set to automatically increase by 3% each year. The City also has the option to adjust the license fee in the future if it determines that the City's actual costs incurred in connection with the license are greater and are reasonable. Licensees would have the right to appeal any adjustment to the City Council.

The proposed Policy is necessary for compliance with new FCC regulations. Although these new regulations are intended to restrict local authority, Staff has worked with outside counsel to develop a flexible approach that preserves as much of the City's authority as possible while still achieving compliance. Staff recommends that the Council adopt Resolution 2019-043 authorizing the City Manager to adopt revisions to Policy 21 and approve the use of a Pole License Agreement.

# CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:

The proposed action is not considered a "project" under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) because the proposal is not an activity that has the potential for a direct physical change or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. Moreover, if the code amendment were to qualify as a "project" subject to CEQA, it would be exempt from further environmental review in accordance with CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because the project involves the adoption of regulations for small cell wireless facilities and does not directly or indirectly authorize or approve any actual physical changes in the environment.

# FISCAL IMPACT:

There is minimal financial impact. Pursuant to Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) action on December 10, 2018, small wireless providers are required to pay an annual license fee of \$270 per site for use of the City's infrastructure.

#### WORK PLAN:

N/A

# **OPTIONS:**

- Approve Resolution 2019-043 authorizing the City Manager to adopt revisions to Council Policy 21 to address FCC rules by developing and posting the policy for the purpose of regulating the permitting, design and location of small wireless facilities, which includes use of a Pole License Agreement
- Do not approve Resolution 2019-043
- Provide alternative direction

## **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends the City Council approve Resolution 2019-043 authorizing the City Manager to adopt revisions to Council Policy 21 for the purpose of regulating the permitting, design and location of small wireless facilities and approving use of a Pole License Agreement.

# **CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

1. Resolution 2019-043

#### **RESOLUTION NO 2019-043**

#### RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA DELEGATING AUTHORITY TO THE CITY MANAGER TO ADOPT A REVISED COUNCIL POLICY 21 REGARDING SMALL WIRELESS FACILITIES AND APPROVING THE USE OF A POLE LICENSE AGREEMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the California Constitution, Article XI, section 7; California Government Code section 37100 and other applicable law, the City of Solana Beach City Council may make and enforce within its limits all local, police, sanitary and other ordinances, resolutions and other regulations not in conflict with general laws; and

**WHEREAS,** the City Council last amended Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC) Section 17.60.120 regarding Wireless Communication Facilities in 2017.

**WHEREAS,** since the adoption of Policy No. 21, significant changes in federal laws that affect local authority over personal wireless service facilities and other related infrastructure deployments have occurred, including, but not limited to, the following:

- On November 18, 2009, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") adopted a Declaratory Ruling on the proceeding titled Petition for Declaratory Ruling to Clarify Provisions of Section 332(c)(7)(B) to Ensure Timely Siting Review, 24 FCC Rcd. 13994 (rel. Nov. 18, 2009), which imposed procedural restrictions on state and local permit application reviews such as presumptively reasonable times for action. After a petition for judicial review, the U.S. Supreme Court in City of Arlington v. FCC, 569 U.S. 290 (2013), upheld the FCC's authority to issue these rules;
- On February 22, 2012, Congress adopted the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act, which amended the Communications Act to mandate approval for certain "eligible facilities requests" to collocate and/or modify existing wireless towers and/or base stations;
- On October 17, 2014, the FCC adopted a Report and Order in the rulemaking proceeding titled Acceleration of Broadband Deployment by Improving Wireless Facilities Siting Policies, 29 FCC Rcd. 12865 (rel. Oct. 21, 2014), which implemented regulations for "eligible facilities requests" and imposed new procedural restrictions on application reviews. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit in Montgomery Cnty. V. FCC, 811 F.3d 121 (4th Cir. 2015), denied petitions for review;
- On October 9, 2015, Governor Edmund Brown signed Assembly Bill No. 57 (Quirk) into law, which creates a "deemed-approved" remedy for when a local government

fails to act on applications for certain wireless facilities within the presumptively reasonable times established in the FCC's 2009 Declaratory Ruling and 2015 Infrastructure Order;

- On August 2, 2018, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") adopted a Third Report & Order and Declaratory Ruling in the rulemaking proceeding titled Accelerating Wireline and Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, 33 FCC Rcd. 7705 (rel. Aug. 3, 2018) (the "August Order"), that formally prohibited express and *de facto* moratoria for all personal wireless services, telecommunications services and their related facilities under 47 U.S.C. § 253(a) and directed the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Wireline Competition Bureau to hear and resolve all complaints on an expedited basis; and
- On September 26, 2018, the FCC adopted a Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order in the same rulemaking proceeding, --- FCC Rcd. ---, FCC 18-133 (rel. Sep. 27, 2018) (the "September Order"), which, among many other things, creates a new regulatory classification for small wireless facilities, alters existing "shot clock" regulations to require local public agencies to do more in less time, establishes a national standard for an effective prohibition that replaces the existing "significant gap" test adopted by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and provides that a failure to act within the applicable timeframe presumptively constitutes an effective prohibition; and

WHEREAS, in addition to the changes described above, local authority may be further impacted by other pending legislative, judicial and regulatory proceedings, including, but not limited to:

- The "STREAMLINE Small Cell Deployment Act" (S. 3157) proposed by Senator John Thune that, among other things, would apply specifically to "small" WCFs and require local governments to review applications based on objective standards, shorten the shot clock timeframes, require all local undertakings to occur within the shot clock timeframes and provide a "deemed granted" remedy for failure to act within the applicable shot clock; and
- Further orders and/or declaratory rulings by the FCC from the same rulemaking proceeding as the August Order and September Order; and
- Multiple petitions for reconsideration and judicial review filed by state and local governments against the August Order and September Order, which could cause the rules in either order to change or be invalidated; and

WHEREAS, given the rapid and substantial changes in applicable law, the active and effective federal prohibition on reasonable moratorium ordinances to allow local public agencies to study these changes and develop appropriate responses and the significant adverse consequences for noncompliance with these changes in applicable law, the City Council finds that aesthetic and operational regulations adopted through a resolution that supplements the Solana Beach Municipal Code and that may be quickly amended is a necessary and appropriate means to protect the public health, safety and welfare from the potential harm caused by unregulated small wireless facilities and other infrastructure deployments; and

WHEREAS, delegating authority to the City Manager to adopt a revised Policy No. 21 regarding small wireless facilities and other infrastructure deployment, construction, installation, collocation, modification, operation, relocation and removal within the City of Solana Beach's territorial boundaries, consistent with and to the extent permitted under federal and California state law and approving the use of a Pole License Agreement will establish reasonable and comprehensive standards and procedures for the permitting of such facilities; and

**WHEREAS,** adoption of such standards as a policy allows the City to respond more quickly to the rapid and sometimes unpredictable changes in the law.

# NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH CITY COUNCIL HEREBY FINDS, DETERMINES AND RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

- Findings. The City Council finds that: (a) the facts set forth in the recitals in this Resolution are true and correct and incorporated by reference; (b) the recitals constitute findings in this matter and, together with the staff report, other written reports, public testimony and other information contained in the record, are an adequate and appropriate evidentiary basis for the actions taken in this Resolution; (c) the provisions in this Resolution and Policy No. 21 are consistent with the General Plan, Solana Beach Municipal Code and applicable federal and state law; and (d) neither this Resolution nor Policy No. 21 will be detrimental to the public interest, health, safety, convenience or welfare.
- Policy No. 21. The City Council approves and authorizes the City Manager to revise Policy No. 21 regarding small wireless facilities and the use of pole license agreement.
- 3. Environmental Review. Pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Guidelines § 15378 and California Public Resources Code § 21065, the City Council finds that this Resolution is not a "project" because its adoption is not an activity that has the potential for a direct physical change or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. Even if this Resolution qualified as a "project" subject to CEQA, the City Council finds that, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15061(b)(3), there is no possibility that this project will have a significant impact on the physical environment. The proposed Amendment merely amends the Solana Beach Municipal Code to authorize the City Council to regulate small wireless facilities and other infrastructure deployments. This Resolution does

not directly or indirectly authorize or approve any actual changes in the physical environment. Applications for any new small wireless facility or other infrastructure deployment, or change to an existing small wireless facility or other infrastructure deployment, would be subject to additional environmental review on a case-bycase basis. Accordingly, the City Council finds that this Resolution is not subject to CEQA or, in the alternative, is exempt from CEQA under the general rule.

- 4. Severability. If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, phrase or term (each a "Provision") in this Resolution or Policy No. 21, or any Provision's application to any person or circumstance, is held illegal, invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, all other Provisions not held illegal, invalid or unconstitutional, or such Provision's application to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected. The City Council declares that it would have passed this Resolution and Policy No. 21, and each Provision therein, whether any one or more Provisions be declared illegal, invalid or unconstitutional.
- 5. Effective Date. This Resolution and Policy No. 21 will become immediately effective upon adoption by the City Manager and will remain effective until amended, superseded or repealed by a separate resolution adopted by the City Council.
- **6. Publication.** The City Clerk shall cause this Resolution and Policy No. 21 to be published in electronic form on the City of Solana Beach's website and in physical form for public inspection at City of Solana Beach City Hall .

**PASSED, AND ADOPTED** this 10th day of April, 2019, at a regularly scheduled meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers – NOES: Councilmembers – ABSTAIN: Councilmembers – ABSENT: Councilmembers –

DAVID A. ZITO, Deputy Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk



#### BACKGROUND:

The Applicants, Jonathan and Suzy Weiser, are requesting City Council approval of a Development Review Permit (DRP) and a Structure Development Permit (SDP) to demolish a single-family residence and detached garage, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with an attached partially subterranean garage and a basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive. The 9,906 square-foot lot is located within the Low-Medium Residential (LMR) Zone and the Scaled Residential Overlay Zone (SROZ).

The project was originally heard at the March 27, 2019 City Council meeting. Concerns were raised by members of the City Council and the public regarding the project's conformance with the development review criteria set forth in Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC) Section 17.68.040.F, specifically with respect to the appearance of bulk and mass of proposed decks and roof overhangs as viewed from Glenmont Drive and the impact of mature landscape on neighbors' scenic views. Concerns were also raised regarding the proposed basement lightwell and the appearance of the entry walkway from Glenmont Drive. After receiving public testimony and evidence concerning the project, the Council voted 4-0-1 (Hegenauer recused) to continue the application, date-certain, to the April 10, 2019 Council meeting to allow the Applicants the opportunity to address the referenced concerns.

The issue before the Council is whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Applicants' revised request as contained in Resolution 2019-031 (Attachment 1).

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

# DISCUSSION:

At the March 27, 2019 Council meeting, the Applicants' representatives indicated that they were willing to address comments and concerns raised during the Public Hearing; therefore, the hearing was continued, to April 10, 2019. The Applicants submitted revised plans to the Community Development Department on April 3, 2019, which are provided in Attachment 2. The March 27, 2019 Staff Report is included in Attachment 3 for reference.

The Applicants have made the following revisions to the proposed project:

- Four-foot, six-inch reduction in the depth of the Main Level Deck. The deck and railing would be located behind the 10-foot "stepback" required for floor area of a second floor above an exposed basement in the SROZ in order to avoid the appearance of a three-story façade.
- Three-foot reduction in the depth of the roof overhang above the Main Level Deck. The western projection of this roofline would be 18 feet east from the west elevation/exposure of the basement-level garage.
- Removal of the stairs from the basement bedroom lightwell. The lightwell would be accessed via a ladder and the width (east-west) of the lightwell would be reduced.
- Update to the top-of-wall and top-of-fence heights (measured above Mean Sea Level (MSL)) on the Preliminary Grading Plan for consistency with the architectural plans. The proposed wooden slat fencing has also been modified to comply with the openness requirements in the front-yard setback.
- Update to the Landscape Plans with regard to plant selection in compliance with the height restriction agreement with the neighbors to the east (Hiraoka).

It should be noted that as of the writing of this Staff Report, the City's third-party landscape consultant has not yet completed a review of the revised landscape plan for consistency with the City's Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance. Any updates to the landscape plan will be provided to the City Council under separate cover. A diagram of the vegetation height restrictions agreed upon between the Applicants and the Hiraokas (neighbors to the east) is included in Attachment 4 for reference.

Conditions from the Planning, Engineering, and Fire Departments have been incorporated into the updated Resolution of Approval (Attachment 1).

In conclusion, the proposed project, as conditioned, could be found to be consistent with the Zoning regulations and the General Plan. Should the Council determine that the findings can be made to approve the project, the SDP will be approved concurrently with the DRP.

## **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines. Class 3 consists of construction and location of limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures. Examples of this exemption include one single-family residence or second dwelling unit in a residential zone. In urbanized areas, up to three-single-family residences may be constructed or converted under this exemption.

#### FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

#### WORK PLAN: N/A

#### OPTIONS:

- Approve Staff recommendation adopting the attached Resolution 2019-031.
- Approve Staff recommendation subject to additional specific conditions necessary for the City Council to make all required findings for the approval of a SDP and DRP.
- Deny the project if all required findings for the DRP cannot be made.

#### **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

The proposed project meets the minimum zoning requirements under the SBMC, may be found to be consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1. Conduct the continued Public Hearing: Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt Resolution 2019-031 conditionally approving a DRP and SDP to demolish a single-family residence, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with a partially subterranean three-car garage and basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive, Solana Beach.

# **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory-Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Updated Resolution 2019-031
- 2. Revised Project Plans Dated April 3, 2019
- 3. Applicant Revisions Description
- 4. March 27, 2019 Staff Report
- 5. Vegetation Height Restrictions

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2019-031**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA, CONDITIONALLY APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PERMIT AND STRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT TO DEMOLISH A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE AND DETACHED GARAGE, CONSTRUCT A REPLACEMENT MULTI-LEVEL SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE WITH A PARTIALLY SUBTERRANEAN GARAGE AND BASEMENT, AND PERFORM ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS AT 346 GLENMONT DRIVE, SOLANA BEACH

#### APPLICANTS: Jonathan and Suzy Weiser CASE NO.: 17-18-06 DRP/SDP

WHEREAS, Jonathan and Suzy Weiser (hereinafter referred to as "Applicants"), have submitted an application for a Development Review Permit (DRP) and Structure Development Permit (SDP) pursuant to Title 17 (Zoning) of the Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC); and

**WHEREAS**, the public hearing was conducted pursuant to the provisions of Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 17.72.030; and

**WHEREAS**, at the public hearing on March 27, 2019, the City Council received and considered evidence concerning the proposed application; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach continued the project to a date certain, April 10, 2019, so that the Applicants could revise the project to address comments made at the March 27, 2019 Council meeting.

**WHEREAS**, at the public hearing on April 10, 2019, the City Council received and considered evidence concerning the proposed application as revised; and

**WHEREAS**, the public hearing was conducted pursuant to the provisions of Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 17.72.030; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach found the application request exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, this decision is based upon the evidence presented at the hearing, and any information the City Council gathered by viewing the site and the area as disclosed at the hearing.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

I. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.

II. That the request for a DRP and an administrative SDP to demolish a single-family

# ATTACHMENT 1

residence and detached garage, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with an attached partially-subterranean garage and a basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive, is conditionally approved based upon the following Findings and subject to the following Conditions:

#### **III. FINDINGS**

- A. In accordance with Section 17.68.040 (Development Review Permit) of the City of Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:
  - I. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan and all applicable requirements of SBMC Title 17 (Zoning Ordinance), including special regulations, overlay zones and specific plans.

<u>General Plan Consistency</u>: The project, as conditioned, is consistent with the City's General Plan designation of Low Density Residential, which allows for a maximum of three dwelling units per acre. The development is also consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability of transitional neighborhoods, and the rehabilitation of deteriorated neighborhoods.

Zoning Ordinance Consistency: The project is consistent with all applicable requirements of the Zoning Ordinance (Title 17) (SBMC 17.20.030 and 17.48.040), which delineates maximum allowable Floor area Ratio (FAR), Permitted Uses and Structures (SBMC Section 17.20.020) which provides for uses of the property for a single-family residence. Further, the project adheres to all property development regulations established for the ER-2 Zone and cited by SBMC Section 17.020.030.

The project is consistent with the provisions for minimum yard dimensions (i.e., setbacks) and the maximum allowable Floor area (FAR), maximum building height, and parking requirements.

- *II.* The proposed development complies with the following development review criteria set forth in Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 17.68.040.F:
  - a. Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses: The development shall be designed in a manner compatible with and where feasible, complimentary to existing and potential development in the immediate vicinity of the project site. Site planning on the perimeter of the development shall give consideration to the protection of surrounding areas from potential adverse effects, as well as protection of the property from adverse surrounding influences.

The property is located within the Low-Medium Residential (LMR) Zone. Properties immediately surrounding the lot are located within the LMR and Low Residential (LR) Zones, and are developed with one and two-story, single-family residences. The project, as designed, is consistent with the permitted uses for the LMR Zone as described in SBMC Sections 17.20.010 and 17.12.020. The proposed development could be found to be consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability transitional of neiahborhoods. and rehabilitation of deteriorated the neighborhoods.

The property is not located within any of the City's Specific Plan or Overlay Zone areas; however, it is located within the Coastal Zone. As a condition of project approval, the Applicants shall obtain a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver or Exemption from the California Coastal Commission prior to the issuance of Building and Grading Permits.

b. Building and Structure Placement: Buildings and structures shall be sited and designed in a manner which visually and functionally enhances their intended use.

The Applicants will construct a replacement, multi-level, singlefamily residence with a partially subterranean garage and a basement living area. The replacement residence, as designed, will be located within the buildable area.

The Applicants are proposing a partially subterranean basement garage under the western portion of the residence. The basement level will consist of a 1,069 square-foot, partially subterranean three-car garage and storage area with a 20-foot exposure on the west side and a 564 square-foot completely subterranean basement living area. According to SBMC 17.48.040.C, the proposed lower level area is considered a "Basement Attached to a Garage" in which the basement living area can be exempt from the calculation of Floor area as long as the garage is permanently separated from the residential living floor area, except for a standard size door, and there are no exposed sides of the residential living area. A building side is considered exposed when the finished floor of the living area directly above the basement (at any point) is more than three feet above the adjacent natural or finished grade, whichever is lower. In the proposed design, the only exposure is for the garage door and the garage is completely separated from the proposed basement living area except for a standard door.

Therefore, the proposed basement storage of 564 square feet is exempt from the calculation of floor area.

The partially subterranean garage and storage area is subject to the 2 percent formula described in SBMC 17.48.040.C because it has one exposed side. This formula is used to determine what portion of the garage is to be included in the calculation of Floor area. SBMC 17.48.040 and 17.20.030 indicate that required parking spaces provided within a garage are exempt from the calculation of Floor area. The 2 percent calculation subtracts the square footage of the required parking from the total proposed square footage of the garage, and then the remaining square footage is multiplied by the linear exposure of the garage and then multiplied by 2 percent. The result is the amount of square footage of the proposed garage to be included in the calculation of floor area.

The proposed residence includes a 1,069 square-foot, partially subterranean garage and storage area. A single-family residence requires two parking spaces of 200 square feet each. Therefore, 400 square feet would be subtracted from the proposed partially subterranean garage square footage because it would provide required parking. The total linear exposure of the proposed garage is 20 feet. The 2 percent calculation for this project would be as follows:

> $1,069 \text{ ft}^2 - 400 \text{ ft}^2 = 669 \text{ ft}^2$  $669 \text{ ft}^2 \times 20 \text{ ft} \times 0.02 = \text{ or } 268 \text{ ft}^2$

268 square feet of the proposed partially subterranean garage and storage area is included in the calculation of floor area. The partially subterranean garage and storage area is eligible for an additional 401 square-foot exemption from floor area for the partially exposed basement garage (1,069 - 400 - 401 = 268).

In addition to the basement regulations, the SROZ also restricts the appearance of a three-story façade on a single-family residence. According to the SBMC, when a lower level/ basement is completely or partially exposed, the floor area of a third level shall not be directly above the floor area of the basement in order to prevent the appearance of a three-level façade. The SROZ requires that the floor area of the third level be set back at least ten feet from the exposed façade of the basement/lower level. The proposed residence, as designed, complies with this regulation as the upper level living area would be setback at least 10 feet from the exposed basement garage door.

The floor area proposed for the residence includes: a 1,069 square-foot partially subterranean three-car garage and storage area; a 564 square-foot basement-level bedroom and bathroom; a 1,137 "Bedroom Level" with two bedrooms, two bathrooms, and a flex room located above the basement in the southwestern side of the buildable area; a 1,544 square-foot "Entry/Main Level" with the main entry, a powder room, an open concept great room (kitchen, living and dining rooms), a pantry, and office, and a laundry room located in the eastern half of the buildable area; a 722 square-foot master suite located in the northeast portion of the buildable area. The subtotal of the proposed residence would be 5,036 square feet of Floor area. The applicable floor area exemptions, related to the basement and partially subterranean garage, total 1,356 square feet. With the applicable exemptions, the Applicants propose a total of 3,671 square feet of Floor area, which is 13 square feet below the maximum allowable Floor area for the 9,906 square-foot lot. pursuant to the SROZ regulations.

The maximum floor area calculation for this project is as follows:

0.50 for first 6,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	3,000 ft <sup>2</sup>
0.175 for 6,001 to 15,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	684 ft <sup>2</sup>
Total Allowable Floor area:	3,684 ft <sup>2</sup>

The proposed project, as designed, meets the minimum required setbacks and is below the maximum allowable floor area for the property.

c. Landscaping: The removal of significant native vegetation shall be minimized. Replacement vegetation and landscaping shall be compatible with the vegetation of the surrounding area. Trees and other large plantings shall not obstruct significant views when installed or at maturity.

The project is subject to the current water efficient landscaping regulations of SBMC Chapter 17.56. Α Landscape Documentation Package is required for new development projects with an aggregate landscape equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building permit, plan check or development review. The Applicants provided a conceptual landscape plan that has been reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect, who has recommended approval. The Applicants will be required to submit detailed construction landscape drawings that will be reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect for conformance with the conceptual plan. In addition, the City's third-party landscape architect will perform inspections during the construction phase of the project. A

separate condition has been added to require that native or drought-tolerant and non-invasive plant materials and waterconserving irrigation systems are required to be incorporated into the landscaping to the extent feasible.

d. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas: Any development involving more than one building or structure shall provide common access roads and pedestrian walkways. Parking and outside storage areas, where permitted, shall be screened from view, to the extent feasible, by existing topography, by the placement of buildings and structures, or by landscaping and plantings.

SBMC Section 17.52.040 and the Off-Street Parking Design Manual (OSPDM) require two (2) parking spaces for a singlefamily residence. The Applicants would replace the existing vehicular access from the rear of the property and construct a partially subterranean, three-car garage accessed by a new driveway and curb cut on Glenmont Drive. SBMC Section 17.08.030 indicates that required parking up to 200 square feet per parking space provided in a garage is exempt from the floor area calculation. The proposed garage will provide three parking spaces. Two spaces are required, therefore, 400 square feet of garage area is exempt from the project's floor area calculation.

e. Grading: To the extent feasible, natural topography and scenic features of the site shall be retained and incorporated into the proposed development. Any grading or earth-moving operations in connection with the proposed development shall be planned and executed so as to blend with the existing terrain both on and adjacent to the site. Existing exposed or disturbed slopes shall be landscaped with native or naturalized non-native vegetation and existing erosion problems shall be corrected.

The project includes grading in the amount of 1,329 cubic yards of cut, 207 cubic yards of fill, 12 cubic yards of excavation for footings, 370 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, and aggregate grading total of 1,918 cubic yards, and 1,122 cubic yards of export. The majority of proposed cut accounts for the excavation of the driveway and basement. The remaining cut and the proposed fill would account for the creation of level finished pads and yard areas. Grading is also proposed to provide for two bio-retention (stormwater detention) areas in the northwest and southwest corners of the front yard.

f. Lighting: Light fixtures for walkways, parking areas, driveways, and other facilities shall be provided in sufficient number and at proper locations to assure safe and convenient nighttime use. All light fixtures shall be appropriately shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding areas per SBMC 17.60.060 (Exterior Lighting Regulations).

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

g. Usable Open Space: Recreational facilities proposed within required usable open space shall be located and designed to maintain essential open space values.

The project consists of the construction of a replacement, multilevel, single-family residence with a basement garage on a developed residential lot; therefore, usable open space and recreational facilities are neither proposed nor required according to SBMC Section 17.20.040. As a condition of approval, the Applicants will be required to pay the City's Park Fee for developing a vacant lot.

III. All required permits and approvals including variances, conditional use permits, comprehensive sign plans, and coastal development permits have been obtained prior to or concurrently with the development review permit.

All required permits, including a Structure Development Permit, are being processed concurrently with the Development Review Permit.

IV. If the development project also requires a permit or approval to be issued by a state or federal agency, the city council may conditionally approve the development review permit upon the Applicants obtaining the required permit or approval from the other agency.

The Applicants are required to obtain approval from the California Coastal Commission prior to issuance of Building Permits.

B. In accordance with Section 17.63.040 (Structure Development Permit) of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:

The proposed structure exceeds 16 feet in height above the pre-existing grade; therefore, the project must comply with all of the View Assessment requirements of SBMC Chapter 17.63 and the Applicants were required to complete the SDP process. The Applicants had story poles erected onsite. A final Story Pole Height Certification was issued by a licensed land surveyor

on August 13, 2018, which showed a maximum building height of 24.96 feet above existing grade and the highest story pole (SP #12) certified at 199.1 MSL. Notices to apply for View Assessment were mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the project site, which established a deadline to file for View Assessment on September 28, 2018. Three applications for View Assessment were received by the City.

The project was scheduled to be heard at the November 20, 2018 View Assessment Commission (VAC) meeting. Prior to the meeting, one of the Claimants (Billmeyer) withdrew their application for View Assessment. The remaining two View Claims were assessed at the November meeting. In their discussions, the VAC noted that they could make all required findings on the Howard Claim, but unanimously agreed that the Applicants had not reasonably designed the project to minimize view impairment from the Hiraoka property. The VAC moved to continue the project to allow the Applicants more time to work with the neighbors.

Following the November VAC meeting, the Applicants formally proposed a revised design that lowered all finished floors by two feet and reduced the plate height of the Main Level by one foot. The story poles were modified to reflect these changes and certified on December 18, 2018 with a maximum roof elevation of 197.1 MSL. After subsequent discussions with the Hiraokas, the Applicants formally proposed to lower the Master Suite by an additional foot or a maximum elevation of 196.1 MSL. It should be noted that the story poles depicting the Master Suite were not lowered to reflect this change, although it is accurately reflected on the project plans. At the Applicants' request, the continued public hearing for the project was scheduled for the January 15, 2018 VAC meeting, however, prior to the meeting, both remaining Claimants (Howard and Hiraoka) withdrew their View Assessment applications. With no outstanding View Assessment claims, the project has satisfied the requirements of the View Assessment Ordinance. Therefore, if the Council is able to make the required findings to approve the DRP, the SDP would be approved administratively.

As a condition of approval, a height certificate prepared by a licensed land surveyor will be required prior to the framing inspection certifying that the maximum height of the proposed addition will not exceed 21.96 feet above the proposed grade or 196.1 feet above the Mean Sea Level (MSL), which is the maximum proposed structure height reflected on the project plans.

#### **IV. CONDITIONS**

Prior to use or development of the property in reliance on this permit, the Applicants shall provide for and adhere to the following conditions:

- A. Community Development Department Conditions:
  - I. The Applicants shall pay required Fire Mitigation, Park Development

and Public Facilities Impact Fees.

- II. Building Permit plans must be in substantial conformance with the architectural plans presented to the City Council on April 10, 2019, and located in the project file with a submittal date of April 3, 2019.
- III. Prior to requesting a framing inspection, the Applicants shall submit a height certification, signed by a licensed land surveyor, certifying that the building envelope is in conformance with the plans as approved by the City Council on April 10, 2019, and will not exceed 21.96 feet in height from the pre-existing grade or 196.1 feet above MSL.
- IV. Any proposed onsite fences, walls and retaining walls and any proposed railing located on top, or any combination thereof, shall comply with applicable regulations of SBMC Section 17.20.040 and 17.60.070 (Fences and Walls).
- V. The Applicants shall obtain required California Coastal Commission (CCC) approval of a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver or Exemption as determined necessary by the CCC, prior to the issuance of a grading or building permit.
- VI. The Applicants shall provide a full Landscape Documentation Package in compliance with SBMC Chapter 17.56 prior to building permit issuance, which will be reviewed and inspected by the City's third party landscape professional.
- VII. Native or drought tolerant and non-invasive plant materials and water conserving irrigation systems shall be incorporated into any proposed landscaping and compatible with the surrounding area to the extent feasible.
- VIII. All new exterior lighting fixtures shall be in conformance with the Citywide lighting regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be appropriately shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.
- IX. Construction vehicles shall be parked on the subject property at all times feasible. If construction activity prohibits parking on the subject property, the Applicants shall ensure construction vehicles are parked in such a way to allow sufficient vehicular access on the street and minimize impact to the surrounding neighbors.
- X. The Applicants shall connect to temporary electrical service as soon as feasible to the satisfaction of the City.

- B. Fire Department Conditions:
  - I. ADDRESS NUMBERS: STREET NUMBERS: Approved numbers and/or addresses shall be placed on all new and existing buildings and at appropriate additional locations as to be plainly visible and legible from the street or roadway fronting the property from either direction of approach. Said numbers shall contrast with their background, and shall meet the following minimum standards as to size: 4" high with a ½" inch stroke width for residential buildings, 8" high with a ½" stroke for commercial and multi-family residential buildings, 12" high with a 1" stroke for industrial buildings. Additional numbers shall be required where deemed necessary by the Fire Marshal, such as rear access doors, building corners, and entrances to commercial centers.
  - II. AUTOMATIC FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM-ONE AND TWO FAMILY DWELLINGS: Structures shall be protected by an automatic fire sprinkler system designed and installed to the satisfaction of the Fire Department. Plans for the automatic fire sprinkler system shall be approved by the Fire Department prior to installation.
  - III. CLASS "A" ROOF: All structures shall be provided with a Class "A" Roof covering to the satisfaction of the Solana Beach Fire Department.
  - IV. BASEMENT:
    - a. All basements shall be designed and equipped with emergency exit systems consisting of operable windows, window wells or exit door that's leads directly outside via staircase and exit door or exit door at grade.
    - b. Window wells/Light wells that intrude into side yard or backyard setbacks of five feet or less, shall require a hinged grating covering the window well/lightwell opening. The grating shall be capable of supporting a weight of 250lb person; yet must be able to be opened by someone of minimal strength with no special knowledge, effort or use of key or tool. Any modification of previously approved plans related to this condition shall be subject to re-submittal and review by City staff (Fire, Building, Planning)
- C. Engineering Department Conditions:

# Grading:

I. Obtain an Encroachment permit in accordance with Chapter 11.20 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, prior to the construction of any improvements within the public right-of way, including, but not limited to demolition and construction of surface improvements. All proposed improvements within the public right-of-way shall comply with city standards including but not limited to the Off-Street Parking Design Manual.

- II. The Applicants shall construct a low profile mountable concrete curb at the existing flow line on Glenmont Drive and 8' width of Stabilized, Compacted, Decomposed Granite (D.G.) from the curb toward the property line. The proposed curb shall transition to the existing improvements on both sides and shall be constructed under a valid encroachment permit to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.
- III. All construction demolition materials shall be recycled according to the City's Construction and Demolition recycling program and an approved waste management plan shall be submitted.
- IV. Construction fencing shall be located on the subject property unless the Applicants has obtained an Encroachment Permit in accordance with chapter 11.20 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code which allows otherwise.
- V. An Encroachment Maintenance Removal Agreement (EMRA) shall be required for private improvements such as steps and drains in the public right-of-way.
- VI. Obtain a Grading Permit in accordance with Chapter 15.40 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code. Conditions prior to the issuance of a grading permit shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
  - a. The Grading Plan shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer and approved by the City Engineer. On-site grading design and construction shall be in accordance with Chapter 15.40 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code.
  - b. A Soils Report shall be prepared by a Registered Soils Engineer and approved by the City Engineer. All necessary measures shall be taken and implemented to assure slope stability, erosion control and soil integrity. The Grading Plan shall incorporate all recommendations contained in the soils report.
  - c. Provide a Drainage Report prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer. This report shall address the design for detention basin and corresponding outflow system to ensure the rate of runoff for the proposed development is at or below that of preexisting condition. Detention basin easement(s) will be required over the proposed basin site. All recommendations of this report shall be incorporated into the Preliminary Grading Plan.

- d. An easement shall be recorded for maintenance of the detention basins by the property owner(s) in perpetuity, prior to the occupancy of this project.
- e. All retaining walls and drainage structures shall be shown. Retaining walls shown on the grading plan shall conform to the San Diego Regional Standards or be designed by a licensed civil engineer. Engineering calculations for all designed walls with a surcharge and nonstandard walls shall be submitted at grading plan check. Retaining walls may not exceed the allowable height within the property line setback as determined by the City of Solana Beach Municipal Code. Contact the Community Development department for further information.
- f. The Applicants are responsible to protect the adjacent properties during construction. If any grading or other types of construction are anticipated beyond the property lines, the Applicants shall obtain a written permission from the adjoining property owners for incidental grading or construction that may occur and submit the letter to the City Engineer prior to the anticipated work.
- g. Pay grading plan check fee in accordance with the current Engineering Fee Schedule at initial grading plan submittal. Inspection fees shall be paid prior to issuance of the grading permit.
- h. Obtain and submit grading security in a form prescribed by the City Engineer.
- i. Obtain haul permit for import / export of soil. The Applicants shall transport all excavated material to a legal disposal site.
- j. Submit certification from the Engineer of Record and the Soils Engineer that all public or private drainage facilities and finished grades are functioning and are installed in accordance with the approved plans. This shall be accomplished by the Engineer of record incorporating as-built conditions on the Mylar grading plans and obtaining signatures of the Engineer of Record and the Soils Engineer certifying the as-built conditions.
- k. An Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan shall be prepared. Best management practices shall be developed and implemented to manage storm water and non-storm water discharges from the site at all times during excavation and grading activities. Erosion prevention shall be emphasized as the most important measure for keeping sediment on site during excavation and grading activities. Sediment controls shall be
used as a supplement to erosion prevention for keeping sediment on site.

- I. Show all proposed on-site private drainage facilities intended to discharge water run-off. Elements of this design shall include a hydrologic and hydraulic analysis verifying the adequacy of the facilities and identify any easements or structures required to properly convey the drainage. The construction of drainage structures shall comply with the standards set forth by the San Diego Regional Standard Drawings.
- m. Post Construction Best Management Practices meeting City and RWQCB Order No. R9-2013-001 requirements shall be implemented in the drainage design.
- n. No increased cross lot drainage shall be allowed.

### V. ENFORCEMENT

Pursuant to SBMC 17.72.120(B) failure to satisfy any and all of the abovementioned conditions of approval is subject to the imposition of penalties as set forth in SBMC Chapters 1.1.6 and 1.18 in addition to any applicable revocation proceedings.

### VI. EXPIRATION

The Development Review Permit and Structure Development Permit for the project will expire 24 months from the date of this Resolution, unless the Applicants have obtained building permits and has commenced construction prior to that date, and diligently pursued construction to completion. An extension of the application may be granted by the City Council according to SBMC 17.72.110.

### VII. INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

The Applicants shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify the Applicants of any claim, action, or proceeding. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, the Applicants shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Applicants regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation

related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Applicants shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by the Applicants.

NOTICE TO APPLICANTS: Pursuant to Government Code Section 66020, you are hereby notified that the 90-day period to protest the imposition of the fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions described in this resolution commences on the effective date of this resolution. To protest the imposition of any fee, dedications, reservations or other exactions described in this resolution you must comply with the provisions of Government Code Section 66020. Generally the resolution is effective upon expiration of the tenth day following the date of adoption of this resolution, unless the resolution is appealed or called for review as provided in the Solana Beach Zoning Ordinance.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, held on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of April 10, 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers -

NOES: Councilmembers –

ABSENT: Councilmembers -

ABSTAIN: Councilmembers -

DAVID A. ZITO, MAYOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

# **Weiser Residence**

346 Glenmont Drive, Solana Beach, CA 92075 **DRP / SDP Review** 

### **GENERAL NOTES**

A relieve lists below as applicable under Schwinkes Index white the construction document of appendications. Duranys is the approximation of paceford interest that and only by sever manys is the approximation of the schwinker and the schwinker the construction and under interesting and the schwinker and the enations prevail noises are to subside edge of wir presibility of the contractor f stude, masonry or centerlines c search easies and the sec

SYMBOLS

1

A-201 3

(++01)

Name O

٠

6

2

(B) A

 $\mathbb{A}$ 

-

Name 12 xx 8F

۲

0

240

er:== cer==

DETAIL MARKER

DOOR TAG

WINDOW TAO

SKYLIGHT TAG

LIGHTING FORTURE TAG

REVISION (DELTA)

HORE BIS

ROOM TAG

MACKE ALARM

CARBON MONOXIDE

EXHAUST FAN / CONTINUOUS EXHAUST FAN W/ DUCT RUN

SHEAR WALL . . . LENGTH

A101

- mine the location of utility services in the area prior to or shell assume responsibility for the protection of sol in the area of the work whether indicated on the draw of . At URINes to be undergrownd por utility company i
- where the intent of the drawings is in cloubl where there is
- for any part of the work, the
- while shell be easid for and obta-
- This is the centr

- I reasir or replace any terms d



### DEFERRED SUBMITTALS

### **REQUIRED CERTIFICATIONS**

The following partitications to be provided under the direction of the second of

MP) per SBMC a 34

PROJECT SC Demolition of (e) single fami	ly home and detect	hed garage.	Construction of new single-family		SHEET INDEX
home with attached garage : Public improvements at stre	at basement. Asso	ociated lands	cape and hardscape improvements.	Jonathan and Suzy Weiser 5810 Meadows Del Mer,	GENERAL
PROJECT DA		-		Sen Diego, CA 92130 (658) 735-9829	G001 General Project Info
Project Number	DRP/SDP 17-	18-06		suzyweisen@gmail.com	SURVEY 1 sheet Survey of Existing C
Address			Breach CA 92075	ARCHITECT JLC Architecture	
Address 346 Glemmont Drive, Solari APN 283-392-02-00		a board, or alloyo	contact: Tyler Van Stright 337 S Cedros Avenue, Suite J	CIVIL 1 sheet Preliminary Grading	
Lot Size	9,906 SF			Solana Beach, CA 92075 (858) 438-7777 x2#	LANDSCAPE
Base Zone	LMRd			tylen@jicarchitecture.com	4 sheets Landscape Plans
Overlay Zones	max 3,683.6 S	E (and colord	fallow holesed	SURVEY Pasco Laret Sutter and Associates	ARCHITECTURE
Proposed SF	see table and	calculations I	below	constact: Paul Goabai	A001 Axonometrics
Use	Single-Famuly	Home		535 N Highway 101 Ste A Solene Beech, CA 92075 (858) 259-8212	A002 Existing Site Plan
Occupancy R3 Construction Type V-8				pgoebel@pissengineering.com	A003 Existing Overlay El A004 New Site Plan
Parking Spaces	2 speces requ	red / 2 space	es provided	SOILS ENGINEER	A005 Ares Plans
# of Stories	2 (basement e	wcluded)		CW LaMonte Company, Inc. contact: Jerry Redolfi	A006 Building Footprint D
Highest Point Tailest Point	196.1* MSL (st 21.95 (story a	tory pole #12	at Matr Bdm, see elevation 1/A202) elr Bdm, see elevation 2/A201)	4400 Palm Avenue, Suite C	A007 Story Pole Plan A101 Floor Plans
		CHP WYO AL MA	au blain, and destablin 27-201)	La Mesa, CA 91941 (619) 462-9861	A102 Floor Plans
Front Setback Rear Setback	25° 25° for primary	sinactors 5	for accessory structures	redof@flash.net	A103 Floor Plans
Side Setback	5'	••••••••		CIVIL ENGINEER	A104 Floor Plans A105 Floor Plans
Fire Sprinklers	none existing,	new system	neoured	Pasco Laret Suiter and Associates contact: Brian Ardolino	A201 Elevations
Year Bullt (Original)	1950			535 N Highway 101 Ste A	A202 Elevations
Tear built (Original)				Solana Beach, CA 92075 (856) 259-6212	A203 Elevations A204 Elevations
		IG AREA - E	CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF THE DATE OF THE OWNER	bardoino@pissengineering.com	A301 Sections
Area Name 0.1 - Existing House	1534 S		Comments	LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT	A302 Sections
0.2 - Existing Garage	408 \$			John Hanna and Associates contact: John Hanna	A303 Sections A304 Sections
Grand total	1942 8	SF		1753 Swallowtail Road	A305 Sections
And the second s	<b>Bini</b>	ING AREA	-)HEW)	Encinitas, CA 92024 (858) 259-1967	A305 Sectiona A307 Sections
Area Nam		Area	Comments	franna@iha-inc.com	A308 Sections
1 - Basement Level - Garag			% formula applies - see calc on area plan	STRUCTUP ENGINEER Lovelar 10 10 6934. 11 10 Court Ste 100 Sen 0 DF 42121 (856 SUD 111	
1 - Basement Level - Resid 2 - Sedroom Level	ential Living Area	564 SF e 1137 SF	exempt from FAR per 17.48.040 (C) (4) (a) (iii)	Lovelar 10 100 cont 100 100 S935th Court Sie 100 Sen 0 00 100 Sen 0 00 100 Sen 0 00 Sen 0	
3/4 - Entry/Main Level		1543 SF		Sen C VIC 92121	
5 - Master Level		722 SF		(856 GUV 111	
Subtotal		5035 SF			
Examplions Garage exception w 2% of Basement living area	alculation	-601 SF	Max FAR Calculation	EMERGY/T 4 Gallant F C realting Mark 111 11 3 is 201 Eacon 101 2025	
Gross Floor Area		3.670 SF	5.000 x 0.5 3,000 SF	Mark 111 112 Sie 201 Escon 2025 (780, 111 - 38 markg - 14-28 markg - 14-24.com	
Groce Prode Artes		4,010 df	3,905 x 0.175 583.6 SF	(760 CUP-28	
			Max Floor Area 3,683.6 SF		
ADC	TIONAL EXTERN	OR AREAS	(not included in FAR)	GENERAL CONTRACTOR	
Aree Name	An		Comments	STORY POLES	
8dm Front Deck	680 SI		3 sides, partial cover	C Story Pole, Inc.	
Bdrm Side Deck Main Front Deck	Not Pt 501 St		3 sides, no cover 3 sides, partial cover	contact: Chris Collins (760) 224-7653	
Master Deck	90 SF		3 sides, partial cover	chris@cstorypoles.com	
PROPERTY	AREA TY	PE B	REAKDOWN		
		EXISTING			1
Non-landscaped Area (t Non-impated Landscape	idg/hardscape)	3,663 SF 0 SF	5,684 SF 0 SF		
Imgated Landscape	(open spece)	5,581 SF	2,952 SF		
Water Features Decorative Hardscape (	newwww.enck.etcl	0 SF 662 SF	51 SF 1,019 SF	1	
Total Lot Area	particula rock, alley	9,906 SF	9,806 SF		
	-		EA OF WORK	CONTRACTOR FI	ELD VERIFICATIO
Irrigated Landscape		2.9	52 SF	Balana scartian of structure and/or ordering of	any building remains the General Contract
Water Features Decorative Hardscape (	pervious rock, etc)	51 1,0	components including, without being limited to	alone and connections of existing/proposed	
Aggregate Landscape		4,0	19 SF 22 SF Area >500 of Landecape documentation package is required. See	<ul> <li>building selbacks.</li> </ul>	
			proc.Lage is required. See	<ul> <li>axising/proposed grade</li> <li>maximum allowable height at walls and ridges</li> </ul>	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL

'Any grade

**GRADING / STORMWATER** 

Site Grading (outside of structure) Cut: 561 CY / Fil: 45 CY Site Grading (below structure) Cut: 768 CY / Fil: 162 CY Excavation for footings: 12 CY Removel and Recommendation (under structure): 370 CY

Excaveson for rooungs: 12 CY Removal and Recompaction (under structure): 370 CY Total Grading (cut and fill outside and betow structure): 1,536 CY Total Export: 1,122 CY

## General Project Information vey of Existing Condition Preliminary Grading Plan CAPE Landscape Plans ECTURE Avor Existing Site Pla Existing Overlay El New Site Plan Ares Plans Building Footprint Diegram Story Pole Plan Floor Plans Floor Plans Floor Plans Floor Plans Floor Plans Elevations Elevationa Elevations Sections Sections Sections Sections Sections Sections Sections **/ERIFICATION** mponents, the General Cont DEMOLITION All construction demolition materials shall be recycled according to the City's Construction are Demolition recycling program and an approval



G001

General Project

cture

ILC Archite

Ledito Averue. Co. CA 32075 b (ex.lucre. Luni: bite: 2271

# ATTACHMENT N





LANDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS FOR

## THE WEISER RESIDENCE

346 GLENMONT DRIVE SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075



### LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

JOHN HANNA & ASSOCIATES 1753 SWALLOWTAIL ROAD ENCINITAS, CA 92024 (858) 259-1967 CONTACT: JOHN HANNA

 $\oplus$ 

SHEET INDEX	
TITLE SHEET	L- 0
CONCEPTUAL HARDSCAPE PLAN	L- 1
CONCEPTUAL PLANTING PLAN	L- 2
WATER CONSERVATION PLAN	L- 3

### COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

I am familiar with the requirements for landscape and intgation plans contained in the City's Water Efficient Landscape Regulations. I have prepared this plan in compliance with those regulations and the Landscape Design Manual. I certify that the plan implements these deglapions to provide afficient use of water.



WEISER RESIDENCE 346 glennkont drufe solana beach, ca 5205

<u></u> ИЙ

JOHN HANNA + ASSOCIATES LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

1753 Sectortal Rd Encinitas, California 92024 809/207-1957 - FAX 808/365-

REVISIONS

DATE 4-3-305 DRAWN BY JELA SHEET TITLE TITLE SHEET JOB NO 1016

> L-0 OF 4 SHEETS

SHEET NO.

























































## STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

TO:	Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers
FROM:	Gregory Wade, City Manager
MEETING DATE:	March 27, 2019
ORIGINATING DEPT:	Community Development Department
SUBJECT:	Public Hearing: Request for DRP and SDP to Construct a
	Replacement, Multi-Level, Single-Family Residence with an Attached Partially Subterranean Garage and a Basement and Perform Associated Site Improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive (Case # 17-18-06 Applicant: Jonathan and Suzy Weiser; APN: 263-392-02; Resolution No. 2019- 031)

### BACKGROUND:

The Applicants, Jonathan and Suzy Weiser, are requesting City Council approval of a Development Review Permit (DRP) and a Structure Development Permit (SDP) to demolish a single-family residence and detached garage, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with an attached partially subterranean garage and a basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive. The 9,906 square-foot lot is located within the Low-Medium Residential (LMR) Zone and the Scaled Residential Overlay Zone (SROZ).

The project proposes grading in the amounts of 1,329 cubic yards of cut, 207 cubic yards of fill, 12 cubic yards of excavation for footings, 370 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, and aggregate grading total of 1,918 cubic yards, and 1,122 cubic yards of export. The maximum building height would be 21.96 feet above the pre-existing grade with the highest story pole at 196.1 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL). The project requires a DRP for 1) an aggregate grading quantity in excess of 100 cubic yards, 2) a structure that exceeds 60 percent of the maximum allowable floor area, and 3) a second floor that exceeds 35 percent of the floor area of the first floor. The project requires a SDP because the proposed development exceeds 16 feet in height above existing grade.

The issue before the Council is whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Applicant's request as contained in Resolution 2019-031 (Attachment 1).

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

AGENDA ITEM B.1.

### **DISCUSSION:**

The rectangular shaped lot fronts on the east side of the 300 Block of Glenmont Drive and is currently developed with a single-story, single-family residence and a detached garage and carport. The topography slopes up from Glenmont Drive to the northeast or toward the rear property line with an approximate 20-foot elevation change. The existing detached garage and carport are located in the rear-yard setback and accessed from East Cliff Street by a partially paved 12-foot wide strip of land.

The Applicants propose to demolish all existing structures on site, including the residence, garage, carport, and retaining walls (except for shared fences along the north and south property lines). The proposal includes construction of a 3,671 square-foot multi-level, single-family residence with a partially subterranean three-car garage attached to a basement. The project would also include associated site improvements including, grading, fencing, hardscape, landscape, and construction of a spa and outdoor barbeque counter in the rear-yard setback. The project plans are provided in Attachment 2.

Table 1								
LOT INFORMATION								
	46 Glenmont Dr.			LMR (4 du/ac)				
Lot Size (Net):	9,906 ft <sup>2</sup>			<b>.</b> .				
Max. Allowable Floor area:	3,684 ft <sup>2</sup>			•				
Proposed Floor area:	3,671 ft <sup>2</sup>		Required	Proposed				
Below Max. Floor area by:	13 ft <sup>2</sup>	2011		25.5 ft.				
Max. Allowable Height:	25 ft. 21.96 ft.	Interior Side (N)	5 ft.	5.2 ft.				
Max. Proposed Height: Highest Point/Ridge:	21.96 ft. 196.1 MSL			10.0 ft.				
		Rear (E)	25 ft.	25.1 ft.				
P	ROPOSED PRO.	JECT INFORMATION	l					
Floor area Breakdown:		Required Permits:						
Basement Level Garage/Stora Basement Level Living Area Bedroom Level Entry/Main Level Master Level	tge 1,069 ft <sup>2</sup> 564 ft <sup>2</sup> 1,137 ft <sup>2</sup> 1,544 ft <sup>2</sup> 722 ft <sup>2</sup>	<b>DRP:</b> A DRP is required for: 1) grading in excess of 100 cubic yards (aggregate); 2) a structure that exceeds 60% of the maximum allowable floor area; and 3) a second floor that exceeds 35% of the floor area of the first floor						
Subtotal Basement Exemption Off-Street Parking Exemption Garage Basement	5,036 ft <sup>2</sup> - 564 ft <sup>2</sup> - 400 ft <sup>2</sup> - 401 ft <sup>2</sup>	<b>SDP:</b> A SDP is required for a new structure that exceeds 16 feet in height from the existing grade.						
Total Floor area:	3,671 ft <sup>2</sup>							
Proposed Grading: Cut: 1,329 yd <sup>3</sup> Fill: 207 yd <sup>3</sup> Excavation for Footings: 12 yd <sup>3</sup> Removal and Recompaction: 370 yd <sup>3</sup> Export: 1,122 yd <sup>3</sup>								
Proposed Parking: Basement & Proposed Fences and Walls: ) Proposed Accessory Dwelling Proposed Accessory Structure	3-Car Garage /es   <b>Unit:</b> No	Existing Development: Single-Family Residence with a detached garage and carport.						

Table 1 (below) provides a comparison of the SBMC applicable zoning regulations with the Applicant's proposed design.

Staff has prepared draft findings for approval of the project in the attached Resolution 2019-031 for Council's consideration based upon the information in this report. The applicable SBMC sections are provided in italicized text and conditions from the Community Development, Engineering, and Fire Departments are incorporated in the Resolution of Approval. The Council may direct Staff to modify the Resolution to reflect the findings and conditions it deems appropriate as a result of the public hearing process. If the Council determines the project is to be denied, Staff will prepare a Resolution of Denial for adoption at a subsequent Council meeting.

The following is a discussion of the findings for a DRP and SDP as each applies to the proposed project as well as references to recommended conditions of approval contained in Resolution 2019-031.

Development Review Permit Compliance (SBMC Section 17.68.40):

A DRP is required for the following reasons: 1) grading in excess of 100 cubic yards (aggregate); 2) a structure that exceeds 60% of the maximum allowable floor area; and 3) a second floor that exceeds 35% of the floor area of the first floor.

In addition to meeting zoning requirements, the project must also be found in compliance with development review criteria. The following is a list of the development review criteria topics:

- 1. Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses
- 2. Building and Structure Placement
- 3. Landscaping
- 4. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking, and Storage Areas
- 5. Grading
- 6. Lighting
- 7. Usable Open Space

The Council may approve, or conditionally approve, a DRP only if all of the findings listed below can be made. Resolution 2019-031 provides the full discussion of the findings.

- 1. The proposed development is consistent with the general plan and all applicable requirements of the zoning ordinance including special regulations, overlay zones, and specific plans.
- 2. The proposed development complies with the development review criteria.
- 3. All required permits and approvals issued by the city, including variances, conditional use permits, comprehensive sign plans, and coastal development permits have been obtained prior to or concurrently with the development review permit.
- 4. If the development project also requires a permit or approval to be issued by a state or federal agency, the city council may conditionally
approve the development review permit upon the Applicant obtaining the required permit or approval from the other agency.

If the above findings cannot be made, the Council shall deny the DRP. The following is a discussion of the applicable development review criteria as they relate to the proposed project.

Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses:

The property is located within the LMR Zone. Properties immediately to the north, south, and west are also located within the LMR Zone, while properties to the east are located within the Low Residential (LR) Zone. All surrounding properties are developed with one and two-story, single-family residences.

The project, as designed, is consistent with the permitted uses for the LMR Zone as described in SBMC Sections 17.20.010 and 17.12.020. The property is designated Low-Medium Density Residential in the General Plan and intended for single-family residences developed at a maximum density of four dwelling units per acre. The proposed development could be found to be consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability of transitional neighborhoods, and the rehabilitation of deteriorated neighborhoods.

The property is not located within any of the City's Specific Plan areas; however, it is located within the boundaries of the Scaled Residential Overlay Zone (SROZ) and within the Coastal Zone. The project has been evaluated, and could be found to be in conformance with, the regulations of the SROZ, which are discussed further later in this report. As a condition of project approval, the Applicants would be required to obtain a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver or Exemption from the California Coastal Commission prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

Building and Structure Placement:

The Applicants are proposing to construct a replacement, multi-level, single-family residence with a partially subterranean garage and a basement living area. The replacement residence, as designed, would be located within the buildable area.

The Applicants are proposing a partially subterranean basement garage under the western portion of the residence. The basement level would consist of a 1,069 square-foot, partially subterranean three-car garage and storage area with a 20-foot exposure on the west side and a 564 square-foot completely subterranean basement living area. According to SBMC 17.48.040.C, the proposed lower level area is considered a "Basement Attached to a Garage" in which the basement living area can be exempt from the calculation of Floor area as long as the garage is permanently separated from the residential living floor area, except for a standard size door, and there are no exposed sides of the residential living area. A building side is considered exposed when the finished floor of the living area directly above the basement (at any point) is more than

three feet above the adjacent natural or finished grade, whichever is lower. In the proposed design, the only exposure is for the garage door and the garage is completely separated from the proposed basement living area except for a standard door. Therefore, the proposed basement living area of 564 square feet would be exempt from the calculation of floor area.

The partially subterranean garage and storage area would be subject to the 2 percent formula described in SBMC 17.48.040.C because it has one exposed side. This formula is used to determine what portion of the garage is to be included in the calculation of Floor area. SBMC 17.48.040 and 17.20.030 indicate that required parking spaces provided within a garage are exempt from the calculation of floor area. The 2 percent calculation subtracts the square footage of the required parking from the total proposed square footage of the garage, and then the remaining square footage is multiplied by the linear exposure of the garage and then multiplied by 2 percent. The result is the amount of square footage of the proposed garage to be included in the calculation of floor area.

The proposed residence includes a 1,069 square-foot, partially subterranean garage and storage area. A single-family residence requires two parking spaces of 200 square feet each. Therefore, 400 square feet would be subtracted from the proposed partially subterranean garage square footage because it would provide required parking. The total linear exposure of the proposed garage is 20 feet. The 2 percent calculation for this project would be as follows:

 $1,069 \text{ ft}^2 - 400 \text{ ft}^2 = 669 \text{ ft}^2$  $669 \text{ ft}^2 \times 20 \text{ ft} \times 0.02 = \text{ or } 268 \text{ ft}^2$ 

268 square feet of the proposed partially subterranean garage and storage area would be included in the calculation of Floor area. The partially subterranean garage and storage area is eligible for an additional 401 square-foot exemption from floor area for the partially exposed basement garage (1,069 - 400 - 401 = 268).

In addition to the basement regulations, the SROZ also restricts the appearance of a three-story façade on a single-family residence. According to the SBMC, when a lower level/basement is completely or partially exposed, the floor area of a third level shall not be directly above the floor area of the basement in order to prevent the appearance of a three-level façade. The SROZ requires that the floor area of the third level be set back at least ten feet from the exposed façade of the basement/lower level. The proposed residence, as designed, complies with this regulation as the upper level living area would be setback at least 10 feet from the exposed basement garage door.

The floor area proposed for the residence includes: a 1,069 square-foot partially subterranean three-car garage and storage area; a 564 square-foot basement-level bedroom and bathroom; a 1,137 "Bedroom Level" with two bedrooms, two bathrooms, and a flex room located above the basement in the southwestern side of the buildable area; a 1,544 square-foot "Entry/Main Level" with the main entry, a powder room, an open concept great room (kitchen, living and dining rooms), a pantry, and office, and a laundry room located in the eastern half of the buildable area; a 722 square-foot master suite

located in the northeast portion of the buildable area. The subtotal of the proposed residence would be 5,036 square feet of Floor area. The applicable floor area exemptions, related to the basement and partially subterranean garage previously explained in this report, total 1,356 square feet. With the applicable exemptions, the Applicants propose a total of 3,671 square feet of Floor area, which is 13 square feet below the maximum allowable Floor area for the 9,906 square-foot lot, pursuant to the SROZ regulations.

The maximum floor area calculation for this project is as follows:

0.50 for first 6,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	3,000 ft <sup>2</sup>
0.175 for 6,001 to 15,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	684 ft <sup>2</sup>
Total Allowable Floor area:	3,684 ft <sup>2</sup>

The proposed project, as designed, meets the minimum required setbacks and is below the maximum allowable floor area for the property.

Neighborhood Comparison:

Staff compared the proposed project to 34 other properties within the surrounding area. This area includes properties along Glenmont Drive, North Granados Avenue, Hilmen Place, East Cliff Street, and Marview Lane, as shown on the following map:



The properties evaluated in this comparison are located in the LMR and LR Zones. The existing homes range in size from 888 square feet to 4,251 square feet, according to the County Assessor records. It should be noted that the County Assessor does not include the garage, covered porch area, unfinished basement, or accessory building area in the total square footage. Accordingly, the building area of the proposed project has been calculated for comparison purposes by deleting the area of the proposed garages, the covered porch, and ceiling height over 15 feet as follows:

Project Gross Building Area:	5,036 ft <sup>2</sup>
Delete Partially Subterranean Garage/Storage:	- 1,069 ft <sup>2</sup>
Project Area for Comparison to Assessor's Data:	3,967 ft <sup>2</sup>

Table 2 is based upon the County Assessor's data and SanGIS data. It contains neighboring lot sizes, the square footage of existing development and the maximum allowable square footage for potential development on each lot.

Tal	ole 2	et de la free de la free				
#	Property Address	Lot Size in ft <sup>2</sup> (SanGis)	Existing ft <sup>2</sup> (Assessor)	Proposed / Recently Approved ft <sup>2</sup>	Max. Allowable ft <sup>2</sup>	Zone
1	410 Glenmont DR	7,500	980		3,263	LMR
2	404 Glenmont DR	8,200	1,544	•	3,385	LMR
3	354 Glenmont DR	10,900	3,614		3,858	LMR
4	346 Glenmont DR	9,906	1,497	3,967	3,684	LMR
5	338 Glenmont DR	10,100	3,552		3,718	LMR
6	330 Glenmont DR	9,500	1,423		3,613	LMR
7	316 Glenmont DR	11,100	2,786		3,893	LMR
8	308 Glenmont DR	13,600	1,249		4,330	LMR
9	411 Glenmont DR	8,400	1,444		3,420	LMR
10	405 Glenmont DR	8,300	1,697		3,403	LMR
11	353 Glenmont DR	8,300	3,927		3,403	LMR
12	347 Glenmont DR	8,400	1,634		3,420	LMR
13	339 Glenmont DR	8,400	2,786		3,420	LMR
14	333 Glenmont DR	8,400	3,044		3,420	LMR
15	327 Glenmont DR	8,400	2,600		3,420	LMR
16	319 Glenmont DR	8,400	2,307		3,420	LMR
17	311 Glenmont DR	8,400	1,708		3,420	LMR
18	320 El Viento St	12,100	2,899		4,068	LMR
19	410 N Granados Ave	8,400	1,289		3,420	LMR
20	404 N Granados Ave	8,300	3,057		3,403	LMR
21	236 N Granados Ave	15,600	1,148		4,635	LMR
22	238 N Granados Ave	8,000	1,500		3,350	LMR
23	302 N Granados Ave	8,700	1,816		3,473	LMR
24	308 N Granados Ave	8,400	1,640		3,420	LMR
25	316 N Granados Ave	8,400	888		3,420	LMR
26	322 N Granados Ave	8,400	1,880		3,420	LMR
27	360 N Granados Ave	8,313		4,251	3,405	LMR
28	336 N Granados Ave	8,400	3,302		3,420	LMR
29	412 E Cliff St	17,800	4,173		5,065	LR

30	425 E Cliff St	10,400	2,445	3,770	LR
31	411 E Cliff St	12,000	2,768	4,050	LR
32	407 Hilmen Pl	9,076	2,949	3,538	LR
33	408 Hilmen Pl	8,900	1,606	3,508	LR
34	402 Hilmen Pl	11,900	4,112	4,033	LR
35	401 Hilmen Pl	14,900	2,125	4,558	LR

Fences, Walls and Retaining Walls:

Within the front yard setback area, the SBMC Section 17.20.040(O) allows fences and walls, or any combination thereof, to be no higher than 42 inches in height as measured from existing grade, except for an additional two feet of fence that is at least 80% open to light. Fences, walls and retaining walls located within the rear and interior side yards are allowed to be up to six feet in height with an additional 24 inches that is 50% open to light and air. However, the SBMC also permits fences or walls to be 5 feet high in the front-yard setback to comply with pool fencing requirements.

Various low retaining walls (less than three feet measured from proposed finished grade) are proposed along the south and north property lines. Other site walls would follow pathways along the north and south sides of the residence. Retaining walls (ranging from 1 to 7.5 feet measured from the proposed finished grade) are proposed to support the descending driveway access from the Glenmont Drive right-of-way to the proposed basement-level garage. Portions of the retaining walls would also include slatted wood fencing for privacy and safety purposes. Existing fencing along the north and south property lines would remain. A new 6-foot high wooden fence would be constructed along the rear property line, with a rolling gate at the north end. The fence would extend from the rear property line west to the residence.

Currently, the plans show fences and walls that comply with the requirements of SBMC 17.20.040(O) and 17.60.070(C). If the Applicant decides to modify any of the proposed fences and walls or construct additional fences and walls on the project site, a condition of project approval indicates that they would be required to be in compliance with the Municipal Code.

# Landscape:

The project is subject to the current water efficient landscaping regulations of SBMC Chapter 17.56. A Landscape Documentation Package is required for new development projects with an aggregate landscape equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building permit, plan check or development review. The Applicant provided a conceptual landscape plan that has been reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect, who has recommended approval. The Applicant will be required to submit detailed construction landscape drawings that will be reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect for conformance with the conceptual plan. In addition, the City's third-party landscape architect will perform inspections during the construction phase of the project. A separate condition has been added to require that native or drought-tolerant and non-

invasive plant materials and water-conserving irrigation systems are required to be incorporated into the landscaping to the extent feasible.

# Parking:

SBMC Section 17.52.040 and the Off-Street Parking Design Manual (OSPDM) require two (2) parking spaces for a single-family residence. The Applicants would replace the existing vehicular access from the rear of the property and construct a partially subterranean, three-car garage accessed by a new driveway and curb cut on Glenmont Drive. SBMC Section 17.08.030 indicates that required parking up to 200 square feet per parking space provided in a garage is exempt from the floor area calculation. The proposed garage will provide three parking spaces. Two spaces are required, therefore, 400 square feet of garage area is exempt from the project's floor area calculation.

# Grading:

The project includes grading in the amount of 1,329 cubic yards of cut, 207 cubic yards of fill, 12 cubic yards of excavation for footings, 370 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, and aggregate grading total of 1,918 cubic yards, and 1,122 cubic yards of export. The majority of proposed cut accounts for the excavation of the driveway and basement. The remaining cut and the proposed fill would account for the creation of level finished pads and yard areas. Grading is also proposed to provide for two bio-retention (stormwater detention) areas in the northwest and southwest corners of the front yard.

# Lighting:

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

# Usable Open Space:

The project consists of the construction of a replacement, multi-level, single-family residence with a basement garage on a developed residential lot; therefore, usable open space and recreational facilities are neither proposed nor required according to SBMC Section 17.20.040. As a condition of approval, the Applicant will be required to pay the City's Park Fee for developing a vacant lot.

# Structure Development Permit Compliance:

The proposed structure exceeds 16 feet in height above the pre-existing grade; therefore, the project must comply with all of the View Assessment requirements of SBMC Chapter 17.63 and the Applicant was required to complete the SDP process. The Applicant had story poles erected onsite. A final Story Pole Height Certification was issued by a licensed land surveyor on August 13, 2018, which showed a maximum building height of 24.96 feet above existing grade and the highest story pole (SP #12) certified at 199.1 MSL.

Notices to apply for View Assessment were mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the project site, which established a deadline to file for View Assessment on September 28, 2018. Three applications for View Assessment were received by the City.

The project was scheduled to be heard at the November 20, 2018 View Assessment Commission (VAC) meeting. Prior to the meeting, one of the Claimants (Billmeyer) withdrew their application for View Assessment. The remaining two View Claims were assessed at the November meeting. In their discussions, the VAC noted that they could make all required findings on the Howard Claim, but unanimously agreed that the Applicants had not reasonably designed the project to minimize view impairment from the Hiroaka property. The VAC moved to continue the project to allow the Applicants more time to work with the neighbors.

Following the November VAC meeting, the Applicants formally proposed a revised design that lowered all finished floors by two feet and reduced the plate height of the Main Level by one foot. The story poles were modified to reflect these changes and certified on December 18, 2018 with a maximum roof elevation of 197.1 MSL. After subsequent discussions with the Hioakas, the Applicants formally proposed to lower the Master Suite by an additional foot or a maximum elevation of 196.1 MSL. It should be noted that the story poles depicting the Master Suite were not lowered to reflect this change, although it is accurately reflected on the project plans. At the Applicants' request, the continued public hearing for the project was scheduled for the January 15, 2018 VAC meeting, however, prior to the meeting, both remaining Claimants (Howard and Hiroaka) withdrew their View Assessment applications. With no outstanding View Assessment claims, the project has satisfied the requirements of the View Assessment Ordinance. Therefore, if the Council is able to make the required findings to approve the DRP, the SDP would be approved administratively.

As a condition of approval, a height certificate prepared by a licensed land surveyor will be required prior to the framing inspection certifying that the maximum height of the proposed addition will not exceed 21.96 feet above the proposed grade or 196.1 feet above the Mean Sea Level (MSL), which is the maximum proposed structure height reflected on the project plans.

Public Hearing Notice:

Notice of the City Council Public Hearing for the project was published in the Union Tribune more than 10 days prior to the public hearing. The same public notice was mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the proposed project site on March 14, 2019. As of the date of preparation of this Staff Report, Staff has not received any official correspondence other than the correspondence referenced previously regarding View Assessment. However, the neighbor to the south (338 Glenmont Drive) has voiced concerns to Staff regarding the location of the rear property line fence, which would abut the 12-foot wide partially paved strip of land to the east of the property.

For reference, Staff has provided a timeline explaining the background of the 12-foot wide strip of land east of the property below:

- 1943 Santa Fe Irrigation District grants a 12' wide "perpetual easement and rightof-way" to the US Government for military purposes.
- 1948 Marview Heights Unit 1 is subdivided (Glenmont properties, Map 2454) with the easterly subdivision boundary located along the westerly boundary of the 12-foot easement/right-of-way.
- 1954 US Government quitclaims the 12-foot wide easement/right-of-way to S.I. and Flora Boysen.
- 1961 Malcom Terrace Unit No. 1 is subdivided (Hilmen properties, Map 4779) with the westerly subdivision boundary located along the centerline of the former easement/right-of-way and an easement over the easterly 6 feet of the former easement/right-of-way.

The westerly 6 feet of the former easement/right-of-way is a strip of undefined property (outlined in yellow below). The City does not have evidence that rights to the 12-foot strip of land was ever granted to the County or subsequently, the City. Therefore, the City does not have rights for public use to the land. The Applicants are proposing to place a 6-foot high wooden fence and rolling gate within their property and along the rear property line. Below is a map of the area:



Conditions from the Planning, Engineering, and Fire Departments have been incorporated into the Resolution of Approval.

In conclusion, the proposed project, as conditioned, could be found to be consistent with the Zoning regulations and the General Plan. Should the Council determine that the findings can be made to approve the project, the SDP will be approved concurrently with the DRP.

# CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines. Class 3 consists of construction and location of limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures. Examples of this exemption include one single-family residence or second dwelling unit in a residential zone. In urbanized areas, up to three-single-family residences may be constructed or converted under this exemption.

# FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

# WORK PLAN: N/A

# OPTIONS:

- Approve Staff recommendation adopting the attached Resolution 2019-031.
- Approve Staff recommendation subject to additional specific conditions necessary for the City Council to make all required findings for the approval of a SDP and DRP.
- Deny the project if all required findings for the DRP cannot be made.

# **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

The proposed project meets the minimum zoning requirements under the SBMC, may be found to be consistent with the General Plan and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt Resolution 2019-031 conditionally approving a DRP and SDP to demolish a single-family residence, construct a replacement multi-level, single-family residence with

a partially subterranean three-car garage and basement, and perform associated site improvements at 346 Glenmont Drive, Solana Beach.

# **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregory Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-031
- 2. Project Plans

# **Vegetation Height Restrictions**



ATTACHMENT 4



# STAFF REPORT CITY OF SOLANA BEACH

TO: FROM: MEETING DATE: ORIGINATING DEPT: SUBJECT:

Honorable Mayor and City Councilmembers Gregory Wade, City Manager April 10, 2019 Community Development Department Public Hearing: Request for DRP and SDP to Demolish a Single-Family Residence and Construct a Replacement Single-Story, Single-Family Residence with a Partially Exposed Walk-Out Basement and Attached Two-Car Garage and Perform Associated Site Improvements at 729 Barbara Ave. (Case # 17-17-20; Applicants: Mark and Karen Snyder; APN: 263-091-03; Resolution No. 2019-037)

# BACKGROUND

The Applicants, Mark and Karen Snyder, are requesting City Council approval of a Development Review Permit (DRP) and administrative Structure Development Permit (SDP) to demolish a single-family residence with attached two-car garage, construct a replacement single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage, and perform associated site improvements at 729 Barbara Avenue. The existing 10,500 square foot lot is located within the Low Residential (LR) Zone and within the boundaries of the Hillside Overlay Zone (HOZ) and the Scenic Area Overlay Zone (SAOZ).

The project proposes a total of 1,085 cubic yards of cut, 10 cubic yards of excavation for footings, and 50 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, which would result in 1,085 cubic yards of export. The maximum building height would be 24.32 feet above the proposed (finished) grade and 156.65 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL).

The project requires a DRP for: 1) construction in excess of 60 percent of the maximum allowable floor area; 2) the project proposes an aggregate grading quantity in excess of 100 cubic yards; 3) the property is within the HOZ; and 4) the property is within the SAOZ. The project requires an SDP because the proposed project exceeds a height of 16 feet above existing grade.

The issue before the City Council is whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the Applicants' request as contained in Resolution 2019-037 (Attachment 1).

# CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

# DISCUSSION

The subject property is located on the west side of Barbara Avenue. The 10,500 square foot lot is rectangular in shape, approximately 75 feet wide and 140 feet deep. The topography of the lot slopes downward from the front property line (east) to the rear (west) of the property with an approximate 24-foot change in elevation.

The site is currently developed with a single-story, single-family residence and attached garage. The Applicants propose to demolish the existing residence and construct a new single-story residence with partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage. The main level would include living and dining areas, kitchen, master bedroom, guest room, utility hall, and bathrooms. The lower level would include three bedrooms, three bathrooms, a rec room, laundry room, and storage. Other proposed improvements include: a deck off the main level; patios and a fire pit off the lower level; an outdoor spa off the master bedroom; a detached trellis, outdoor fireplace, and water fountain in the front of the house; planters; retaining walls and fencing; landscaping and hardscape; a series of steps from the upper front yard to the lower rear yard; and other associated site improvements.

The property is not located within any of the City's Specific Plan areas; however, it is located within the boundaries of the HOZ and the SAOZ. The project has been evaluated and could be found to be in conformance with the regulations of the SAOZ and the HOZ, which are discussed later in this report. The project is also located within the Coastal Zone. As a condition of project approval, the Applicants will be required to obtain a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver, or Exemption from the California Coastal Commission prior to the issuance of a building permit.

The project plans are provided in Attachment 2. The proposed project has been storypoled as required by the SDP process, which is discussed later in this report.

Table 1 (on the following page) provides a comparison of applicable zoning regulations of the Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC) with the Applicants' proposed design. As shown, the proposed height, setbacks, floor area ratio (FAR) and required parking for the proposed structure are in compliance with the regulations of the SBMC.

Table 1	Table 1					
Lot Size (Net): Max. Allowable Floor Area:	729 Barbara Ave. 10,500 ft <sup>2</sup> 4,650 ft <sup>2</sup>	Zoning Designation:LR (3 du/ac)# of Units Allowed:1 Dwelling Unit,1 All# of Units Requested:1 Dwelling Unit				
Proposed Floor Area: Below Max. Floor Area by: Max. Allowable Height: Max. Proposed Height: Highest Point/Ridge: Overlay Zone(s):	3,601 ft <sup>2</sup> 1,049 ft <sup>2</sup> 25' 24.32' 156.65 MSL HOZ and SAOZ	Setbacks: Front (E) Interior Side (N) Interior Side (S) Rear (W): *Per SBMC 17.20.030 **Per SBMC 17.48.021 *** Per SBMC 17.48.021	10 ft. 40 ft.** 50 ft.*** (D)(1)(b) 0(H)(1) for below			
	ECT INFORMATION					
Proposed Square Footage Breakdown: Main Floor Living AreaRequired Permits: DRP: Required for 1) a structure exceed 60% of the maximum allo area; 2) aggregate grading quantity exceed 100 cubic yards; 3) develop HOZ; and 4) development in the SAO2 Subtotal: Parking Exemption (2-Car)Required Permits: DRP: Required for 1) a structure exceed 60% of the maximum allo area; 2) aggregate grading quantity exceed 100 cubic yards; 3) develop HOZ; and 4) development in the SAO2 SDP: Construction in excess of 16 fe from the existing grade.Parking Exemption Basement Exemption Total Square Footage:-1,389ft² 3,601 ft²Proposed Grading: Cut: 1,085 yd³Excavation for Footings: 10 yd³			allowable floor antity that would velopment in the SAOZ.			
Removal/Recompaction: 50 yd3 Proposed Parking: Attached 2- Proposed Fences and Walls: Y Proposed Guest House: No Proposed Accessory Living U Proposed Accessory Structure	Existing Developr Single-family reside garage to be demo	ence with an a	attached two-car			

The LR Zone requires a 25-foot front yard setback, which is measured from the eastern property line along Barbara Avenue. SBMC 17.20.030(D)(1)(b) provides that properties fronting on a right-of-way that is 55 feet wide or greater shall have a reduced front yard setback of 20 feet. Barbara Avenue in this location is 60 feet in width; therefore, the required front yard setback shall be reduced to 20 feet. The LR Zone requires a 25-foot rear yard setback, which is taken from the western property line. The property is within the HOZ (see SBMC17.48.020), which has supplemental development regulations for rear yard setbacks for development activity on slopes that exist in an unnatural condition. Per SBMC 17.48.020(H)(1), the minimum rear yard setback for new structures or additions to existing structures situated below-grade (below the ground elevation of the existing structure) shall be 40 feet from the property line. The minimum rear yard setback for at-grade structures (at the same ground elevation as the existing structure) shall be stepped back an additional 10 feet to create a minimum setback of 50 feet. As designed, the project would comply with the required setbacks for both the LR Zone and the supplemental development regulations for the HOZ.

Staff has prepared draft findings for approval of the project in the attached Resolution 2019-037 for Council's consideration based upon the information in this report. The applicable SBMC sections are provided in italicized text and conditions from the Community Development, Engineering, and Fire Departments are incorporated in the Resolution of Approval. The Council may direct Staff to modify the Resolution to reflect the findings and conditions it deems appropriate as a result of the public hearing process. If the Council determines the project is to be denied, Staff will prepare a Resolution of Denial for adoption at a subsequent Council meeting.

The following is a discussion of the findings for a DRP, for development within the HOZ, for development within the SAOZ, and for an administrative SDP as each applies to the proposed project, as well as references to recommended conditions of approval contained in Resolution 2019-037.

Development Review Permit Compliance:

The proposed project requires a DRP for the following reasons: 1) construction in excess of 60 percent of the maximum allowable floor area; 2) the project proposes an aggregate grading quantity in excess of 100 cubic yards; 3) the property is within the HOZ; and 4) the property is within the SAOZ.

In addition to meeting zoning requirements, the project must also be found in compliance with development review criteria pursuant to SBMC 17.68.0404. The following is a list of the development review criteria topics:

- 1. Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses
- 2. Building and Structure Placement
- 3. Landscaping
- 4. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas
- 5. Grading
- 6. Lighting
- 7. Usable Open Space

The Council may approve or conditionally approve a DRP only if all the findings listed below can be made. Resolution 2019-037 (Attachment 1) provides the full discussion of the following findings.

- 1. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan and all applicable requirements of this the Zoning Ordinance, including special regulations, overlay zones, and specific plans.
- 2. The proposed development complies with the development review criteria set forth in Subsection F of Section 17.68.040.
- 3. All required permits and approvals issued by the City, including variances, conditional use permits, comprehensive sign plans, and

coastal development permits, have been obtained prior to or concurrently with the development review permit.

4. If the development project also requires a permit or approval to be issued by a state or federal agency, the City Council may conditionally approve the development review permit upon the applicant obtaining the required permit or approval from the other agency.

If the above findings cannot be made, the Council shall deny the DRP. The following is a discussion of the applicable development review criteria as they relate to the proposed project.

Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses:

The property is located within the LR Zone, the HOZ, and the SAOZ. Properties directly to the north and south along the west side of the 700 Block of Barbara Avenue are also located within the LR Zone, the HOZ, and the SAOZ. The properties on the east side of Barbara Avenue are also located within the LR Zone, but they are not located within either the HOZ or SAOZ; these properties, however, are located within the Scaled Residential Overlav Zone (SROZ). Properties to the west on Midori Court are located within the Low Residential/Specific Plan Zone, which is regulated by the North Rios Specific Plan. The Midori Court properties are also located within the SAOZ and the east side of Midori Court is in the HOZ. All surrounding properties are developed with one and two-story single-family residences. The project, as designed, is consistent with the permitted uses for the LR Zone as described in SBMC Sections 17.20.010 and 17.12.020, and is also consistent with the General Plan, which designates the property as Low Density Residential. The proposed development is consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability of transitional neighborhoods, and the rehabilitation of deteriorated neighborhoods.

The property is not located within any of the City's Specific Plan areas; however, it is within the Coastal Zone. As a condition of project approval, the Applicant would be required to obtain a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver, or Exemption from the California Coastal Commission prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

Building and Structure Placement:

The Applicants are proposing a new single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage. The proposed project would appear as one-story as viewed from Barbara Avenue (the east elevation). The project has been designed to lower the finished floor of the main level of the proposed residence by 0.7 feet. All other residences on the west side of Barbara Avenue in the vicinity of the subject site also appear as one-story as viewed from Barbara Avenue. The proposed two-car garage would be located at the 20-foot front setback line, while the remainder of façade of the residence is proposed to be set back approximately 35.5 feet from the front property line.

The residence is designed to have a partially exposed, walk-out basement and would appear as two stories, as viewed from the west (rear) elevation, which is consistent with the design of other existing residences on the west side of Barbara Avenue. The existing grade along the rear elevation would be lowered by up to 5.5 feet to accomplish this. On the north side of the residence, the site would be graded to generally follow the existing slope, resulting in a structure that appears to be one story. Along the south side of the residence, a portion of the lower level toward the rear (west end) of the residence would be exposed. (See the Grading section for more additional details.) 1,389 square feet (over half) of the lower level would qualify as a "Basement" and be exempt from floor area as more than 60 percent of the story height would be located below the lower of the existing or proposed grade.

As mentioned previously, the rear (west) side of the proposed residence would conform to the 50-foot rear yard setback for at-grade structures as required by the HOZ. The proposed deck, which would be located off the rear of the main level of the house, would comply with the 40-foot setback for below-grade structures as required by the HOZ.

The floor area proposed for the residence includes: a 2,691 square-foot main level with an open-concept kitchen, living, and dining room, pantry, power room, utility hall, guest bedroom and bathroom, and a master suite; a 525 square-foot, two-car garage on the main level; a 1,797 square-foot lower level with a rec room, three bedrooms and three bathrooms, and a laundry room; and a 377 square-foot storage and mechanical area on the lower level.

A single-family residence requires two off-street parking spaces. When required spaces are provided in a garage, 200 square feet of floor area is exempted for each required space. Therefore, the project is afforded a 400 square-foot exemption for off-street parking. Additionally, 1,389 square feet would be exempted for the portion of the lower level that qualifies as a basement. The total proposed floor area would be 3,601 square feet, which is 1,049 square feet below the maximum allowable for the 10,500 square-foot lot. The maximum floor area calculation for this property is as follows:

0.6 for the first 5,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	3,000 ft <sup>2</sup>
0.3 for 5,001 to 20,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	1,650 ft <sup>2</sup>
Maximum Allowable Floor Area	4,560 ft <sup>2</sup>

The proposed project, as designed, meets the minimum required setbacks and is below the maximum allowable floor area for the property.

Neighborhood Comparison:

Staff compared the proposed project to 30 properties within the surrounding area located along both sides of Barbara Avenue and properties on the east side of Midori Court and north side of Patty Hill Drive, as designated on the following Zoning Map:



The properties in this comparison area have very diverse zoning regulations. Properties on the west side of Barbara Avenue, including the subject property, are located within the LR Zone but not in the SROZ. Properties on the east side of Barbara Avenue are located within the LR Zone and the Scaled SROZ; therefore, they have a lower maximum floor area allowance that uses a tiered calculation of 0.50 for the first 6,000 square feet of lot area, 0.175 for the next 9,000 square feet, 0.10 for the next 4,000 square feet and 0.05 for the remainder of the lot. Properties on Midori Court are located within the North Rios Specific Plan (NRSP) and have a zoning designation of LR/SP and a FAR of .50 of the lot area. Finally, the lot located at 236 Patty Hill Drive is located within the Patty Hill Specific Plan (PHSP) and has a zoning designation of LR/SP. The PHSP does not have specific requirements for maximum allowable floor area, but it does indicate that "the City of Solana Beach Zoning Ordinance for the LR zone shall be utilized for any applicable issues that are not addressed in this Specific Plan"; therefore, the FAR allowance would be the same as the properties on the west side of Barbara Avenue.

The existing homes in this comparison area range in size from 1,428 square feet to 4,463 square feet, according to the County Assessor records. It should be noted that the County Assessor does not include the garage, covered porch area, unfinished basement, or accessory building area in its total square footage. Accordingly, the

building area of the proposed project has been calculated for comparison purposes by deleting the area of the basement storage area and garage space as follows:

Project Gross Building Area:	5,390 ft <sup>2</sup>
Delete Basement Storage Area:	- 377 ft <sup>2</sup>
Delete Attached Garage Area:	<u>- 525 ft<sup>2</sup></u>
Project Area for Comparison to Assessor's Data	4,488 ft <sup>2</sup>

The table below is based upon the County Assessor's data and SanGIS data. It contains neighboring lot sizes, the square footage of existing development, and the maximum allowable square footage for potential development on each lot.

Tabl							
NEIGH	BOR	HOOD COMPARISON		·			
	#	Property Address	Lot Size in ft <sup>2</sup> (SanGIS)	Proposed /Recently Approved ft <sup>2</sup>	Existing ft <sup>2</sup> Onsite (Assessor's)	Max. Allowable ft²	Zone
	1	806 Midori Court	17,871		4,132	8,936	LR/SP
٩	2	804 Midori Court	14,751		3,743	7,376	LR/SP
SP	3	802 Midori Court	14,800		3,918	7,400	LR/SP
lios	4	710 Midori Court	14,622		4,079	7,311	LR/SP
и - С	5	708 Midori Court	14,484		2,831	7,242	LR/SP
North Rios	6	706 Midori Court	14,510		2,831	7,255	LR/SP
Z	7	704 Midori Court	14,367		2,831	7,184	LR/SP
	8	702 Midori Court	17,002		2,963	8,501	LR/SP
	9	741 Barbara Avenue	11,987		2,424	5,096	LR
N	10	735 Barbara Avenue	10,408		2,437	4,622	LR
	11	729 Barbara Avenue	10,500	4,488	1,739	4,650	LR
Not Located within the SROZ	12	723 Barbara Avenue	10,722		4,463	4,717	LR
L R	13_	717 Barbara Avenue	10,486		1,831	4,646	LR
he	14_	711 Barbara Avenue	10,549		3,928	4,665	LR
i.	15	705 Barbara Avenue	10,975		2,657	4,793	LR
ļ <del>ļ</del>	16	745 Barbara Avenue	11,678		2,026	5,003	LR
	17	749 Barbara Avenue	10,899		4,122	4,770	LR
ated	18	755 Barbara Avenue	11,613		3,400	4,984	LR
00	19	761 Barbara Avenue	11,230		1,428	4,869	LR
Ľ	20	767 Barbara Avenue	11,760		1,321	5,028	LR
<sup>o</sup> N	21	773 Barbara Avenue	12,611		1,478	5,283	LR
	22	779 Barbara Avenue	16,715		4,570	6,515	LR
	23	785 Barbara Avenue	23,192		1,478	7,979	LR
	24	791 Barbara Avenue	19,966	-	1,589	7,490	LR
.E	25	738 Barbara Avenue	16,001		2,127	4,675	LR
O Z	26	732 Barbara Avenue	14,502	<u> </u>	3,550	4,488	LR
μ Ω Ω	27	726 Barbara Avenue	14,162		4,203	4,428	LR
le {	28	720 Barbara Avenue	13,012		4,284	4,227	LR
Located within the SROZ		714 Barbara Avenue	11,590		3,027	3,978	LR
	30	708 Barbara Avenue	11,051		1,882	3,884	LR

	31	774 Barbara Avenue	8,489		3,183	3,436	LR
	32	744 Barbara Avenue	15,384		2,191	4,613	LR
	33	750 Barbara Avenue	15,041		2,688	4,579	LR
	34	756 Barbara Avenue	12,921		2,542	4,211	LR
	35	762 Barbara Avenue	11,617		1,513	3,983	LR
	36	768 Barbara Avenue	9,579		2,648	3,626	LR
	41	255 Patty Hill Drive	10,200		3,525	3,735	LR
PHSP	42	236 Patty Hill Drive	12,793	3,704	1,450	5,338	LR/SP

Fences, Walls and Retaining Walls:

The SBMC allows for fences and walls or any combination thereof to be no higher than 42 inches in height as measured from existing grade within the front yard setback, except for an additional two feet of fence that is at least 80% open to light. Fences, walls, and retaining walls located within the rear and interior side yards are allowed to be up to six feet in height with an additional 24 inches that is 50% open to light and air.

The westernmost retaining wall would be maintained. The project proposes construction of new retaining walls that range in height from 1.2 to 5 feet, and which are located within the rear and side yards. The project also proposes combination retaining wall and fencing along the side property lines that would be six feet in height with an additional two-foot open fence on top and three and a half feet in height with an additional two-foot open fence on top within the front-yard setback. Additionally, the project proposes a property line fence that is five feet along the rear property line and the rear portions of the side property lines. As a condition of project approval, the Applicants will be required to show that the retaining walls and any combination of fence, wall, or railing added to the retaining walls, will not exceed the maximum heights allowed within the required setbacks according to SBMC Section 17.20.040 during the building permit process.

# Landscape:

The project is subject to the current water efficient landscaping regulations of SBMC Chapter 17.56 (Water Efficient Landscape Regulations). A Landscape Documentation Package is required for new development projects with an aggregate landscape equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building permit, plan check, or development review. The Applicants provided a conceptual landscape plan that has been reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect, who has recommended approval. The Applicants will be required to submit detailed construction landscape drawings that will be reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect for conformance with the conceptual plan. In addition, the City's third-party landscape architect will perform inspections during the construction phase of the project. A separate condition has been added to require that native or drought-tolerant and non-invasive plant materials and water-conserving irrigation systems are required to be incorporated into the landscaping to the extent feasible.

# Parking:

SBMC Section 17.52.040 and the Off-Street Parking Design Manual (OSPDM) require each single-family residence to provide two off-street parking spaces that are a minimum of 9' X 19' clear. The project proposes a two-car garage on the southeast corner of the buildable area of the property that would be accessed from a driveway off Barbara Avenue. If the required parking spaces are provided in a garage, up to 200 square feet per required parking space is exempt from the calculation of floor area. The proposed attached garage provides two parking spaces that comply with the regulations; therefore, 400 square feet has been exempted from the calculation of maximum floor area. The remaining square footage of the garage has been added to the square footage calculations and counts toward the floor area.

Grading:

The project site is located within the HOZ area of Barbara Avenue, which was previously graded and is considered on slopes that exists in an unnatural condition, as discussed in greater detail later in this report. According to the slope analysis provided by the Applicants in Attachment 2, approximately 73 percent of the site has slopes below 25 percent, which includes the pad for the existing house and garage, the driveway, the front yard, and most of the area below the existing deck off the west end of the existing house. Approximately 17 percent of the site has slopes between 25 and 40 percent and approximately 10 percent has slopes in excess of 40 percent. The majority of these steeper sloped areas are located in the western third of the site.

The project proposes a total of 1,085 cubic yards of cut, 10 cubic yards of excavation for footings, and 50 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, which would result in 1,085 cubic yards of export. The finished floor of the main level of the new house is proposed to be located at a slightly lower (0.7 feet) elevation than the existing house. A majority of the grading is proposed to provide a partially subterranean lower level, which would be fully exposed on the west side of the residence and partially exposed on the west end of the south side. The north and east sides of the lower level would not be exposed. According to the definition for a basement (SBMC 17.08.030), any portion of a building story which has at least 60 percent or more of the story height below the finished grade or pre-existing grade, whichever is lower, is considered a basement and does not count toward floor area for the purposes of determining maximum allowable floor area. The total floor area of the lower level would be 2,174 square feet. Based on how the project is proposed to be graded, 1,389 square feet of the lower level is considered basement and is not required to be included in total allowable floor area.

The property currently has four retaining walls within the west end of the site. The lowest, western-most retaining wall is concrete and is proposed to be retained; the other three are wood and are proposed to be removed. New retaining walls are proposed to support the proposed patios and fire pit on the west end of the lower level, and to support the proposed spa, which would be located off the master bedroom, on the north side of the residence on the main level.

# Lighting:

A condition of project approval requires that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the Citywide lighting regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

Useable Open Space:

The project consists of construction of a new single-family residence, attached garage, and associated site improvements; therefore, usable open space and recreational facilities are not required according to SBMC 17.20.040.

Hillside Overlay Zone (HOZ) Compliance:

The property is located within the Barbara Avenue Hillside, which is one of two of the 23 areas designated as HOZ slopes that exist in an unnatural condition. As such, limited grading and other development activity may be permitted in these areas provided that the activity is in compliance with the supplemental submittal and review techniques of the SBMC section 17.48.020.G. Development activity within the Barbara Avenue Hillside area shall only be allowed if the Council can make the following findings:

- 1. The development activity meets the intent of all performance standards set forth herein;
- 2. The development has been designed so that its visibility has been effectively minimized and integrated in the natural surroundings to the extent feasible;
- 3. Soil erosion and site disturbance has been effectively minimized;
- 4. Drainage patterns have been preserved;
- 5. Potential impacts upon existing adjacent residential development have been minimized to the extent feasible;
- 6. Development has been sited so that it is compatible with existing surrounding development in terms of height, bulk and scale;
- 7. Adequate drainage and utility facilities are available to serve the subject property, while maintaining sufficient levels of services for existing development;
- 8. Potential lighting and noise impacts upon existing adjacent residential development has been effectively minimized;

9. The cumulative visual impact of the development activity, as viewed from west to east, has been effectively mitigated

In addition to the review criteria set forth in SBMC 17.48.010, Development Review Permits in the Barbara Avenue HOZ shall meet the intent of the following performance-based review criteria:

- 1. Site Design
- 2. Building Form
- 3. Fences and Retaining Walls
- 4. Color and Materials
- 5. Vegetation
- 6. Lighting
- 7. Noise

#### Site Design

The site design should incorporate the existing natural features and terrain taking into consideration the contours of the land. The building form should follow the natural contours of the land. The site design and structure should take into consideration the impacts on privacy and views of neighboring properties. Window placement, landscaping, deck and other accessory structure placement should be carefully planned to ensure adjacent properties are not compromised.

The site has been graded previously to construct an existing residence on the site, which will be demolished. The finished floor of the main level of the project is proposed at a slightly lower elevation then the existing house. A partially exposed basement is proposed for the lower level, where it will daylight toward the western property line and be below grade toward the east. The main level will be located on top of the basement and the residence and would appear as a one-story residence from Barbara Avenue, where the topography is highest and where the existing flat building pad is located. Although most of the natural features and terrain of the site are no longer present, the proposed residence has been designed to follow the contours of the existing site on the east and north elevations. The existing grade will be retained within the front yard setback. The portions of the lower level are proposed to be excavated more than the existing residence to provide a walk-out for the lower level along the west elevation, and to provide windows on the western end of the south elevation of the lower level.

The majority of the windows on both levels would face west, and a main-level deck is proposed over a lower level patio along the entire west elevation. As shown on the East-West Section 1 (Sheet A5.0) of the project plans (Attachment 2), the proposed finished floor of the basement level of the house would be slightly above the roofline of the existing downslope residence on Midori Court. The sightline from the majority of the proposed windows, patio doors, deck, and patio would be to the west over the downslope residences.

# Building Form

The slopes of the roof should follow the natural contours (i.e., oriented in the same direction as the slope). The bulk of the building should be broken up by incorporating varied roof heights rather than having just one or two massive roof planes. Expansive facades shall be avoided by offsetting walls and architectural elements to produce patterns of light and shade. Second stories shall be set back from the first floor as specified herein to step with the slope so that bulk is minimized.

The proposed roof plan shows a variety of hipped roof planes with ridgelines running east/west and north/south, as well as two flat areas. The elevations of the ridgelines and flat areas vary, providing varied roof heights and breaking up the massing of the roof. The front (east elevation) of the proposed residence provides articulation through a recessed entry and varied façade setbacks, with the garage set back 20 feet from the property line and the remaining façade stepped back 35.5 and 36.5 feet. The proposed main level deck provides an architectural element to break up the rear (west) façade of the residence.

According to SBMC 17.48.020(H), any portion of a structure located below grade is required to be set back 40 feet from the rear property line, while any at-grade portion of a structure is required to be set back an additional 10 feet, with a minimum 50-foot setback from the rear property line. As designed, the proposed residence meets or exceeds these requirements.

# Fences and Retaining Walls

Solid fencing should be avoided where visible to the public. Retaining walls should be landscaped so that they blend with surroundings and reduce visual impacts.

The project includes retaining walls and fences along the side property lines within the front and side-yard setbacks. These fences would be screened from public view by sixfoot high hedges.

#### Color and Materials

Exterior colors of all structures shall use natural dark, or earth tones. Light, bright and reflective materials are to be avoided.

A condition of project approval has been added to the project to indicate that the exterior structure shall utilize natural dark or earth tones and that light, bright and reflective materials shall be minimized to the extent feasible.

#### Vegetation

Vegetation shall be used to blend structures with the surrounding landscape, soften the impact of development on manufactured slopes, and provide erosion control. Landscaping shall be used to screen views of down slope elevations. Landscaping

material shall consist of native or naturalized plant material that blends naturally with the landscape and provides screening. An irrigation system that utilizes water and energy conservation techniques, sufficient to establish, and if required, facilitate revegetation and minimize erosion shall be used. Required rear yard landscape buffer areas shall be planted with land material that is sufficient in size and quantity to adequately buffer and screen views from down slope elevations to minimize impacts within existing adjacent residential development. Landscaping shall not exceed the height of adjacent rooflines, either when installed or when they reach maturity.

The project is required to comply with the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance of SBMC 17.56, which requires the Applicants to provide a full Landscape Documentation Package prior to building permit approval. The Landscaping Documentation Package will provide the proposed species and location of native vegetation to be planted on site as well as the type of water efficient irrigation systems to be installed and a maintenance schedule. The proposed plans in Attachment 1 provide a preliminary plan of the proposed landscaping and how it will provide screening for the proposed development. SBMC 17.48.020(H)(3) requires that slopes that exist in an unnatural condition provide a minimum 20-foot landscaped buffer area along the rear property line to provide visual screening for adjacent residents to the west, as well as minimize view blockage for residents to the east. The Applicants propose to landscape this area with a variety of shrubs and groundcover. A condition of project approval has been added to the attached Resolution to indicate that landscaping shall not exceed the height of the adjacent rooflines when installed or at maturity.

# Lighting

# All exterior lighting shall be designed pursuant to SBMC 17.48.010(F)(8).

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

# Noise

Noise levels shall not exceed the dBA levels set forth in the city of Solana Beach noise ordinance. Intervening structures may be required to be incorporated into the site design to minimize noise impacts.

A condition of project approval includes that the Applicants will be required to comply with the regulations of the noise ordinance of SMBC 7.34.

Scenic Area Overlay Zone (SAOZ) Compliance:

The purpose of the specific requirements of the SAOZ is to regulate development in areas of high scenic value to preserve and enhance the scenic resources present within, and adjacent to, such areas and to assure the exclusion of incompatible uses

and structures. It is further recognized that the preservation and enhancement of scenic resources within the City of Solana Beach provides important social, recreation, and economic benefits for both residents and visitors. Specific review criterions are required for properties within the designated areas of the SAOZ. These are established to ensure that proposed development does not interfere with or degrade those visual features of the site or adjacent sites that contribute to its scenic attractiveness, as viewed from the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resource. The following specific criteria shall be evaluated when they are applicable:

- 1. Building Characteristics
- 2. Building and Structure Placement
- 3. Landscaping
- 4. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas
- 5. Aboveground Utilities
- 6. Grading
- 7. Signs
- 8. Lighting

An application for development in the SAOZ also requires submittal of a view analysis consisting of an accurate representation of the development as viewed from at least three separate and critical points exterior to the development site and which show the treatment of the scenic resources present on the site as related to those resources which are adjacent to the site. The view analysis is provided in Attachment 3. The site is located on the hilltop between Midori Court and Barbara Avenue. The proposed east (front) elevation would be visible from Barbara Avenue, as depicted in the first three renderings provided (Attachment 3). The proposed west (rear) elevation would be partially visible from the southern terminus of Midori Court, as depicted in the fourth rendering provided (Attachment 3). The following is a review according to the development review criteria listed above.

#### **Building Characteristics**

All development shall be compatible with the topography, vegetation and colors of the natural environment and with the scenic, historic and recreation resources of the designated areas.

The proposed residence, as shown in view analysis, will be developed to a similar height as the existing residences to the north and south. The proposed residence will appear as a single story from the eastern elevation. The proposed lower level will be built into the existing slope, with the entire west elevation designed as a walk-out basement with patio doors across the rear and windows located on the west end of the south elevation. Native, non-invasive vegetation is required in compliance with the Water Efficient Landscaping Regulations that will provide screening of the project for the adjacent properties. The project has been conditioned to be constructed with exterior colors that are natural dark or earth toned to the extent feasible.

#### **Building and Structure Placement**

The placement of buildings and structures shall not detract from the visual setting or obstruct significant views, and shall be compatible with the topography of the site and adjacent areas. In prime viewshed areas designated in the general plan, building and structures should not be placed along bluff-top silhouette lines or on the adjacent slopes within view from a lagoon area, but should be clustered along the bases of the bluffs and on the mesa tops set back from the bluff-top silhouette lines. Buildings and structures should be sited to provide unobstructed view corridors from the nearest scenic highway, or view corridor road. These criteria may be modified when necessary to mitigate other overriding environmental considerations such as protection of habitat or wildlife corridors.

The proposed structure would expand the footprint of the existing structure within the buildable area of the lot. The residence would appear as a single-story from Barbara Avenue with a lower level built partially into the existing slope. The lower level improvements include the floor area and deck off the main level, would be setback at least 40 feet from the rear property line. The main level would be setback at least 50 feet from the rear property line.

The proposed design went through the required 30-day public noticing period required for the Structure Development Permit because the proposed residence will exceed 16 feet in height from the pre-existing grade. The entire process is discussed further in this report. Three appeals were received by the City, however, the Applicants modified the design in response to the neighbors' concerns and all three view claims were withdrawn. Therefore, it could be found that the proposed design, as story poled, will not obstruct significant views.

#### Landscaping

The removal of native vegetation shall be minimized and the replacement vegetation and landscaping shall be compatible with the vegetation of the designated area. Landscaping and plantings shall be used to the maximum extent practicable to screen those features listed in paragraphs (F)(4), (5) and (6) of this subsection. Landscaping and plantings shall not obstruct significant views, either when installed or when they reach mature growth.

The project is required to comply with the Water Efficient Landscaping Regulations of SBMC 17.56, which require native, non-invasive plant species for any new landscaping. Landscaping is proposed to screen the proposed retaining walls at the rear and sides of the day lighting basement from adjacent properties. Landscaping is also proposed at the front of the proposed structure. Proposed landscaping has been conditioned so that the landscaping shall be maintained to ensure that it does not exceed the height of the adjacent rooflines when installed or at maturity. A majority of the 25-40% sloped area will be left in its existing condition.

Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas.

Any development involving more than one building or structure shall provide common access roads and pedestrian walkways. Parking and outside storage areas shall be screened from view, to the maximum extent feasible, from either the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resource. Acceptable screening methods shall include, but are not limited to, the use of existing topography, the strategic placement of buildings and structures, or landscaping and plantings which harmonize with the natural landscape of the designated area.

The proposed driveway, parking, and storage areas will not be visible from the western or downslope properties. The proposed driveway, located at the southeast corner of the lot, will provide access to a two-car garage which will house the two 9' X 19' clear parking spaces. Storage areas are provided in the garage and basement area and will not be visible to adjacent properties. The refuse storage area is proposed to be located on the south side of the garage, behind a fence with gate, and will not be visible to the public. The air conditioning units are proposed to be located on the north side of the house and will be screened from public view.

#### Aboveground Utilities

Utilities shall be constructed and routed underground except in those situations where natural features prevent undergrounding or where safety considerations necessitate aboveground construction and routing.

The Engineering Department has placed a condition on the project that requires any new utility services shall be undergrounded.

#### Grading

The alteration of the natural topography of the site shall be minimized and shall avoid detrimental effects to the visual setting of the designated area and the existing natural drainage system. Alterations of the natural topography shall be screened from view from either the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resource by landscaping and plantings which harmonize with the natural landscape of the designated area, except when such alterations add variety to or otherwise enhance the visual setting of the designated area. However, design emphasis shall be placed on preserving the existing quality of scenic resources rather than concealment of disturbances or replacement in kind. In portions of the scenic area overlay zone containing sensitive lands, grading may be severely restricted or prohibited.

The project site is located within the Hillside Overlay Zone area of Barbara Avenue that was previously graded and exists in an unnatural condition. Therefore, there is no natural topography located onsite. Grading will take place to construct a walk-out basement that is exposed on the west elevation and partially exposed on the south elevation.

#### Signs

Off-site signs shall be prohibited in areas subject to the scenic area overlay zone, except temporary real estate signs pursuant to SBMC 17.64.060. The number, size, location, and design of all other signs shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Sign Ordinance (Chapter 17.64 SBMC) and shall not detract from the visual setting of the designated area or obstruct significant views.

The project consists of a new single-family residence, attached garage, and associated site improvements; therefore, signs are not proposed as part of the development.

#### Lighting

The interior and exterior lighting of the buildings and structures and the lighting of signs, roads and parking areas shall be compatible with the lighting permitted in the designated area. All exterior lighting, including lighting in designated "dark sky" areas, shall be in conformance with SBMC 17.60.060 (Exterior Lighting Regulations).

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

Structure Development Permit Compliance:

The proposed project proposes construction of a new residence that will exceed 16 feet in height from the pre-existing grade; therefore, the project must comply with all the requirements of SBMC Chapter 17.63 (View Assessment) and the Applicants must complete the SDP process. A Story Pole Height Certificate was issued by a licensed land surveyor on June 13, 2018, which showed a maximum building height of 25.0 feet above the existing grade. The highest story pole was certified at 157.94 feet above MSL as measured from the existing grade. Notices to apply for View Assessment were mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the project site, which established a deadline to file for View Assessment on August 6, 2018. Three applications for View Assessment by neighboring property owners were received by the City (Mayo, Larkin, and Filler).

The Applicants worked with the View Claimants to address their concerns related to the proposed height of the maximum ridgeline and chimney as well as proposed landscaping. Proposed changes included lowering the proposed grade by 6 inches; lowering the overall roof pitch; and lowering the chimney heights to the minimum pursuant to California Building Code. The proposed ridgelines were lowered a total of 15 inches between the lowering of the grade and reduction of the roof pitch. Prior to scheduling the project for a View Assessment Commission (VAC) meeting, all three View Claimants withdrew their applications. With no outstanding View Assessment Craims, the project has satisfied the requirements of the View Assessment Ordinance. Therefore, if the Council is able to make the required findings to approve the DRP, the

SDP would be approved administratively. It should be noted that the story poles were not modified to reflect the lowered roofline, although the project plans accurately reflect the current proposal.

As a condition of approval, a height certificate prepared by a licensed land surveyor will be required prior to the framing inspection certifying that the maximum height of the proposed structure (including framing and roofing materials) will not exceed 24.32 feet above the proposed grade or 156.65 feet above the Mean Sea Level (MSL), which is the maximum proposed structure height reflected on the project plans.

Notice of the City Council Public Hearing for this project was published in the San Diego Union Tribune more than 10 days prior to the public hearing. The same public notice was mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the proposed project site on Thursday, March 28, 2019. As of the date of preparation of this Staff Report, Staff has not received any letters, phone calls, or emails from neighbors or interested parties in support of, or in opposition to, the proposed project.

In conclusion, if the Council can make the required findings, the proposed project, as conditioned, could be found to be consistent with the Zoning Ordinance and the General Plan. Should the City Council determine that the findings can be made to approve the project, the SDP will be issued administratively with the DRP.

# **CEQA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:**

The project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15303 State CEQA Guidelines. Class 3 consists of construction and located of limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures. Examples of this exemption include one single-family residence or second dwelling unit in a residential zone. In urbanized areas, up to three single-family residences may be constructed or converted under this exemption.

# FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

# WORK PLAN: N/A

# **OPTIONS:**

- Approve Staff recommendation adopting the attached Resolution 2019-037.
- Approve Staff recommendation subject to additional specific conditions necessary for the City Council to make all required findings for the approval of a DRP and administrative SDP.
- Deny the project if all required findings for the DRP cannot be made.

# **DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:**

The proposed project meets the requirements under the SBMC, is consistent with the General Plan, and may be found, as conditioned, to meet the discretionary findings

required as discussed in this report to approve a DRP and administratively issue a SDP. Therefore, Staff recommends that the City Council:

- 1. Conduct the Public Hearing: Open the Public Hearing, Report Council Disclosures, Receive Public Testimony, and Close the Public Hearing.
- 2. Find the project exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and
- 3. If the City Council makes the requisite findings and approves the project, adopt Resolution 2019-037 conditionally approving a DRP and an administrative SDP to demolish a single-family residence with attached two-car garage and construct a replacement single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walkout basement and attached two-car garage at 729 Barbara Avenue.

# **CITY MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:**

Approve Department Recommendation.

Gregery Wade, City Manager

Attachments:

- 1. Resolution 2019-037
- 2. Project Plans
- 3. View Analysis

#### **RESOLUTION 2019-037**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOLANA BEACH. CALIFORNIA. CONDITIONALLY APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT REVIEW PERMIT AND AN ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FOR THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING RESIDENCE AND GARAGE AND CONSTRUCTION OF A REPLACEMENT SINGLE-STORY, SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE WITH A PARTIALLY EXPOSED WALK-OUT BASEMENT. AN ATTACHED GARAGE. AND ASSOCIATED SITE **IMPROVEMENTS AT 729 BARBARA AVE, SOLANA BEACH** 

# APPLICANTS: Mark and Karen Snyder CASE NO.: 17-17-20 DRP/SDP

WHEREAS, Mark and Karen Snyder (hereinafter referred to as "Applicants") have submitted an application for a Development Review Permit (DRP) and administrative Structure Development Permit (SDP) pursuant to Title 17 (Zoning), of the Solana Beach Municipal Code (SBMC); and

**WHEREAS**, the Public Hearing was conducted pursuant to the provisions of Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 17.72.030; and

**WHEREAS**, at the Public Hearing on April 10, 2019, the City Council received and considered evidence concerning the proposed application; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach found the application request exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, this decision is based upon the evidence presented at the hearing and any information the City Council gathered by viewing the site and the area as disclosed at the hearing.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, does resolve as follows:

- 1. That the foregoing recitations are true and correct.
- 2. That the request for a DRP and an administrative SDP to demolish a single-family residence with attached two-car garage, construct a replacement single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage, and perform associated site improvements is conditionally approved based upon the following findings and subject to the following conditions:

ATTACHMENT 1

# 3. FINDINGS

- A. In accordance with Section 17.68.040 (Development Review Permit) of the City of Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:
  - I. The proposed project is consistent with the General Plan and all applicable requirements of SBMC Title 17 (Zoning Ordinance), including special regulations, overlay zones and specific plans.

<u>General Plan Consistency</u>: The proposed project, as conditioned, is consistent with the City's General Plan designation of Low Density Residential, which allows for single-family residential development with a maximum density of three dwelling units per acre or one unit for this 10,500 square foot lot. Further, the proposed development could be found to be consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability of transitional neighborhoods, and the rehabilitation of deteriorated neighborhoods.

Zoning Ordinance Consistency: The proposed project is consistent with all applicable requirements of the Zoning Ordinance (Title 17) (SBMC 17.20.030 and 17.48.040), which delineates maximum allowable Floor Area Ratio (FAR), Permitted Uses and Structures (SBMC Section 17.20.020), which provides for uses of the property for a single-family residence. Further, the proposed project adheres to all property development regulations established for the Low Residential (MR) Zone and cited by SBMC Section 17.020.030 as well as the specific development regulations of the Scenic Area Overlay Zone (SAOZ) as cited in SBMC 17.48.010 and the Hillside Overlay Zone (HOZ) as cited in SBMC Section 17.48.020.

- II. The proposed development complies with the following development review criteria set forth in Solana Beach Municipal Code Section 17.68.040.F:
  - a. Relationship with Adjacent Land Uses: The development shall be designed in a manner compatible with and complementary to existing development in the immediate vicinity of the project site and the surrounding neighborhood. The development as proposed shall also be compatible in scale, apparent bulk, and massing with such existing development in the surrounding neighborhood. Site planning on or near the perimeter of the development shall give consideration to the protection of surrounding areas from potential adverse effects.

The property is located within the Low Residential (LR) Zone on the west side of Barbara Avenue. The properties directly to the north and south are also located within the LR Zone, the HOZ, and the SAOZ. The properties on the east side of Barbara Avenue are also located within the LR Zone, but they are not located within either the HOZ or SAOZ; these properties, however, are located within the Scaled Residential Overlay Zone (SROZ). Properties to the west are located within the Low Residential/Specific Plan Zone, which is regulated by the North Rios Specific Plan. All surrounding properties are developed with single-family residences. The project, as designed, is consistent with the permitted uses for the LR Zone as described in SBMC Sections 17.20.010 and 17.12.020, and is also consistent with the General Plan, which designates the as Low Density Residential. The property proposed development is consistent with the objectives of the General Plan as it encourages the development and maintenance of healthy residential neighborhoods, the stability of transitional neiahborhoods. and the rehabilitation of deteriorated neighborhoods.

The property is not located within any of the City's Specific Plan areas; however, it is within the Coastal Zone. As a condition of project approval, the Applicants would be required to obtain a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver, or Exemption from the California Coastal Commission prior to the issuance of a Building Permit.

b. Building and Structure Placement: Buildings and structures shall be sited and designed to minimize adverse impacts on the surrounding properties and designed in a manner which visually and functionally enhance their intended use and complement existing site topography. Multi-family residential buildings shall be sited to avoid crowding and to allow for a functional use of the space between buildings.

The Applicants are proposing a new single-story, single-family residence with a partially exposed walk-out basement and attached two-car garage. The proposed project will appear as one-story as viewed from Barbara Avenue (the east elevation). The project has been designed to lower the finished floor of the main level of the proposed residence by 0.7 feet. All other residences on the west side of Barbara Avenue in the vicinity of the subject site also appear as one-story as viewed from Barbara Avenue. The proposed two-car garage will be located at the 20-foot front setback line, while the remainder of façade of the residence is proposed to be set back approximately 35.5 feet from the front property line.

The residence is designed to have a partially exposed, walkout basement and would appear as two stories, as viewed from the west (rear) elevation, which is consistent with the design of other existing residences on the west side of Barbara Avenue. The existing grade along the rear elevation will be lowered by up to 5.5 feet to accomplish this. On the north side of the residence, the site will be graded to generally follow the existing slope, resulting in a structure that appears to be one story. Along the south side of the residence, a portion of the lower level toward the rear (west end) of the residence will be exposed. 1,389 square feet (over half) of the lower level will qualify as a "Basement" and be exempt from floor area as more than 60 percent of the story height will be located below the lower of the existing or proposed grade.

The rear (west) side of the proposed residence will conform to the 50-foot rear yard setback for at-grade structures as required by the HOZ. The proposed deck, which will be located off the rear of the main level of the house, will comply with the 40-foot setback for below-grade structures as required by the HOZ.

The floor area proposed for the residence includes: a 2,691 square-foot main level with an open-concept kitchen, living, and dining room, pantry, power room, utility hall, guest bedroom and bathroom, and a master suite; a 525 square-foot, two-car garage on the main level; a 1,797 square-foot lower level with a rec room, three bedrooms and three bathrooms, and a laundry room; and a 377 square-foot storage and mechanical area on the lower level.

A single-family residence requires two off-street parking spaces. When required spaces are provided in a garage, 200 square feet of floor area is exempted for each required space. Therefore, the project is afforded a 400 square-foot exemption for off-street parking. Additionally, 1,389 square feet is exempted for the portion of the lower level that qualifies as a basement. The total proposed floor area is 3,601 square feet, which is 959 square feet below the maximum allowable for the 10,500 square-foot lot. The maximum floor area calculation for this property is as follows:

0.6 for the first 5,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	3,000 ft <sup>2</sup>
0.3 for 5,001 to 20,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	1,650 ft <sup>2</sup>
Maximum Allowable Floor Area	4,560 ft <sup>2</sup>

The proposed project, as designed, meets the minimum required setbacks and is below the maximum allowable floor area for the property.

c. Landscaping: The removal of significant native vegetation shall be minimized. Replacement vegetation and landscaping shall be compatible with the vegetation of the surrounding area. To the maximum extent practicable, landscaping and plantings shall be used to screen parking areas, storage areas, access roads, and other service uses of the site. Trees and other large plantings shall not obstruct significant views when installed or at maturity. Drought tolerant plant materials and water conserving irrigation systems shall be incorporated into all landscaping plans.

The project is subject to the current water efficient landscaping regulations of SBMC Chapter 17.56 (Water Efficient Landscape Regulations). A Landscape Documentation Package is required for new development projects with an aggregate landscape equal to or greater than 500 square feet requiring a building permit, plan check, or development review. The Applicants provided a conceptual landscape plan that has been reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect, who has recommended approval. The Applicants will be required to submit detailed construction landscape drawings that will be reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect for conformance with the conceptual plan. In addition, the City's third-party landscape architect will perform inspections during the construction phase of the project. A separate condition has been added to require that native or drought-tolerant and non-invasive plant materials and waterconserving irrigation systems are required to be incorporated into the landscaping to the extent feasible.

d. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas: Any development involving more than one building or structure shall provide common access roads and pedestrian walkways. Parking and outside storage areas, where permitted, shall be screened from view, to the extent feasible, by existing topography, by the placement of buildings and structures, or by landscaping and plantings.
SBMC Section 17.52.040 and the Off-Street Parking Design Manual (OSPDM) require each single-family residence to provide two off-street parking spaces that are a minimum of 9' X 19' clear. The project proposes a two-car garage on the southeast corner of the buildable area of the property that would be accessed from a driveway off Barbara Avenue. If the required parking spaces are provided in a garage, up to 200 square feet per required parking space is exempt from the calculation of floor area. The proposed attached garage provides two parking spaces that comply with the regulations; therefore, 400 square feet has been exempted from the calculation of maximum floor area. The remaining square footage of the garage has been added to the square footage calculations and counts toward the floor area.

e. Grading: To the extent feasible, natural topography and scenic features of the site shall be retained and incorporated into the proposed development. Any grading or earth-moving operations in connection with the proposed development shall be planned and executed so as to blend with the existing terrain both on and adjacent to the site. Existing exposed or disturbed slopes shall be landscaped with native or naturalized non-native vegetation and existing erosion problems shall be corrected.

The project site is located within the HOZ area of Barbara Avenue, which was previously graded and is considered on slopes that exists in an unnatural condition. According to the slope analysis provided by the Applicants, approximately 73 percent of the site has slopes below 25 percent, which includes the pad for the existing house and garage, the driveway, the front yard, and most of the area below the existing deck off the west end of the existing house. Approximately 17 percent of the site has slopes between 25 and 40 percent and approximately 10 percent has slopes in excess of 40 percent; the majority of these steeper sloped areas are located in the western third of the site.

The project proposes a total of 1,085 cubic yards of cut, 10 cubic yards of excavation for footings, and 50 cubic yards of removal and recompaction, which will result in 1,085 cubic yards of export. The finished floor of the main level of the new house is proposed to be located at a slightly lower (0.7 feet) elevation than the existing house. A majority of the grading is proposed to provide a partial daylight lower level, which would be fully exposed on the west side of the residence and partially

exposed on the west end of the south side of the lower level. The north side of the lower level would not be exposed. According to the definition for a basement (SBMC 17.08.030), any portion of a building story which has at least 60 percent or more of the story height below the finished grade or preexisting grade, whichever is lower, is considered a basement and does not count toward floor area for the purposes of determining maximum allowable floor area. The total floor area of the lower level would be 2,174 square feet. Based on how the project is proposed to be graded, 1,389 square feet of the lower level is considered basement and is not required to be included in total allowable floor area.

The property currently has four retaining walls within the west end of the site. The lowest, western-most retaining wall is concrete and is proposed to be retained; the other three are wood and are proposed to be removed. New retaining walls are proposed to support the proposed patios and fire pit on the west end of the lower level, and to support the proposed spa, which would be located off the master bedroom, on the north side of the residence on the main level.

f. Lighting: Light fixtures for walkways, parking areas, driveways, and other facilities shall be provided in sufficient number and at proper locations to assure safe and convenient nighttime use. All light fixtures shall be appropriately shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding areas per SBMC 17.60.060 (Exterior Lighting Regulations).

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

g. Usable Open Space: Recreational facilities proposed within required usable open space shall be located and designed to maintain essential open space values. Consideration shall be given to type and quantity of such uses, including but not limited to golf courses, picnic and barbecue areas, tot lots, open playing fields, swimming pools and spas, and court facilities.

The project consists of construction of a new single-family residence, attached garage, and associated site improvements;

therefore, usable open space and recreational facilities are not required according to SBMC 17.20.040.

III. All required permits and approvals, including variances, conditional use permits, comprehensive sign plans, and coastal development permits, have been obtained prior to or concurrently with the development review permit.

All required permits, including a Structure Development Permit, are being processed concurrently with the Development Review Permit.

*IV.* If the development project also requires a permit or approval to be issued by a state or federal agency, the City Council may conditionally approve the development review permit upon the Applicants obtaining the required permit or approval from the other agency.

The Applicants are required to obtain approval from the California Coastal Commission (CCC) prior to issuance of Building Permits.

- B. In accordance with Section 17.48.020 (Hillside Overlay Zone) of the City of Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:
  - *I.* The development activity meets the intent of all performance standards set forth herein:
    - a. Site Design. The site design should incorporate the existing natural features and terrain taking into consideration the contours of the land. The building form should follow the natural contours of the land. The site design and structure should take into consideration the impacts on privacy and views of neighboring properties. Window placement, landscaping, deck and other accessory structure placement should be carefully planned to ensure adjacent properties are not compromised.

The site has been graded previously to construct an existing residence on the site, which will be demolished. The finished floor of the main level of the project is proposed at a slightly lower elevation as the existing house. A partially exposed basement is proposed for the lower level, where it will daylight toward the western property line and be below grade toward the east. The main level will be located on top of the basement and the residence and would appear as a one-story residence from Barbara Avenue, where the topography is highest and where the existing flat building pad is located. Although most of the natural features and terrain of the site are no longer present, the proposed residence has been designed to follow the contours of

the existing site on the east and north elevations. The existing grade will be retained within the front yard setback. The portions of the lower level are proposed to be excavated more than the existing residence to provide a walk-out for the lower level along the west elevation, and to provide windows on the western end of the south elevation of the lower level.

The majority of the windows on both levels would face west, and a main-level deck is proposed over a lower level patio along the entire west elevation. As shown on the East-West Section 1 (Sheet A5.0) of the project plans (Attachment 2), the proposed finished floor of the basement level of the house would be slightly above the roofline of the existing downslope residence on Midori Court. The sightline from the majority of the proposed windows, patio doors, deck, and patio would be to the west over the downslope residences.

b. Building Form. The slopes of the roof should follow the natural contours (i.e., oriented in the same direction as the slope). The bulk of the building should be broken up by incorporating varied roof heights rather than having just one or two massive roof planes. Expansive facades shall be avoided by offsetting walls and architectural elements to produce patterns of light and shade. Second stories shall be set back from the first floor as specified herein to step with the slope so that bulk is minimized.

The proposed roof plan shows a variety of hipped roof planes with ridgelines running east/west and north/south, as well as two flat areas. The elevations of the ridgelines and flat areas vary, providing varied roof heights and breaking up the massing of the roof. The front (east elevation) of the proposed residence provides articulation through a recessed entry and varied façade setbacks, with the garage set back 20 feet from the property line and the remaining façade stepped back 35.5 and 36.5 feet. The proposed main level deck provides an architectural element to break up the rear (west) façade of the residence.

According to SBMC 17.48.020(H), any portion of a structure located below grade is required to be set back 40 feet from the rear property line, while any at-grade portion of a structure is required to be set back an additional 10 feet, with a minimum 50-foot setback from the rear property line. As designed, the proposed residence meets or exceeds these requirements.

c. Fences and Retaining Walls. Solid fencing should be avoided where visible to the public. Retaining walls should be landscaped so that they blend with surroundings and reduce visual impacts.

The project includes retaining walls and fences along the side property lines within the front and side-yard setbacks. These fences will be screened from public view by six-foot high hedges.

d. Color and Materials. Exterior colors of all structures shall use natural dark, or earth tones. Light, bright and reflective materials are to be avoided.

A condition of project approval has been added to the project to indicate that the exterior structure shall utilize natural dark or earth tones and that the light, bright and reflective materials shall be minimized to the extent feasible.

e. Vegetation. Vegetation shall be used to blend structures with the surrounding landscape, soften the impact of development on manufactured slopes, and provide erosion control. Landscaping shall be used to screen views of down slope elevations. Landscaping material shall consist of native or naturalized plant material that blends naturally with the landscape and provides screening. An irrigation system that utilizes water and energy conservation techniques, sufficient to establish, and if required, facilitate revegetation and minimize erosion shall be used. Required rear yard landscape buffer areas shall be planted with land material that is sufficient in size and quantity to adequately buffer and screen views from down slope elevations to minimize impacts within existing adjacent residential development. Landscaping shall not exceed the height of adjacent rooflines, either when installed or when they reach maturity.

The project is required to comply with the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance of SBMC 17.56, which requires the Applicants to provide a full Landscape Documentation Package building permit approval. prior to The Landscaping Documentation Package will provide the proposed species and location of native vegetation to be planted on site as well as the type of water efficient irrigation systems to be installed and a maintenance schedule. The proposed plans in Attachment 1 provide a preliminary plan of the proposed landscaping and how it will provide screening for the proposed development. SBMC 17.48.020(H)(3) requires that slopes that exist in an unnatural condition provide a minimum 20-foot landscaped buffer area along the rear property line to provide visual screening for

adjacent residents to the west, as well as minimize view blockage for residents to the east. The Applicants propose to landscape this area with a variety of shrubs and groundcover. A condition of project approval has been added to the attached Resolution to indicate that landscaping shall not exceed the height of the adjacent rooflines when installed or at maturity.

f. Lighting. All exterior lighting shall be designed pursuant to SBMC 17.48.010(F)(8).

A condition of project approval includes that all new exterior lighting fixtures comply with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance (SBMC 17.60.060). All light fixtures shall be shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities as to be detrimental to the surrounding area.

g. Noise. Noise levels shall not exceed the dBA levels set forth in the city of Solana Beach noise ordinance. Intervening structures may be required to be incorporated into the site design to minimize noise impacts.

A condition of project approval includes that the Applicants will be required to comply with the regulations of the noise ordinance of SMBC 7.34.

- C. In accordance with Section 17.48.010 (Scenic Area Overlay Zone) of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:
  - I. The development activity meets the intent of all performance standards set forth herein:
    - a. Building Characteristics. All development shall be compatible with the topography, vegetation and colors of the natural environment and with the scenic, historic and recreation resources of the designated areas.

The proposed residence, as shown in view analysis, will be developed to a similar height as the existing residences to the north and south. The proposed residence will appear as a single story from the eastern elevation. The proposed lower level will be built into the existing slope, with the entire west elevation designed as a walk-out basement with patio doors across the rear and windows located on the west end of the south elevation. Native, non-invasive vegetation is required in compliance with the Water Efficient Landscaping Regulations that will provide screening of the project for the adjacent properties. The project has been conditioned to be constructed with exterior colors that are natural dark or earth toned to the extent feasible.

b. Building Structure and Placement. The placement of buildings and structures shall not detract from the visual setting or obstruct significant views, and shall be compatible with the topography of the site and adjacent areas. In prime viewshed areas designated in the general plan, building and structures should not be placed along bluff-top silhouette lines or on the adjacent slopes within view from a lagoon area, but should be clustered along the bases of the bluffs and on the mesa tops set back from the bluff-top silhouette lines. Buildings and structures should be sited to provide unobstructed view corridors from the nearest scenic highway, or view corridor road. These criteria may be modified when necessary to mitigate other overriding environmental considerations such as protection of habitat or wildlife corridors.

The proposed structure would expand the footprint of the existing structure within the buildable area of the lot. The residence would appear as a single-story from Barbara Avenue with a lower level built partially into the existing slope. The lower level improvements include the floor area and deck off the main level, would be setback at least 40 feet from the rear property line. The main level would be setback at least 50 feet from the rear property line.

The proposed design went through the required 30-day public noticing period required for the Structure Development Permit because the proposed residence will exceed 16 feet in height from the pre-existing grade. The entire process is discussed further in this report. Three appeals were received by the City, however, the Applicants modified the design in response to the neighbors' concerns and all three view claims were withdrawn. Therefore, it could be found that the proposed design, as story poled, will not obstruct significant views.

 c. Landscaping. The removal of native vegetation shall be minimized and the replacement vegetation and landscaping shall be compatible with the vegetation of the designated area. Landscaping and plantings shall be used to the maximum extent practicable to screen those features listed in paragraphs (F)(4), (5) and (6) of this subsection. Landscaping and plantings shall not obstruct significant views, either when installed or when they reach mature growth.

The project is required to comply with the Water Efficient Landscaping Regulations of SBMC 17.56, which require native, non-invasive plant species for any new landscaping. Landscaping is proposed to screen the proposed retaining walls at the rear and sides of the day lighting basement from adjacent properties. Landscaping is also proposed at the front of the structure. Proposed landscaping proposed has been conditioned so that the landscaping shall be maintained to ensure that it does not exceed the height of the adjacent rooflines when installed or at maturity. A majority of the 25-40% sloped area will be left in its existing condition.

d. Roads, Pedestrian Walkways, Parking and Storage Areas. Any development involving more than one building or structure shall provide common access roads and pedestrian walkways. Parking and outside storage areas shall be screened from view, to the maximum extent feasible, from either the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resource. Acceptable screening methods shall include, but are not limited to, the use of existing topography, the strategic placement of buildings and structures, or landscaping and plantings which harmonize with the natural landscape of the designated area.

The proposed driveway, parking, and storage areas will not be visible from the western or downslope properties. The proposed driveway, located at the southeast corner of the lot, will provide access to a two-car garage which will house the two 9' X 19' clear parking spaces. Storage areas are provided in the garage and basement area and will not be visible to adjacent properties. The refuse storage area is proposed to be located on the south side of the garage, behind a fence with gate, and will not be visible to the public. The air conditioning units are proposed to be located on the north side of the house and will be screened from public view.

e. Aboveground Utilities. Utilities shall be constructed and routed underground except in those situations where natural features prevent undergrounding or where safety considerations necessitate aboveground construction and routing.

The Engineering Department has placed a condition on the project that requires any new utility services shall be undergrounded.

f. Grading. The alteration of the natural topography of the site shall be minimized and shall avoid detrimental effects to the visual setting of the designated area and the existing natural drainage system. Alterations of the natural topography shall be screened from view from either the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resource by landscaping and plantings which harmonize with the natural landscape of the designated area, except when such alterations add variety to or otherwise enhance the visual setting of the designated area. However, design emphasis shall be placed on preserving the existing quality of scenic resources rather than concealment of disturbances or replacement in kind. In portions of the scenic area overlay zone containing sensitive lands, grading may be severely restricted or prohibited.

The project site is located within the Hillside Overlay Zone area of Barbara Avenue that was previously graded and exists in an unnatural condition. Therefore, there is no natural topography located onsite. Grading will take place to construct a walk-out basement that is exposed on the west elevation and partially exposed on the south elevation.

g. Signs. Off-site signs shall be prohibited in areas subject to the scenic area overlay zone, except temporary real estate signs pursuant to SBMC 17.64.060. The number, size, location, and design of all other signs shall be consistent with the Comprehensive Sign Ordinance (Chapter 17.64 SBMC) and shall not detract from the visual setting of the designated area or obstruct significant views.

The project consists of a new single-family residence, attached garage, and associated site improvements; therefore, signs are not proposed as part of the development.

h. Lighting. The interior and exterior lighting of the buildings and structures and the lighting of signs, roads and parking areas shall be compatible with the lighting permitted in the designated area. All exterior lighting, including lighting in designated "dark sky" areas, shall be in conformance with SBMC 17.60.060 (Exterior Lighting Regulations).

The project has been conditioned to meet City-wide exterior lighting regulations of SBMC 17.60.060 and the Noise Ordinance of SBMC 7.34.

D. In accordance with Section 17.63.040 (Structure Development Permit) of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, the City Council finds the following:

The proposed project proposes construction of a new residence that will exceed 16 feet in height from the pre-existing grade; therefore, the project must comply with all the requirements of SBMC Chapter 17.63 (View Assessment) and the Applicants must complete the SDP process. A Story Pole Height Certificate was issued by a licensed land surveyor on June 13, 2018, which showed a maximum building height of 25.0 feet above the existing grade. The highest story pole was certified at 157.94 feet above MSL as measured from the existing grade. Notices to apply for View Assessment were mailed to property owners and occupants within 300 feet of the project site, which established a deadline to file for View Assessment by neighboring property owners were received by the City (Mayo, Larkin, and Filler).

The Applicants worked with the View Claimants to address their concerns related to the proposed height of the maximum ridgeline and chimney as well as proposed landscaping. Proposed changes included lowering the proposed grade by 6 inches; lowering the overall roof pitch; and lowering the chimney heights to the minimum pursuant to California Building Code. The proposed ridgelines were lowered a total of 15 inches between the lowering of the grade and reduction of the roof pitch. Prior to scheduling the project for a View Assessment Commission (VAC) meeting, all three View Claimants withdrew their applications. With no outstanding View Assessment claims, the project has satisfied the requirements of the View Assessment Ordinance. Therefore, if the Council is able to make the required findings to approve the DRP, the SDP would be approved administratively. It should be noted that the story poles were not modified to reflect the lowered roofline, although the project plans accurately reflect the final proposal.

As a condition of approval, a height certificate prepared by a licensed land surveyor will be required prior to the framing inspection certifying that the maximum height of the proposed structure (including framing and roofing materials) will not exceed 24.32 feet above the proposed grade or 156.65 feet above the Mean Sea Level (MSL), which is the maximum proposed structure height reflected on the project plans.

# 4. CONDITIONS

Prior to use or development of the property in reliance on this permit, the Applicants shall provide for and adhere to the following conditions:

- A. Community Development Department Conditions:
  - I. The Applicants shall pay required Public Facilities Fees, as established by SBMC Section 17.72.020 and Resolution 1997-36.
  - II. Building Permit plans must be in substantial conformance with the plans presented to the City Council on April 10, 2019 and located in the project file with a submittal date of October 29, 2018.
  - III. Prior to requesting a framing inspection, the Applicants will be required to submit two height certifications signed by a licensed land surveyor certifying that the building envelope is in conformance with the plans dated October 29, 2018, as approved by the City Council on April 10, 2019, and that the structure will not exceed 24.32 feet in height or 156.65 feet above MSL from the proposed finished grade.
  - IV. Any proposed onsite fences, walls, retaining walls, and any proposed railing located on top or any combination thereof shall comply with applicable regulations of SBMC Section 17.20.040 and 17.60.070 (Fences and Walls).
  - V. The Applicants shall obtain required CCC approval of a Coastal Development Permit, Waiver or Exemption as determined necessary by the CCC, prior to the issuance of a building permit by the City.
  - VI. Any new exterior lighting fixtures shall be in conformance with the City-Wide Lighting Regulations of SBMC 17.60.060.
  - VII. All light fixtures shall be appropriately shielded so that no light or glare is transmitted or reflected in such concentrated quantities or intensities that render them detrimental to the surrounding area.
  - VIII. The Applicants shall submit detailed construction landscape drawings that will be reviewed by the City's third-party landscape architect and shall be in substantial conformance with the conceptual plan presented to the City Council on April 10, 2019. In addition, the City's third-party landscape architect will perform a final inspection to verify substantial conformance onsite prior to final occupancy.
  - IX. Native, drought-tolerant and non-invasive plant materials and water-

conserving irrigation systems shall be incorporated into any proposed landscaping and compatible with the surrounding area to the extent feasible.

- X. Proposed landscaping has been conditioned so that the landscaping shall be maintained to ensure that it does not exceed the height of the adjacent rooflines when installed or at maturity.
- XI. Any existing above-ground utilities to remain shall be screened from public view from either the scenic highway or the adjacent scenic, historic, or recreational resources by the placement of buildings and structures, or by landscaping and plantings.
- XII. The exterior structure shall utilize natural dark or earth tones and that the use of light, bright, and reflective materials shall be minimized to the extent feasible.
- XIII. The Applicants shall comply with the regulations of the Noise Ordinance of SMBC 7.34.
- XIV. Construction vehicles shall be parked on the subject property at all times feasible. If construction activity prohibits parking on the subject property, the Applicants shall ensure construction vehicles are parked in such a way to allow sufficient vehicular access on the street and minimize impact to the surrounding neighbors.
- XV. The Applicants shall connect to temporary electrical service as soon as feasible to the satisfaction of the City.
- B. Fire Department Conditions: Please note that this list provides detailed Fire Department requirements and is not meant to be an all-inclusive plan check list of the Fire Department comments.
  - I. OBSTRUCTION OF ROADWAYS DURING CONSTRUCTION: All roadways shall be a minimum of 24 feet in width during construction and maintained free and clear, including the parking of vehicles, in accordance with the California Fire Code and the Fire Department.
  - II. ADDRESS NUMBERS: Street Numbers: Approved numbers and/or addresses shall be placed on all new and existing buildings and at appropriate additional locations as to be plainly visible and legible from the street or roadway fronting the property from either direction of approach. Said numbers shall contrast with their background and shall meet the following minimum standards as to size: 4" high with a ½" inch stroke width for residential buildings, 8" high with a ½" stroke

for commercial and multi-family residential buildings, 12" high with a 1" stroke for industrial buildings. Additional numbers shall be required where deemed necessary by the Fire Marshal, such as rear access doors, building corners, and entrances to commercial centers.

- III. AUTOMATIC FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM ONE AND TWO FAMILY DWELLINGS: Structures shall be protected subject to the current edition of the California Fire Code by an automatic fire sprinkler system designed and installed to the satisfaction of the Fire Department. Plans for the automatic fire sprinkler system shall be approved by the Fire Department prior to installation.
- IV. SMOKE DETECTORS/CARBON MONOXIDE ALARMS/FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEMS: Smoke detectors/carbon monoxide alarms/fire sprinklers shall be inspected by the Solana Beach Fire Department.
- V. CLASS "A" ROOF: All structures shall be provided with a Class "A" Roof covering to the satisfaction of the Solana Beach Fire Department.
- VI. SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC INSTALLATIONS (Solar Panels): Solar Photovoltaic systems shall be installed per the California Fire Code and Solana Beach Fire Department requirements.
- C. Engineering Department Conditions:
  - 1. Obtain an Encroachment Permit in accordance with Chapter 11.20 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, prior to the construction of any improvements within the public right-of way including, but not limited to, demolition and construction of surface improvements. All proposed improvements within the public right-of-way shall comply with City standards including, but not limited to, the Off-Street Parking Design Manual.
  - II. Improvements shall include, but not be limited to, the construction of a 19" swale and Stabilized, Compacted Decomposed Granite (D.G.) at 2% maximum cross slope connecting to the G-14A driveway approach as shown on the Preliminary Grading Plan prepared by Pasco Laret Suiter and Associates dated 4/28/2017.
  - III. Construction fencing shall be located on the subject property unless the Applicants have obtained an Encroachment Permit in accordance with Chapter 11.20 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code, which allows otherwise.

- IV. The proposed walkway within the public right-of-way, and all other private improvements in the public right-of-way, shall be subject to an Encroachment Maintenance Removal Agreement (EMRA).
- V. All construction demolition materials shall be recycled according to the City's Construction and Demolition recycling program and an approved Waste Management Plan shall be submitted.
- VI. All new utility services shall be installed underground.
- VII. GRADING: Prior to issuance of a Building Permit, the Applicants shall obtain a Grading Permit and provide Pad Certifications from Registered Land Surveyor and Soils Engineer. Conditions prior to the issuance of a Grading Permit shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
  - i. The Grading Plan shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer and approved by the City Engineer. On-site grading design and construction shall be in accordance with Chapter 15.40 of the Solana Beach Municipal Code.
  - j. A Soils Report shall be prepared by a Registered Soils Engineer and approved by the City Engineer. All necessary measures shall be taken and implemented to assure slope stability, erosion control and soil integrity. The Grading Plan shall incorporate all recommendations contained in the soils report.
  - k. The proposed drainage design for this project shall include a drainage system consistent with the recommendations of the Hydrology Study prepared by Pasco, Laret, Suiter and Associates, Inc. dated July 26<sup>th</sup>, 2017. The outflow system shall be designed and constructed so that the rate of runoff for the proposed development is the same or less than that of existing condition.
  - I. An easement shall be recorded over the detention basin for maintenance by the property owner in perpetuity.
  - m. All retaining walls and drainage structures shall be shown. Retaining walls shown on the Grading Plan shall conform to the San Diego Regional Standards or be designed by a licensed civil engineer. Engineering calculations for all designed walls with a surcharge and nonstandard walls shall be submitted at grading plan check. Retaining walls may not exceed the allowable height within the property line setback as determined

by the City of Solana Beach Municipal Code. Contact the Community Development department for further information.

- n. The Applicants are responsible to protect the adjacent properties during construction. If any grading or other types of construction are anticipated beyond the property lines, the Applicants shall obtain a written permission from the adjoining property owners for incidental grading or construction that may occur and submit the letter to the City Engineer prior to the anticipated work.
- o. Pay grading plan check fee in accordance with the current Engineering Fee Schedule at initial grading plan submittal. Inspection fees shall be paid prior to issuance of the grading permit.
- p. Obtain and submit grading security in a form prescribed by the City Engineer.
- q. Obtain haul permit for import / export of soil. The Applicants shall transport all excavated material to a legal disposal site.
- r. Submit certification from the Engineer of Record and the Soils Engineer that all public or private drainage facilities and finished grades are functioning and are installed in accordance with the approved plans. This shall be accomplished by the Engineer of Record incorporating as-built conditions on the Mylar grading plans and obtaining signatures of the Engineer of Record and the Soils Engineer certifying the as-built conditions.
- s. An Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan shall be prepared. Best management practices shall be developed and implemented to manage storm water and non-storm water discharges from the site at all times during excavation and grading activities. Erosion prevention shall be emphasized as the most important measure for keeping sediment on site during excavation and grading activities. Sediment controls shall be used as a supplement to erosion prevention for keeping sediment on site.
- t. Show all proposed on-site private drainage facilities intended to discharge water run-off. Elements of this design shall include a hydrologic and hydraulic analysis verifying the adequacy of the facilities and identify any easements or structures required to properly convey the drainage. The construction of drainage

structures shall comply with the standards set forth by the San Diego Regional Standard Drawings.

- u. Post Construction Best Management Practices meeting City and RWQCB Order No. R9-2013-001 requirements shall be implemented in the drainage design.
- v. No increased cross lot drainage shall be allowed.
- w. Due to actual field conditions encountered during construction, additional Engineering Department conditions may be added as warranted.
- 5. ENFORCEMENT: Pursuant to SBMC 17.72.120(B) failure to satisfy any and all of the above-mentioned conditions of approval is subject to the imposition of penalties as set forth in SBMC Chapters 1.1.6 and 1.18 in addition to any applicable revocation proceedings.
- 6. EXPIRATION: The Development Review Permit for the project will 24 months from the date of this Resolution, unless the Applicants have obtained building permits and has commenced construction prior to that date, and diligently pursued construction to completion. An extension of the application may be granted by the City Council according to SBMC 17.72.110.
- 7. INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT: The Applicants shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City, its agents, officers, and employees from any and all claims, actions, proceedings, damages, judgments, or costs, including attorney's fees, against the City or its agents, officers, or employees, relating to the issuance of this permit including, but not limited to, any action to attack, set aside, void, challenge, or annul this development approval and any environmental document or decision. The City will promptly notify the Applicants of any claim, action, or proceeding. The City may elect to conduct its own defense, participate in its own defense, or obtain independent legal counsel in defense of any claim related to this indemnification. In the event of such election, the Applicants shall pay all of the costs related thereto, including without limitation reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In the event of a disagreement between the City and Applicants regarding litigation issues, the City shall have the authority to control the litigation and make litigation related decisions, including, but not limited to, settlement or other disposition of the matter. However, the Applicants shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless such settlement is approved by the Applicants.

NOTICE TO APPLICANTS: Pursuant to Government Code Section 66020, you are hereby notified that the 90-day period to protest the imposition of the fees, dedications, reservations or other exactions described in this resolution commences

on the effective date of this resolution. To protest the imposition of any fee, dedications, reservations or other exactions described in this resolution you must comply with the provisions of Government Code Section 66020. Generally the resolution is effective upon expiration of the tenth day following the date of adoption of this resolution, unless the resolution is appealed or called for review as provided in the Solana Beach Zoning Ordinance.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Solana Beach, California, held on the 10th day of April 2019, by the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers -

NOES: Councilmembers -

ABSENT: Councilmembers -

ABSTAIN: Councilmembers -

DAVID A. ZITO, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ATTEST:

JOHANNA N. CANLAS, City Attorney

ANGELA IVEY, City Clerk

Struct	SNYDE CITY O ture Development P	SCOPE OF WORK           • EXMONTON OF EXTING (1.128 07) BARGE STOY WEBDACE.           • EDIORTING OF ANY WHAT STOY WARD STOY WEBDACE.           • EDIORTING OF ANY WHAT STOY WARD STORE SERVICE WITH A TULL           • EDIORTING OF ANY WHAT STOY WARD STORE SERVICE WITH A TULL           • PROJECT INFORMATION           • PROJECT INFORMATION           • OWNER         GROSS STIL ARIA           • BARDING ARE, SCHWARKALL OF 1001           • OWNER         GROSS STIL ARIA           • BARDING REQUIREMENTS         10.535 50 JT           • STIE ADDRISS         PARKING REQUIREMENTS           • 70 BARMA ARE, SCHWARKACL OR 1001         156           • ZORE         MAX BURDING HEIGHT           • STIE ADDRISS         YEAR BURI           • ZORE         MAX BURDING HEIGHT           • ZORE         NUMBER OF STORES           • STIE ADDRISS         NUMBER OF STORES           • TORE         NUMBER OF STORES           • TORE OF WARD 200         NUMBER OF STORES           • TORE OF WARD 200         NUMBER OF STORES           • TORE OF WARD ARE OF STORES         STORES           • TORE OF WARD ARE OF STORES         NUMBER OF STORES           • TORE OF WARD ARE OF STORES         STORES           • TORE OF WARD ARE OF STORES         STORESTORESTORESTORESTO	ISLAND		
A DEFINITION OF A DEFINITION O	VICINITY MAP		LOTIONA AND 2.77213 721     LOTIONA 1033850 71 1007     LOTIONA 1033850 71 1007	FAR CALCULATIONS           MULTICAL           MULTICAL         121534           Console Math         535 97           Console Math         535 97           Console Math         535 97           Station Math         175 97           Convert Burnicow         (1021 - 37) 97           Convert Burnicow         1021 - 320 97           Convert Burnicow         1021 - 320 97           Station Math         1021 97           Station Math         1021 97           Stati Bale Math         1021 97      <	





























# GENERAL LEGEND

1. BUILDING SET BACK LINE

16. TRELLIS

17 WATER FOUNTAIN ( H=24")

21. SITE ACCESS GATE ( H=36')

22. DRIVE WAY, PER CMIL PLAN

25. AC EQUIPMENT, PER CIVIL PLAN

19. STONE WALL ( H=36"), TO BE DESIGNED BY

20. POURED-IN-PLACE CONCRETE PADS

23. 6' SIDE WOOD FENCE W/ ACCESS GATE 24. GARDENING WORKSTATION BY OWNER

18. SIGN ON THE WALL

ARCHITECT

- 2. EXISTING CONCRETE WALL & STEPS TO REMAIN
- 3. IPE WOOD STAIRS
- 4. IPE WOOD BUILT-IN BENCH
- IPE WOOD BULLTIN
   IPE WOOD DECK
- 6. FIREPIT
- FREERIL
   STONE STEP BUILT-INTO GROUND, SOUTHWEST
- BOULDER & STONE, EL CID LEDGESTONE, NO
- LESS THAN 6" HEIGHT, 36" LENGTH & 12" DEPTH
- 8. WIRE PERMIETER FENCE
- 9. IRON PERIMETER FENCE ON THE WALL
- 10. STEEL EDGHNO
- 11. CONCRETE PLANTER W/ STONE VENEER
- 12. SPA (SEE ARCHITECTURE PLAN)
- 13. CONCRETE STEPS (SEE ARCHITECTURE PLAN)
- 14. BIORETENTION, PER CRVIL PLAN
- 15. ROOF / PATIO OVERHEAD LINE

- HARDSCAPE LEGEND
- PEDESTRIAN RATED CONCRETE PAVING, NATURAL GRAY, ACID ETCH FIRISH WITH SAW CUT JOINTS AS INDICATED
- VEHICULAR RATED CONCRETE PAVING, ACID ETCH FINISH, INTEGRAL COLOR SELECTED BY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

DECOMPOSED GRANITE, COYOTE GOLD

WOOD DECK

PLANTING AREA (MULCH)

PLANTING AREA (DECOMPOSED GRANITE)

PLANTING AREA (BIORETENTION) - PER CIVIL PLAN



ТОТАL LOT AHEA + 1500 S0 FT ВИДБИО FOOTPRINT - 320 S0 FT РАКЕР АКЕА - 2005 S0 FT IN996ATED LANDSCAPED AREA + 3774 S0 FT IN996ATED LANDSCAPED AREA + 0 S0 FT EXISTING OR PRIOPOSED WATER FEATURES + 28 S0 FT DECORATIVE HANDSCAPE AREA + 203 S0 FT

# STATEMENTS

 IAM FAMILIER WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR LANDSCAPE AND IRRIGATION PLANS CONTAINED IN THE CITY'S WATER EFFICIENT LANDSCAPE REGULATIONS HAVE PREPARED THIS FUN IN COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE REGULATIONS AND THE LINDSCAPE DESCH MANULLI CERTIFY THAT THE PLAN INFLEMENTS THOSE REGULATIONS TO PROVIDE EPTICIENT USE OF WATER.

m.

# CONSTRUCTION NOTES

VISIT THE SITE PRIOR TO SUBARTTING BIDS.

SUBMIT A UNIT COST FOR IMPORT SOM IN-PLACE AND BE COMPLETELY AWARE OF THE AMOUNT OF SOM NECESSARY TO REACH THE SATISFACTORY GROUND LEVEL.

VERIFY ALL PROPERTY LINES OR OTHER LIMIT OF WORK LINES PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

REMOVE ALL DEMOUTION ITEMS FROM THE PROJECT SITE AND DISPOSE OF IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND REGULATIONS, DO NOT BURY RUBBISH OR DEBRIS ON SITE.

REPARE OR REPLACE ANY DAMAGE TO ADJACENT PROPERTIES, CURBS, WALDS, PLANTING, WALLS, ETC. AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER.

VEREY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS, DREINSIONS AND ELEVATIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK, NOTIFY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT RAMEDIATELY SHOULD FIELD CONDITIONS VARY FROM THOSE SHOWN ON PLAN.

REPORT DEGREPANCIES IN THE DRAWINGS OR BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL FIELD CONSTICUTS TO THE LANDSCHE ARCHITECT, CORRECTED DRAWINGS OR INSTRUCTIONS SHALL BE ISSUED PRIOR TO THE CONTINUEND OF THIS WORK, ASSUME FLIL, RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL NECESSARY CORRECTIONS DUE TO FAILURE TO REPORT KNOWN DISCREPANCIES.

LOCATE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER SHOWN HEREIN OR NOT AND PROTECT THEM DAMAGE. NOTIFY CAMER IMAGEDUTELY IF DAMAGE OCCURS AND ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXPENSE OF REPART OR REPLACEMENT.

EXAMPL WITH ALL PROMISSON OF THE LITEST BUILDING COCE AND WITH OTHER CUMBERT TALKES. REGULATIONS MAN ORDINANCES GOVERNME THE PLACE OF THE WORK BUILDING COCE REQUESTIONS TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER THE BOWINGS AND IT SAULL BE THE RESONNEMENTY OF ANYONE SUPPORTING LAGOR OR MUNERALS OF BOTH OTBINS TO THE ALTICITION OF THE ARCHITECT ANY DISCOMENNICES OR CONFLICTS BETWEEN THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COCE AND THE DRAWNES.

LOCATIONS OF N.L.C. CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS SUCH AS LIGHTS, SIGHN, VENTS, HYDRANTS, TRANSFORMERS, ETC., ARE APPROXIMATE, MOTIFY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT IMMEDIATELY SHOULD THE LOCATION OF THESE ITEMS INTERFERE WITH THE PROPER EXECUTION OF WORK.

VERFY ALL PAVING AND HARDSCAPE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. WITH SOL ENGINEER'S REPORT WITH REGARD TO BASE PREPARATION AND FOOTING REQUIREMENTS, NOTIFY OWNER INNEDWITELY IF SOLIS REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS OF FER FROM DIAWINGS, STATUS REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS, IF MORE STRINGENT THAN THE DRAWINGS, SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE.

BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATION BETWEEN SUBCONTRACTORS FOR PROPER AND TIMELY PLACEMENT OF SLEEVING, PIPHIG AND/OR CONDUIT INSTALLATION UNDER OR THROUGH LANDSCAPE ELEMENTS.

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

SEE CIVIL ENGINEER'S PLAN FOR PRECISE GRADING AND DRAMAGE INFORMATION.

PROVIDE A REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE OF EACH PAINTED OR STANED ELEMENT TO THE OWNER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO APPLYING FINISH. REFER TO DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SPECIFIC SUMMITTAL REQUIRIEMENTS

PROVIDE A 4" x 4" SAMPLE OF EACH PAVING TYPE IN LOCATION SPECIFICD BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. REFER TO SPECIFICATIONS.

WHERE PAYING AND FINSH GRADE MEET, DEPRESS FINISH GRADE 1' IN TURF AREAS AND 1 1/2 ' IN GROUND COVER AREAS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

DO NOT EXCEED 3.1 SLOPE IN TURF AREAS.

DO NOT EXCEED 2.1 SLOPE IN PLANTED AREAS.

HOLD FINISH GRADE A MINIMUM OF 5" BELOW FINISH FLOOR UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

CONSTRUCT ALL "CURVE TO CURVE" AND "CURVE TO TANGENT" LINES TO BE NEAT, TRIM, SMOOTH AND UNFORM.

CONSTRUCT ALL CONCRETE WITH A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 3,000 PSI @ 26 DAYS.

PROVIDE DWNER WITH ALL WARRANTIES, QUARANTEES, AND INSTRUCTION MANUALS FOR EQUIPMENT, APPUANCES, FIXTURES, w. AS DESCRIBED IN THE SPECIFICATIONS.

PROVIDE WEED CONTROL FABRIC UNDER DECOMPOSED GRANITE, PROVIDE 12' OVERLAPPED SEENS IN FABRIC AND STAKE TO GROUND AT 12' O.C.

DECOMPOSED GRANITE GROUND COVERS SHALL BE INSTALLED AT A DEPTH NECESSARY TO COMPLETELY CONCEAL WEEK CONTROL FABRIC BELOW.

GRAPHIC SCALE #

(IN FILET ) INCH = 1 F A



SNYDER RESIDENCE

C REAL PROVIDED

R COMSTIR HAVE NOT BEI COVERNING A SUBLECT TO

ANS H H H H

HERE PLAN

Provension of the second secon

17.9



100.2

141-11

¢

25-0\*

٥

SAFT TIME SUNTING SUNT

DATE: 315/2018

SHEET NO.

MLA.SO, INC.

Ö



.



NOV FOR CONSTRU THESE PLANS HAVE NOT BEEL BY THE COVERNING AG AND ARE SUBJECT TO C

SNYDER RESIDENCE

5

LICTION DE? T

3

SHEET

DATE

9 0 1 =

SHEET



PLANT SCHEDULE BOTANCA: NAM MATURE HXS FORM FUNCTION VERY LOW LOW VEDIUM 36'BOX 7'CAL MIN 10-20 X 10 NEL TH TSULY CERCIS OCCIDENTALS ACCEN . TERM NEEDED ALL TATIONS 10000 8-12 X 4 10711 DONEA VIRCIDIA PURPLINEA COLINA ( · ) 5-20 X 1 (•) HELL OUT SHRUBS CODE OTY BOTANCAL NAME CONT SPACING HOL FUNCTION VERYLOW LOW MEDIZAN HIGH FORM AGAVE ATTENUAT 3 \$407 ACCEN  $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ ARBUTUS UNEDO COMPACITA DWARF STRANDERRY TREE TCAL MIN ACCEN  $\cap$ CEANOTHUS X CONCH CALIFORNIA (LAC GAL  $\bigcirc$ CITRUS X SINENSIS DWARF WASHINGTO DWARF WASHINGTON NAVEL ORANGE (• CRASSULA ARGENTEA MOCTIN юGч. 45.5404 £-? CYPERUS PAPYR PAPYRUS  $(\cdot)$ PITTOSPORUM TENLIFOLUM BEACH BAL SGA:  $\odot$ TY BOTANCAL VINEESPALIER CONT SPACING FUNCTION VERYLOW LOW MEDIUM / HIGH WISTERIA SINENSIS BLUE BLUE CHINESE WISTERIA E GAL AS SHOW ACCEN Dem SHALL AREA CODE ORY BOBANICAL NA FUNCTION VERYLOW LOW MEDKINA HIG

IGAL LCOC

GA: 13.00 ....

DPOU!

DOLDS TO VIT PR

.

Ŕ

ON PEET

ACHILEA MILEFOLM

THE R. LANS BOUTHLOUA GRACELIS YELCHOE AMOUTON

such expressions, and parts constitut and referred to instruction by the second and an the second of Milliand

	ъ	23	UPLOBLA CALFORNICLES CALFORNIA PUCIESA	1 GAL	15° O.C.	7.82	CLUMPING	SID-RETENTION		x		
	LH.	6	LAWADLEA ANBUETPOLIA YEDOUTE HECOTE LAVEREER	3 GAL	× 0C	2.82	CLUMPING	ACCENT		x		
	LT	ю	LINERTRUG JAPONICUM TINAAUJAP WUX LEAF PRIVET	S GAL	Nº OC	318	HEDGE	HEDGE			X	
1999	<b>W</b> A	21	INFELENDING CAPILIAND WEGAL MIT TH MARLY	SGAL	36.00	3 X 3	BROAD	ACCENT		1	×	
	чc	150	NYNCA CALIFORNICA PACIFIC WAX WYNTLE	15 GAL	24.00	сн	PRIGHT	HEDGE		×		
	***	28	NEPETA X FAASSENS WALKERS LOW WALAERS LOW CATABAT	IGA	x.oc	2.82	CLUMPING	ACCENT			X	
	PB	123	PENNISETUN ALOPECIPIONES LITTLE BUHNY UTTLE BUNNY KOUNTAIN GRASS	5 GAL	24° O C	1 XZ	BROAD	ACCENT		x		
	240	56	PENNISETUN SETACELIN RUBRLIN RURPLE FOUNTAIN GRASS	3 GAL.	¥.0C	2 72	99042	ACCENT		×	x	
AN COM	R91	98	ROMAN BEAUTY ROBENARY	5 GAL	34.0C	2.2	CLUNPING	ACCENT		x		
MM	W.	42	WOODWARDAA FINDRATA GIANT CHAIN FERN	E GAL	24" 0 C.	2.82	CLUMPING	ACCENT			x	
GROUND COVINE	CODE	άľγ	BOTANICAL NAME	CONT	SPACING	HKS	FORM	FUNCTION	VERY LOW	LOW	NEDVIN	HGH
	82	475	BACCHARS PLULARS PIBEON POINT COYOTE BRUSH	1 GAL	12.00	2.4	CLUMPING	GROUNDCOVER		x		
	77	4	DAMELLA CAERULEA "CASSA BLUE" CASSA BLUE FLANLEY	3 GA.	12.0 C	1.84	CLEMPING	GROUNDCONER		×		
	£4	46	ÈRKERON KARWINSKIANUS Santa Barbara Daiby	5 GAL	17 0 C	1 31	CLUNPING	SHOUNDCOVER		x		
1999)	fΨ	6	FESTUCA MAREI ATUAS FESCUE	S GAL	1700.	1.21	C. 319714G	GROUNDCOMER		2		
88838 8	HC	33	HEUCHERA SANGUNEA COMAL BELLIS	5 GAL	IF OC	121	CLUMPING	SHOLNOCOMER		X	x	
	لمل :	43	LIRXOPE MUSCARI LLEY TURF	I GAL	18.00	177	CLUMPING	GROUNDCOVER			x	
	15	2.113	THYMUS PRAECOX PINK CHINTZ PINK CHINTZ CREEPING TKYME	FLATS	0°0C.	EH.	CHREPING	GROUNDCOVER		X	x	

NOTE: ANY OUT SLOPES MORE THAN FIVE FEET IN HEIGHT AND ANY FILL SLOPES MORE. THAN THREE FEET IN HEIGHT (SHOWN OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS) MUST BE STABILIZED WITH REINFORCED STRAW MATTING AND PLANTED TO PREVENT EROSION

BARBARA AVENUE

ARCHITECT FOR SAID LOCATIONS PRIOR TO BEGINNING ANY WORK

ORAINAGE IN ALL PLANTING AREAS 2% LINNIALIM

IF APPLICABLE: OBTAIN COPY OF LATEST CIVIL ENGINEER'S PARKING STRIPING PLAN. ALIGN TREES WITH PARKING STRIPES IN PARKING LOTS AS SHOWN.

LOCATE AND TAG ALL PLANT MATERIAL, MATERIAL SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH PLANTING PLAN LECREMON DIS LES COMMINISERUE AURALES SUEL SE INSTRUMENTE UN TRAINING FUN BECREMONS SECONDING ALL PLANT MIERDE SELECT DI REFERINS AD APRICAL PILOR TO NSTALLATION PROTOE PHOTOS DI REPRESENTANTE EXAMPLES DE EACH AGGE BLACT TO LANSCARE ANOLITECT IMMEMBEL DI STA SEGUE ANTERIO DELEMPT AD CALE DI ADVIDUE TA DI DI STA SEGUE ANTERIO DELEMPT ANTERIO TO ESCLE PAPOSOS. L'ADOCARE ARCHITECTI MI CHI TO REVEN MATEMIA LA CROMO MIRSEMI VIETO ESURVISIO DI AUTORI DE STA DI CALE DE DASCIO NU MELINIO. APPEARANCE OR NON CONFORMANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS EVEN IF PREVIOUSLY REVIEWED BY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR OWNER.

ALL TREES WITHIN A SPECIES SHALL HAVE MATCHING FORM.

FINAL LOCATION OF ALL PLANT MATERIALS SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE OWNER'S AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

PLANTING QUANTITIES ARE GIVEN FOR CONVENIENCE ONLY, PLANT SYMBOLS AND SPECIFIED SPACING SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE

AT EDGES OF PLANTING AREAS. THE CENTER LINE OF THE LAST ROW OF SHRUSS AND/OR GROUND COVER SHALL BE LOCATED NO FARTHER FROM THE EDGE THAN ONE-HALF THE SPECIFIED ON-CENTER SPACING

INSTALL GROUND COVER AND/OR SHRUB MASSES WITH TRIANGULAR SPACING UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

PROVIDE FERTILIZER TABLETS FOR EACH CONTAINER GROWN PLANT 1 GALLON AND LARGER PER SPECIFICATIONS.

FOR ALL TREES WITHIN 5-0" OF PAVING, INSTALL ROOT BARRIER ALONG PAVING EDGE. BY DEEP ROOT CORPORATION (SOD) 458-7658

ALL CURVE TO CURVE AND CURVE TO TANGENT LINES SHALL BE NEAT, TRM. SMOOTH

REMOVE ALL NURSERY STAKES AND ESPALIER RACKS IMMEDIATELY AFTER INSTALLATION UPON PROVIDING SUPPORT PER PLAN

LIST ON THE PLANT MATERIAL QUARANTEE ALL EXISTING TREES THAT HAVE HAD WORK PERFORMED

DURING THE LENGTH OF THE GUARANTEE PERIOD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROPER STAKING AND/OR GUYING OF TREES TO ENSURE STABILITY.

MALCH ALL LANDSCAPE AREAS (EXCLUONG TURF) HAVING LESS THAN A 2-1 SLOPE WITH A 3" DEEP LAYER OF NEDIAN GRIND MALCH AT THE CONCLUSION OF PLANTING OPERATIONS, SAMPLE TO BE APPROVED BY LA

ШĒ

HEET

MLA.SD. INC. Q

Ω

R CONSTRUCTION HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED E GOVERHING AGENCY E SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

NOT FOR ( THESE PLANS HA BY THE GR

LONG TERM MAINTENANCE NOTES

ALL RECURRED LANDSCAPE AREAS TO BE MAINTAINED BY OWNER. THE LANDSCAPED AREAS SHALL BE MAITANED FREE OFF DEBRIS AND LITTER AND ALL PLANT MAITERAL SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN A HEALTHY GROWING CONDITION. LANDSCAPE AS PART OF THE PROJECT SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND DRIVETED FOR DETERMENT. FIRIGATED FOR THE LIFE OF

SEVERE PRUNING OR 'TOPPING' OF TREES IS NOT PERMITTED. THE TREES SHALL BE MANITAINED IN A SAFE MANNER TO ALLOW EACH TREE TO GROW TO ITS MATURE HEIGHT AND SPREAD.

# PLANTING NOTES

REFER TO PLANTING SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAILS FOR SOIL PREPARATION, FERTULIZATION, MULCHING AND OTHER PLANTING INFORMATION.

NOTIFY DWHER'S AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF WORK TO COORDWITE PROJECT INSPECTION SCHEDULES. WORK. NOTIFY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT IMMEDIATELY SHOULD FIELD CONDITIONS VARY FROM THOSE SHOWN ON PLAN

REPORT DISCREPANCES IN THE DRAWINGS OR BETWEEN THE DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL FIELD CONDINGING TO THE LANDSCAPE ARCHTECT CORRECTED DRAWINGS OR INSTRUCTION SHALL BE ISSUED PRIOR TO THE CONTINUATION OF THIS WORK, ASSUME FLUI RESPONSEMITY FOR ALL NECESSARY CORRECTIONS DUE TO FALLINE TO REPORT KNOWN DISCREPANCES. LOCATE ALL EXISTING UTLITIES WHETHER SHOWN HEREON OR NOT AND PROTECT THEM FROM DAMAGE. NOTH- OWNERS REPRESENTATIVE INMEDIATELY AND ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXPENSE OF REPAR OR REPLACEMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH DAMAGED UTLITIES.

LOCATION OF N.I.C. CONSTRUCTION ELEMENTS SUCH AS LIGHTS, SIGNS, VENTS HYDRANTS, TRANSFORMERS, ETC. ARE APPROXIMATE. NOTIFY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT BANEDIATELY SHOULD THE LOCATION OF THESE ITEMS INTERFERE WITH THE PROPER EXECUTION OF WORK

ORTAIN ALL SOR, FOR LANDSCAPE PLANTING AREAS OR BERNS FROM ON-SITE EXCAVATIONS. SHOULD IMPORT SOL BE INCESSANT, SUBJECT INFORMATIS SOL TESTING RESULTS FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO IMPORTATION, SOL SHALL BE SUBJECT AND LOAN CONTAINENG NO TOXIC CHEMICALS OF ELEMENTS WHICH MAY INHIBIT OR RETARD NORMAL PLANT

AFTER ROUGH GRADES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED IN PLANTING AREAS, HAVE SOIL SAMPLES TAKEN AT THE LOCATIONS INDICATED BY GORPOWER SOLS SERVICE, FOR SOM FERTILITY, ARROLL THAU SUTAREITY TEST HAD SOLP REPRANATION SERVEL FOR SME THE TRANSPORT OF SME TO SME TO SME THE MOST OF SME THE SME TO SME THE SME TO SME THE SM

KILL AND REMOVE ALL EXISTING WEEDS FROM SITE AREAS PER SPECIFICATIONS. ASSURE POSITIVE



a

LIGHTING SCHEDULE

- TAG DESCRIPTION UPUGHT FX LUMINARE-NP ZDC 3LED-FB 1
- PATHLIGHT OGLIGHTS-C250 WA-27 BK

.

.

- 0 UNDERWATER LIGHT FX LUNINAURE-LL-ZDC-3LED-RS
- $\triangle$ TRUNKSFORMER FR LIMENAURE- LEDC-500-55
- 0 ADDITIONAL, WEI LIGHT CONTROLLER
- 0 MOONLIGHT FX LUMINARE VE ZDC-3 LED PS-F8

MOTES: WALL LIGHT RE200-89 CR1-77 W90-HX-8K

PROVIDE REQUIRED LOW VOLTAGE WIRING FOR THE LANDSCAPE LOW VOLTAGE USHTING. WIRING SHALL BE RATED FOR OUTDOOR AND UNDERGROUND.

.

5

DATE.

SHER 10

MLASD INC C

-----

R

TILE 1 LEBY

SNYDER RESIDENCE

263 FION H

.





# IRRIGATION NOTES

DESCRIPTION IRRIGATION TYPE

HYDRO ZONE 2 WATER FEATURE

HYDRO ZONE 1 DRI

HYDRO ZONE 3 DRIP

HYDRO ZONE 4 DRIP

HYDRO ZONE 5 DRM

HYDRO ZONE 6 DRM

ALL DRIP VALVES SHOULD BE NEW RAIN BIRD XACZ-075-PRI

PRESSURE REGULATOR, FOR ABOVE GRADE INSTALLATION 0.20PM45GPM.)

(LOW FLOW DRP CONTROL NT, 34" LOW FLOW ANTESPHON VALVE, 34" PRESSURE REGULATING RBY FLITER, AND 30PSI

RAIN BIRD RZX6 CONTROLLER

RAIN BIRD RSD BEX RAIN SENSOR

ALL DRIP UNES SHOULD BE NEW METAFIN TLDU25-12 (TECHLINE PRESSURE COMPENSITING UNDSCAPE DRIPUBLE D280PH EMITTERS AT 1220'OLC DRIPUBLE LATERALS SPACED AT 120' APART, MIT EMITTERS OFFSET FOR TRANSULAR PATTERN.

SURFACE AND SUB SURFACE INSTALLATIONS. UV RESISTANT

ALL TREES USING TREE PANG INVIGATION (0.9 GPH & 12" O.C. APPROX, 20 LF OF TUBING PER TREE} ALL TREES SHOULD BE ON SEPARATE VALVES

LEGEND

SYMBOL

W

\*\*\*

C

R

ALL PRESSURE LINES SHALL BE TESTED FOR TWO HOURS UNDER HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE OF 150 PSI AND BE PROVEN WATER TIGHT, CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL EQUIPMENT FOR TEST.

THE IRRIGATION CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY WATER PRESSURE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION

THE FINAL LOCATION OF THE AUTOMATIC CONTROLLER SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE OWNERS AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE PHORT TO INSTALLATION TO VICE LECTROL, POMER AT THE AUTOMATIC CONTROLLER LOCATION SHALL BE PROMODED BY OTHERS IT SHALL BE THE REPORTSION TO THE PRINKING CONTROLLER TO MAKE FINAL HOOK UP FROM THE ELECTRICAL OUTLET TO THE CONTROLLER IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL ELECTRICAL CODE.

THIS DESIGN IS DARGNAMANTIC. ALL PIPHIC, VALVES, ETC. SHOWN WITHIN PAYED AREAS, UNDER BURDINGWALL FORMATIONS AND GUIDED OF THE MORECT BOUNDARY ARE FOR PLAN CLARECATION ONLY. NOTALL PIPHICA AND VALVES IN PLANTING AREAS, VERIER POSSELE, AND LOCATE CONTROL AND GUIDE CONTROL VALVES FOR TO IZ AWAY FROM HARDSCAPE OR TURF AREA FOR EASY ACCESS.

It is the responsenity of the irrigation continuctor to familyaze hinkelf with all grade differences. Location of walls structures and differes the irrigation continuctor shall exercise extreme care. And be responsent for any damage in excivating and working near utilities. He shall coordonate his work with the general contractor and differe sub-orthactors for the location of utilities. And the INSTALLATION OF PIPE SLEEVES THROUGH WALLS, UNDER ROADWAYS, AND NEAR STRUCTURES

DRAININGE OF WATER THROUGH SPRINLER HEAD WALL NOT BE ALLOWED. SOME SPRINLERS WAL REQUIRE THE INSTALLATION OF AN ANTIODAIN VALVE IN THE RISER MIRPLE TO PREVENT DRAWAGE. DARING THE CORREG OF CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALLA WITH DRAW NAVE AS REQUIRED A TWY SPRINLERS SHOWN SIGNS OF DRAWING AFTER THE RIREATION SYSTEM HAS OPENITED FROM AN ON TO OFF POSITION. INSTALLATION OF ALVES SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE BID PRICE WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OWNER

ALL PIPES ADJACENT TO SIDEWALKS, CURBS, AND OR AT TOP AND TOE OF SLOPES TO BE PVC BURIED AS PER THE DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

# WATER CONSERVATION STATEMENT

- IN RECOGNITION OF WATER AS A LIMITED RESOURCE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORMA, THE FOLLOWING MEASURES WILL BE UNDERTAKEN TO REDUCE THIS PROJECTS DEMAND ON THE CITY OF SOLVIN BEACH'S AVAILABLE WATER SUPPLY. I THE RIMATION SYSTEV WILL BE AUTOMATIC AND WILL INCORPORATE LOW
- 2.
- MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS PLANT MAITERAL MULLE SPECIFICIED IN CONSIDERATION OF NORTH SOUTH, EAST, AND WEST EXPOSIBLES SOU, WALL E& MARCEDE AND PREVARED TO PROMOE HEALTHY PLANT GROWTH AND COVERINGE AND TO PROVIDE FOR MAXIMUM MOSTIMER RETENTION. MOS PREVOLATION PLANTER BESS WALL BE MULCHED TO RETIN SOUL MOSTIMER REDUCE ENAPOTRANSPRINTION FROM THE BUICHED TO RETIN SOUL MOSTIMER AND REDUCE ENAPOTRANSPRINTION FROM THE BUICHED TO REDUCE DO R THE PROPER S AN FRINKATIONS STELE JOINTLE REVOLUCE JOE RECURED FOR THE PROPER S INFRIGATION DELECIPATION FROM THE MOVIES ADELECHED FOR THE PROPER S INFRIGATION DELECIPATION FROM THE MOVIES ADELECHED FOR THE PROPER S INFRIGATION DELECIPATION FROM THE MOVIES ADELECHED FOR THE PROPER S INFRIGATION DELECIPATION FROM THE MOVIES ADELECHED FOR THE PROPER S 4.
- 5 VEGETATION SELECTED
- AN IRRIGATION SYSTEM SHALL BE PROVIDED AS REQUIRED FOR THE PROPER 6. IRRIGATION, DEVELOPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF THE VEGETATION. THE DESIGN OF THE SYSTEM SHALL PROVIDE ADEQUATE SUPPORT OF THE VEGETATION SELECTED.



÷

BARBARA AVENUE



THLE

SEET

E E

(0

MLA.SD, INC. O

e

CONSTRUCTION Ave not been approve overning addicy

ROT R

62

**OOVERNIN** SUBLECT



LEGEND

LEGEND 1 EWISH GRADE

VVV



0

٨

в

8

С

TO BARMAN KUCH E

conditions on the log and MLA-SD must be notified of all exemption from the devenuence and conditions shows by these discretizes MLA-SD, INC.

## GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. Centración Duter: 1. Laced a construction provem ant part fun. a. Labor, materials and exactment. B. Tous, comharbone agregament del madualey. C. Construction agregament del madualey. C. Order lacables duter and anterial provide particular ant completent of others.
- of multi, 2 Pay legitly received sales, consulters, and use labous 3. Secure and pay for as retemany for proper execution and completen of work and as applicable at Line of received of bres: a Permitti b Government Seal.

- b. Lowerman test. 2. Like else established setter. 3. One established setter. 2. Somery web logate setterations, miles, regulators, orders, and other regating regularements of public setterations, minut logat on performance of work. Netting on there, Dimension to Report Setteration to be notification to legend work not combinency.
- These Desing or specifications is to incontinued to prove work or information to these scales. In these scales, the second scale of the scale of t
- Interfactors: The Owner and the Contentions shall wait and mapped the sets a since familiars improvements with som conditions, and study times downlow fully balance agreeing to work on the Proget. These agreements is well as the top do consistence verification, put out to sufficient be set and soft concentral.
- However, the theorem of the second s
- C 6
- caricleote become separent, immediately any and a set of the attantion of the Dense. 2. The Owner shall immediately, and in writing, using to the attantion of the 2. The Owner shall immediately any adverse job to see conditions, or any email so, preserved. c. the Owner shall connections, and in writing, using to the altantism of the Landscape Architect any adverse bit is the conclusion, as any most and any or discorpances in the documents or other landscape another are aver-thous not to reported remean the integrativity of the Owner. The Landscape Architect is necessarily rescale for What has been not reparted.
- F Cha
- The Compression of the Compression Architect of charges in the phase of the phase of the charges are the phase of the charges are the phase of the charges area for the charges area for the charges area of t
- Kanudaduter's Directions. Failow resultabiliser's gented directions and detailed diawargs in all cases where the analysic part of a subsist used in the Whith Furnaties directions covering partia-india shawn in the Breeings or described in the Spectrasters.
- H. Subclassion: Melecular not shown or spectred haters shell be as spectral by the Owner. Subceptione must be writed in writeg by the Owner, 1 Manades and the Wark.
  1. Size materials to growerit vanishisms of definituation and as devided by the
- Owner: 2. Protect materiale before, during, and after materials
- Creating: Electropolytopic analycies has work, expected work effected by his actions, and his work area as directed and to the estimation of the Owner. 02200 EASTHWORK
- In addition to these documents, comply with the anske enclosed angenering toournets, including the agis report, or directed by the Generic.

- Molecen surfaces to constrol dural for the Owner's exhibition. 5 Freak Greek 1.0 Most of the constraints of the constraint of the constraint of the constraint of the constraint of the constraints of the
- PART LANCAGE INSIGATION SYSTEM

- A. Explanation of Drawners: Due to the example of the Drawners, it is not possible to indicate all offsets, famps, service and other components, which may be required. Caroft by orwestigate the elocated and featuroandown addeding the with a tar plan and a service of the and Diagne and companyed as a may be required (on mest such consider).
- Diserveys are generally disgrammatic and indicative of the work to be instance, institution work in such a manner as to exist confides tetware impaired systems, plantage, and architectural leadence forgetment shows in paying arcses is for design objective contractive planet such existingment within plantas area wheream possible.
- On not writtally match streaten system as shown on the Grawings when it is obvious in the fact that whichers oblitions of the differences of destripent area desensions exist the social not have been conscissed in engineering ten in the
- B. Record and An-Built Drawings: Friende Drawer anto a regressivebro set of "An-Buin Drawings"

.

- Proved Unever the amprecision of them for a parent of one year. Proved or concerned to traditions introduce any them for a parent of one year. Proved or introduce the transfer one induced of the transfer of the second of the Descent of the second or the second of the second of the second of the Descent and Second Second on Second or the second of the second of the Descent and Second Second Onever were set last and uncertainties on analised calculation. Colleary were set last and uncertainties of the Descent and Second Second Onever were set last and uncertainties of the second of t
  - In the second se

а.

charges therefore upon dymand.
D. Use all matrix netacoust dymand. Impairon symem materials before, during and after materials before, during and after materials protect the installed work and evaluates, or all other tapidation.

- in the avent of simmings, introduktery there all replaces and replacements replacesary. In the approval of the Owner and a loc astronomic cost to the Owner. Voinner wer sitte allebare certain ten deues and real parts and regulateress extrantage to the expension of
   Voinner were sitted and tender of the operation of the operation of
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders and tenders
   Voinners and tenders an

- とはなるtend ビデルで、Manger、デルで、Gutasitute 40 softwart weekt end tecypay menufactured, or Schedule 40 with robber with robber with softwart

- Clinic strugg PCC (Solida A de sincer auté auté para para staturar () e Danisa e o Para geno 1 autó PCC Tatar en es al forga faita gena. 1 Ante PCC Tatar en est al forga faita gena. 1 Ante PCC Tatar e de sincer auté autó a la contra de la CRUE D'UNA 2 PCC de la contra de la contra de la contra de la contra de la CRUE D'UNA 2 PCC de la contra de la contra de la contra de la contra de la CRUE D'UNA 2 PCC de la contra de contra de la contra de la contra de l

- M # Definition of the second sec We want the second state of the second state
- and Winny T the direct burled control with AWG U F 800 web for previously the twenties the automatic statistical and the blacks control wates. No were statistical to a black to black outer wate the priori, while the

- and the address control values. Notice that the table to that a the series user were to ensure the series of the table to the series of the series K. Borrel
- ar nugariy Syndami delagin in baland an mananam operacing pressione edalami et alam pome of connection. Mananam Gillad diemani geendert Verity al paganum on ess professionentruction.
- Manisere Characteria generality and the solution of the second to be presented by strain. Mana respond 1.02 and electrical present solider for solutionality control of presented by strains. Mana respond revenuence of automatics 200084 do Set .....

- I can write write with the scheme can be approved by writers. How remains a scheme can be approved by approved by

- metadopose, Balando Contest, el Depresión herefe tre consume, partementos por to preveno nom surre, preso vertes metanopos, en tradición y en marte es genera tre parte de contesten nel contesten. El del al conference tuestes competitionale de fuence greates unoses commense designante en Per Teresana.

- The main the start party black in the start grant units means develope of the start of a logic hard start of the start of the start hinds. The start of start is the start of the start hinds in the start of the
- out a sensater representative a sense of a set and experient. (): Turned houses any first a sum product and experient adjust entire system to most wire () Warn conversion of word means of wors, was and adjust entire system to most wire and
- erequirements. 4 The impression protect implaces system; shart in under by another so period of source of the second of the landscape Annexes reserves the right to wave or shorten the

ε.

- 02950 LANDSCAPE PLANTING
- A structure of the structure type: 1 is addition to the structure type: 1 is addition to the structure, support to the recommendations of the last Exercitary and the structure the structure type and the structure that the range particle are taken interested for the structure taken and the structure that the range particle are taken interested for the structure taken and the structure 1 is addition to taken and the structure taken and the structure 1 is at the structure taken and the structure taken and the structure 1 is at the structure taken and the structure taken and the structure 1 is a structure taken and the structure taken and the structure 1 is and the structure taken and the structure 1 is and the structure taken and the structure 1 is and the structure taken and the structure 1 is and the structure taken and the structure 1 is and the structure taken and the structure 1 is a structure 1 is a struct

V Blanny 1 Do not commerce planing, and all construction work, grading, sol improvements and impation of construction of the second second

Summer provide starting and the start of control for and a planet, and inspection of the start of control for the start of th

nning (boord Culmay). - Move al Mini negatives sendled as flat block on planting prims to remark in the flats or fores until time to themesium (). Provide sufficient motions is the toor to han tool dress soft the spectrum to maniputing, fractions the AI flats are set plant and the supportunities encount of flat soft in a carener thanks flats where a monour distances to the not system. The dress of count alterny prime and themesia the human to the not system.

The Strength Control Cont

mp From perm one, at the time of parting and according is sandard horizontal precise in presentative transmission of the sandard horizontal and precise of the Landard Acchestic Removes and according and and the one and precised owners. These sandard develope is consider bridge, subject sandards and an exploit like only bless developed the constraints in the sandard sandard according to the sandard sandard to the develope is consider bridge, subject sandards and an exploit like and sandards. Part dott well be developed according and according to the sandard sandard sandard dott well be developed according according to the sandard sandard sandard dott well be developed according according to the sandard sandard sandard sandard dott well be developed according according to the sandard sandard sandard sandard sandard sandard dott well be developed according according to the sandard sandard

USANG FURAT IS IN EXPLANT THE INTERVATIONAL AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRESS

Overall sources (speak tern hav not insee cultury and not explored) and have a submodule of the term of the second secon

Determines 1. Des califications de la construcción de la construc

In the decayance of the terms.
Note of the terms where is not another the segret as another the terms and an endoy again. The terms terms terms term terms to the terms of the terms terms terms terms terms terms terms.
Note of terms terms.
Note of terms terms

what is reperport promoty to the Comm. All systems and be compared and the common by the Centralate a meanward crists a vector. Regarders that the spoortportate between 10 percent of 7 area singular annohile of other from shall be writen to approval by the Languages Architect

when it terminations. We share the area into an origination to the state of the proved to prevent to community provides a least cleaner leaf of height of all contains at these that fragment that in height. In Magneting whet the constraints are share provide measurements the leaf is. In Additional to the state of the state of the state of the leaf is. In the state of the state of the state of the state of the leaf is the state of the leaf is. In the state of the state of the state of the state of the leaf is the leaf is the state of the state of the leaf is the state of the leaf is the leaf is the leaf is the leaf is the state of the leaf is the state of the leaf is the leaf is

Society of a the strate definition.
 Society of a the strate definition of the strateging of th

i.

02493 PLANT ESTABLISHMENT AND MAINTENANCE

J.

Æ.

R CONSTRUCTION HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED E GOVERNING ACENCY E SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

POR H

NOT PLA

RESIDENCE

SNYDER

15WK

DATE

ġ Ø

Contraction of the

- a Field parting resistances invision in B Guild Y-Aveginary 1. Control Aveginary Constraints (CHT) the guide or Faderal Department of Agriculture to be free from 1. Control and proceedings of the state. 2. Further and the methods investore to Lendcover Antifacto Li Te growing with well bagged or charments exproved to achieve to placescon of growing allo actes not preclaim right of reproto-ting to the processing of the state in transmission and the state of the stat
- A manufacture of the second second

- Job Constitions.
   Perform actual planning only ween weather and soli conditions are wellable to accordance with locally acceptible practice.
- Indeep acceloring previous 9 Substations 1. Complete plant material for the seducated grant meaners we wall be parentiale unless specializing approval in writing by the Landacape Architect
- errors and while the Labelgue values: where the second of the second se

L (2.6), T2: A blave in the sympletic trap protect.
 B (300) Respired (2.600) (1.6) underso trans
 B (100) Restrict (2.600) (1.6) underso trans
 B (2.600) (1.6) underso tra

1 af clie) weeks of finitism 11 Une commental finitism: unitaries an composition these forward; conferences to state and holders that, and loaders for applicable, then any experiment the part outgrade. 12,12,12 unless 12 Where instrumed, une Applicame Them Table 20,15,5,21 given safeties are rise, prescried in non-industrial finite for statesticable.

meaning of white versions are been associated and an enclusive of the point of an excitation with the 3 Tag one associate and an enclusive of the point one of an excitation with the 2 Tag one associated and the second and the point of the and the second and the points and the effort of the point of the analytic of the second and the points and the effort of the point of the analytic of the second and the points and the effort of the point of the analytic of the second and the points and the effort of the point of the analytic of the second and the second and the second and points of the analytic of the second and the second and the second and points of the analytic of the second and the second and the second and and points the second and second and the second and the second and and points of the second and and points the second and th

symptems which are not invit or pationally. 5 Patimal: Any spatial without patient who plans shall be furnished by the guerrandor in a "Secretard" Finite condition offices elements noted on inducided otherware by the Gardenberg

Petitised Petitised 2 Sales (Refer to Desentign for Aggropolish systemicizationi) Coopen Augustances Green: Calor insurangeated Lodge Pole Proc a 10 heet ong to 2 packin and 10 galant b 12 heet One blokes. 2 libert One blokes.

neo Tres. ar Sengler shelter: Candh-Tre Ant crassification of by Vit T. Generatives b. Doubler statesh: Tental Brakis an many factured by Vit T. Companyer

Doubter blow: Twint Brake as manufastured by V3.11. Company
 Vere Tes.
 Care phase: Use of the second sec

tossen: 1. Poiemempent and/or something heargogies to be provided and acquired only as per the restmicendustants of a lownweit persidential agent

The same that Tablette's share basis to share the same of tablette's share and tablette same of tablette same of tablette 2. Do not same tablette neutron tablette same tablette same of tablette same tablette 2. Do not same tablette neutron tablette same tablette same tablette same tablette same tablette same tablette same tablette 3. Sense at dissess of all weak same tablette sam

0

sever more States.
 al. 27. (2.38) (3.0) § Scheduler 40 galvanized alreat prov.
 5. (37 C time with sate P and States)
 Trans.

Instrumentations of a software per universe
 (..., V. In Transmer when structure per universe exactly a software that the software exactly a software exactly

et Projectation 65: 1 For adjust and prismit cover strains (actualing scores 0.1); 2 Makene per 6500 S P. a it outer under schogen staatloged organic, anerothern 1: 00 journels 12: 61 21 continencial fertilizer v. 200 pounds sproutheral gypture work list 64.

200 points systems agenue
 200 points systems agenue
 1 Topol (Jivensen Topol site agenue)
 1 Topol (Jivensen Topol site agenue)
 200 points agenue
 200 points agenue
 200 points agenue
 200 points agenue
 200 points
 200 points

Interaction by tet down Team I and the term of the service of the

prent Assendational : 1. Mitogram Standards a. C. A. to 015 X Baread on bry weight for restanced several b. 0.55 to 0.54% M baread on by weight for fe or order sa v. 0.54 5.52% M baread on by weight for fr or print bare. 5. Manual Work

## 03000 CONCRETE PAVING

United Market Reserve... Compared Start Co Tat. Type II / Tan exc... Tat. Tat. Start Co Tat. Type II / Tan exc... Tat. Tat. Start Compared Tat. Mestmann Masseue Requirements
 Genome: ASTM CL350 374. Type E.H flow alkal.' tested. but Eyep. V H surface content

- 2015 PUTL LAND VALUE
   2015 PUTL LAND VALUE
   2015 AND VALUE
  AND VA
- b. A.C. A lower character large Menu Id Course Preciso Intercent of Issue Intercent of Issue Down with public and the Issue of table intercent to The Down with public and the Issue Id Menu Id Issue ID The Down with public and the Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID The Down with public and the Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Issue ID Menu Id Issue ID Menu Id

.

÷

contains () Contribute and contentiate with data contains to a form heaping and contentiate with data contains the sector bases to proceed as heaping and or inflamming any provided provide the sector bases to be formated and set in prace basis portion of initiated basis, matching on supported by the sector stately excluded by them is marking more of providence of the sector is not a set of an additional processing to comply with this is junction shall be done all the contribution (memory).

California Control Ferrerer
 California Control (Control (Contro) (Control (Control (Control (Control (Control (Control (Contro) (

sequences and a second second

motifying the designated laborationy in summary, new a many a compactor yield remove of pour Should test show that concernent is balow specified strength. Contractor yield remove all host occorrect tests may be shown to be and and relation of the shown by the melidianees with concerne of specified strength, and relations yield borne by the

ementy. In addition to menubactawi's planantees or wattenies, all work angle be wattenied for one year from the date of Final Accessions against detects in nationals and worknowneys by Contrastic, Wattening has also cover inper or catenage to any year Rendercomments, of the prevents resulting from befords in materials and worknowneys to the subfiction of the Communications from befords in materials and worknowneys to

ASTM 2023. The maximum size apprepare allowed shall not be larger than one-MBh of two servowed domension between sides of tomes of the members for which the contents is not to be used, no larger than three quarters commune dear spectra, between reinforcing team. Coam a population to asal out assessed 50 indo.

between Henfording bars. Course appreprie for shad not esteed % inch. Fine appreprie: Caren hant end durable sand with uncoated or even two from repurcus amounts of sit. Learn. Care and durable sand with uncoated or price few from the ASTM C23 Income

Control and an effective and an uncome gives to be on space almost a field of a field of a set of

math converte Presat converte Presat converte Maj be used water indicated on the Dewegs and may be unde stretchere Mit agropolal et landscare Architect. Presat concrete mund the stretchere Mit agropolation for concrete au states herein. Presat converte mit halfs the instrates are unequalitie manufacture with aphilication states are extended to concerned correlation of anxiety with aphilication outstate extention for an opported to Mark complex mit to bit states with contention the antiported to Mark complex mit to bit states the states are extended to be an opported to any oppo

bill: collimination and equipment to My commy with two Dimensis and Solard repO Dimension of an event control areas, and submit servers as specified to concrete bases. A Princial control here that also is an educate to call in parts concrete and another the state ages comment. A control areas and the the surror continuous marks and and an educate the surror of the control of the surror continent mark. Surrors on all surrow of a learnes as to the sutration units the screeped of concrete exists as before a learnes and the surror with with not be a screeped.

Include Communication of the second second second second second second and participation of an engl of participation and second secon

Le contractive d'un tet de la tet autorité au reduced en les Devers, Centratis and la factorité de la tet autorité au reduced en les Devers, Centratis and la factorité de presi averge en la concret averg en ette du la tetra proce desse de la tetraget à tet autorité d'autorité de la tetra constant de la tetra a tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra tetra de la tetra tetra la tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra tetra de la tetra la tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra tetra de la tetra la tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra de la tetra tetra de la tetra la tetra de la tetra la tetra de la tetra constante de la tetra constante de la tetra constante de la tetra constante de la tetra constante de la tetra de l

The second se

converse a subant starty in corr wide of even hand corr of a sub-converse a subant starty in corr wide of the subance of the sub-al According model of the sound finds point subscreents and the approximation of the subscreent starts and the sub-ant subscreent starts of the subscreent starts and the Corr list of the subscreent starts and the Corr list of the subscreent starts and the corr list of the subscreent starts and the subscreent starts and the subscreent cords. Accor Restriction is fair the side in the dataset cords and the correct starts and the subscreent starts and the subscreent starts and the subscreent cords.

Concrete 4 Consist Do not embed lifering, other than electrical conput to structure concrete Locate conduit to member the strength of structures at memory Verty size, length and conclete of electrical birlight 6 Concerner constrete

.

R then design antisparence and place overally and indicated in relativities by the devery area are the powers of Michaeler Landicase Antiperiors of Michaeler and and every events and developed to use on and in connection with the gas

# Depend contrasts controlscope in types of a cup because that the cup of the

All the finding point capacity and point pair bits and point and point pair bits and point pa

Intervents is in universe and the surfaces promptly to remove such and dust (according to the surfaces) and the surfaces and the surfaces are provided to the surfaces and the surfaces are surfaces and the surface surfaces are surfaces and the surfaces are surfaces are surfaces and the surfaces are surfac

carrying strength open and a furger and matter angular the work where and in the strength of the stre

stating : This rock processis. "Increase constate" and other holdes resulting from removal of halos, see and spreaders, and like spress, with contrar formed of centred and the appropriate in the reportation used in contrarier res. Choose and patholes areas to said contraries. Remorg, perpendicular or slightly undercul edges. Meltith suffounding contrare surfaces is cold.

erra or plane shall be used archese the written partnesson of MLASD. Written dow

and terture O Grain up. Upon competition of work under this Beclion. Contractor shat remove from the treases at auction motion to be accornent, notes when and decrea resulting from the work, at this own expension.

### D4000 MASONRY

# A Morter: 1 (100 Apr 3) with a contraction compressive strength at 33 days of 2000 PSI 2 for a first matter and contrast matching matching at 2000 PSI 2 for a first home contrast 6 (100 Apr 4) and contrast 6 (100 Apr 4) and contrast matching at 2000 (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching contrast matching (2 31 Apr 4) and contrast matching (3 4) and contrast matching (4 1) and contrast ma

DSDI METAL FABRICATIONS

06100 ROUGH CARPENTRY

Exposed beams, posts, fascia, And extends forms

09900 PAINTING

16530 SITE LIGHTING

REGARDING UTILITIES:

NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR:

OWNER'S NOTICE TO CONTRACTOR:

A Suttrikt Map drawings for approval in advance of fatimation B. Battire commencing work or proceeding with shop and erection grawings verify teld

Deff. With thermore for spectral instance of kilocataliti

 Deff. With thermore for instance of kilocataliti
 Definition of the province of the province of the spectral instance of the province of t

F. Apply to coats of trush enamel part to at metal surfaces. Color as selected by Dener

Barens and strengers 5" and techar No. 1 or better, D.F. Width 2" or more than backness

A Lumber: ps 20 70 with member she's bear the stamp of an approved grading agancy. Constailed lumber shat be s46 Douglist. Fit unless, noted offerwise, Contraly with the following behavior index offersive. Item Grade Structural light framming Hot, 2 or better, 0 ft. 2 - 41 thole, 2 - 41 whole Situational joists and planks 2 4 Wesk, 8' and wider

No. 2 or befor, D F

No For Meters, D.F.

B all condy hypersense including pairs both use proteins little of connections, shall be generated by prevention by preventions and preventions and preventions and preventions of preventions and preventions an

A hotest area to particular subtry work and recent in writing to Cherr Houts in writes materials or continue. Network consists and subtract for fails so to an exercised 2 dely minimiting manufactures insynchronic recommendations on an arealization insolution 2 control with the denotes of the Purificipal Purificipal Contexture of Anerea D control with the denotes of the Purificipal Purificipal Contexture of Anerea D control with the denotes of the Purificipal Purificipal Contexture of Anerea D control with the denotes of the denotes of the denotes of the denotes of

A Record Drawings, Provide to Owner accurate Record Drawings of electrical ane lighting

B. Provide in addition to electrical work shown in these documents, any material, equipment or work necessary for proper and legal Amchionny of system including light babs and hates C Vertity with Dennet exact tractications of environment technical

PLAN DE EXISTING UTUTITES BHALL BE MADE AVALIABLE TO CONTRACTOR BY OWNEN, "RNATE AND PUBLIC UTUTITES. IF SHOWN, REFLECT AVALIABLE RECORD DATA, THE CONTRACTOR BHALL BE RESUMMERE FOR LOCATION ALL UNES REFERTING THIS WORK AND FOR ANY DAMAGE TO. OR PROTECTION, OF THESE LINKS.

CONTROLLO ACCURATION. CONTROLLO ACCURATION GARGES THAT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CENTRALLY ACCUTTED CONTRALTON NEWERS: CONTROLLING RESPONSED TO TAX TAX TO TAX TO TAX RESPONSED TO TAX TAX TO TAX TO TAX CONTROLLING THE PROJECT INCLUDES GARGE THE COULER OF CONTROLLING THE PROJECT INCLUDES GARDE THE CONTROL TO CONTROLLING TO THE PROJECT INCLUDES GARDE THE CONTROL TO CONTROL TO THE TAX TAX TO TAX TO TAX ON FOT TE LINE THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE CONTROL FOR THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE CONTROL OF THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE DEVECTION AND THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE DEVECTION AND THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE DEVECTION AND THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENCE DEVECTION AND THE PROVIDENCE OF T

MACHT A NOTICE TO LUTING OH FINITORIA CONSTITUTIONS REPORTED BY THE OWNER ON THREE, MON THREE DIR STRUCTURES REPORTED BY THE OWNER ON THREE, MON THREE BOOKING IN THE REPORTED AND REPORT OF THREE, THE THREE OWNERS ON REPORTED TO DIFFERENCE THE MINISTER OF DISTING ORNAUGH AND REPORTED TO DIFFERENCE THE MINISTER OF DISTING ORNAUGH AND REPORT TO DIFFERENCE OWNERS OF DISTING OWNERS AND REPORT TO DIFFERENCE THE MINISTER OF DISTING OWNERS AND REPORT TO DIFFERENCE OWNERS OF DISTINGTION REPORT TO THE OWNER OF THE UTILING OF STRUCTURES CONCERNMENT OF DIFFERENCE ON UTILING OF STRUCTURES CONCERNMENT OF DIFFERENCE OWNER OWNERS REPORT TO ANY CONSTRUCTOR TO DIFFERENCE OWNER OWNERS AND REPORT OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS FOR USER OF OWNERS AND AND AND ANY CONSTRUCTORS FOR CONTINUETORS OF MALICULER PROFILE ON ANY CONSTRUCTORS OCCUMENTATION ANY COST OF RELOCATION OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY COST OF RELOCATION OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTOR AND COST OF CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONTINUETORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS OF ANY CONSTRUCTORS

.

scored dimensions. Consistions shalf verify and be the conditions for differences and conditions, con the gale and MLA SD must be conject of all versions. Store the dimensions and conditions (chaos by these dimensions — MLA SD, INC. 🔅

Ľ

R CONSTRUCTION HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED E GOVERNING AGENCY E SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

FOR H

NOT F THESE PL

Ю

RESIDEN

SNYDER 29 SHEMILA MENIE

SHEET

15/2018 DATE

0 Ω <u>Γ</u> ç 

B Grout. 1 Flud scensusency 2 Ratio by volumes. a 3 part Portacol Cement b, 3 parts sand

# 1 per Partand Centers 2 parts and 2 parts per period Cancer de Unit Manory, Date A colonemy ASTM CD0 and dimetrison is shown on Dewings, Date A colonemy ASTM CD0 and dimetrison is shown on Dewings, D solenit permission sample of secth type of unit mesonry of store bio-acceptance by Ontem (2015 colonalism).

# 04500 SWIMMING POOLS

Cassos Samaninas, proc. S Camer Cam

- any realizance. In the latent engineeric so the seventers pool construction for all least 5 years, with a record of successful instaliations.
- Mr 31 loss 3 years, with a record of successful evidence. I comply with all codes performed regulations of Health Department Naving Jindidion, Security Interactivey permits prior to construction, and effect comparison. Reference Blacaces. MCI Stanzard SD: Recommends Practice for Guine, p. 508 20 ACI Stanzard SD: 40.44
- Substitute: a Provide shop drawnys and unplawing information wholeng the installation, include iterative and specifications on products and materials to be provided. After Heidellish, salend specifican namula for future retrievince on operation and madistructure of equipment. Councilian
- erantee # Guinembee products and installation for all detects of materials and workingenable by 8 years, including cost of refiling pool in event & becomes necessary widen that from panod to impact to repart detects

Incl. Engineering Design Engineering Design dimension results: signed by a Cationus State Licented Engineer, to be exproved of all governing agencies having justicition.

estative of all generities generities paralogismust Rendrating a Provide membrane in completions with other testions of this specifications b provide membrane in the state of the other testions of the second complete membrane membrane is a state of the second second and b terminal second second second second second second second b terminal second second second second second second second b terminal second second second second second second second b terminal second second second second second second second second b terminal second second second second second second second second second b terminal second secon

ater: = Provide a plantering system with final-planter of matche planter, manufactured by research constants, of skill and planter by US Ognaturi. Color is to be writte the second second second second second second second second second b) Tere set to be allowed the second secting with be allowed.

Concentration of the of a derived with a stand.
 Concentration of the of the offer of the offer offe

12 Haster Provide natural ges heater Manufacturer Teledync Laars, Or approved approximiting 13 Obtionator: a. Provide bulke white Chem Freed with solution lamk or approved impuralent b. Provide bulke white Chem Freed with solution lamk or approved impuralent

a. Tour. Provide campa (a) the tous aup out, and the UK sector of the Company of plane and rough optimal of the Company of th

, of Cleaner. a - Provide Pool Sweep pool cleaner installed under deck.

zzessones. s Tool #2 Provide cleaning bit, för honk and pole, and test bit

10.0







